

## The Project Gradient Method and Regret Bounds

Lecturer: Drew Bagnell

Scribe: Siyuan Feng<sup>1</sup>

## 1 Online gradient descent

### 1.1 Instantaneous regret

Let  $l_i = (w_i^T f_i - y_i)^2$  our loss functions, where  $w$  is an expert and  $f$  is a feature. We want to minimize the total regret in retrospect with respect to the best expert  $w^*$ :

$$R(w) = \sum_{t=0}^T l_t(w_t) - l_t(w^*). \quad (1)$$

We call  $l_t(w_t) - l_t(w^*)$  the instantaneous regret for some  $w_t$  at time  $t$ .

### 1.2 Subgradient

Subgradient at point  $x$ ,  $\nabla f(x)$ , is a vector / hyperplane that lower bounds the function globally. For non-differentiable points, there exists more than one subgradients. For  $x \neq y$ , we have

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x). \quad (2)$$

For our instantaneous regret, we have

$$\begin{aligned} l_t(w^*) &\geq l_t(w_t) + \nabla l_t(w_t)^T (w^* - w_t) \\ l_t(w_t) - l_t(w^*) &\leq \nabla l_t(w_t)^T (w_t - w^*). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The left hand side is the instantaneous regret, and the right hand side is some linear function times  $(w_t - w^*)$ . Thus our total regret will be bounded by  $\sum_{t=0}^T \nabla l_t(w_t)^T (w_t - w^*)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Some content adapted from previous scribes: Dmitry Berenson, Forrest Rogers-Marcovitz

### 1.3 Algorithm for projected online subgradient descent

This algorithm is a method to minimize the regret for a online convex optimization problem.

Line 5 projects  $\hat{w}_{t+1}$  back into the convex set  $C$ , and  $\alpha$  in line 4 is the learning rate. Smaller  $\alpha$

---

**Algorithm 1** Projected Subgradient Descent():

---

```

1: choose  $w_0$ 
2: for  $t = 1 \dots T$  do
3:   Incur loss  $l(w_t)$  and receive any  $\nabla l_t(w_t)$ 
4:    $\hat{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow w_t - \alpha \nabla l_t(w_t)$ 
5:    $w_{t+1} \leftarrow \text{Proj}_c[\hat{w}_{t+1}]$ 
6: end for

```

---

pays a larger upfront cost but is more likely to converge and has a lower regret over time.  $\alpha$  can also be dependent on  $t$ .

Note that the projection will not cause the loss to grow, because it will bring  $\hat{w}_{t+1}$  closer to any member of  $C$ , and thus closer to the optimal expert  $w^*$  too.

## 2 Regret bounds for projected subgradient descent

### 2.1 Distance between $w_t$ and $w^*$

The distance between  $w_t$  and  $w^*$  at time  $t$  is defined as

$$D(w_t, w^*) = (w_t - w^*)^T (w_t - w^*) \quad (4)$$

Now we look at

$$\begin{aligned}
& D(w_{t+1}, w^*) - D(w_t, w^*) \\
&= (w_t - \alpha \nabla l_t(w_t) - w^*)^2 - (w_t - w^*)^2 \\
&= (z_t - \alpha \nabla l_t(w_t))^2 - z_t^2 \\
&= \alpha^2 (\nabla l_t(w_t))^2 - 2\alpha \nabla l_t^T(w_t) z_t,
\end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $z_t = w_t - w^*$ . If we sum all the term over time, the intermediate terms will all cancel out and leave us just  $D(w_T, w^*) - D(w_0, w^*)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_t D(w_{t+1}, w^*) - D(w_t, w^*) \\
&= -2\alpha \sum_t (w_t - w^*) \nabla l_t + \alpha^2 \sum_t |\nabla l_t|^2 \\
&= D(w_T, w^*) - D(w_0, w^*) \\
&\leq -2\alpha \sum_t (w_t - w^*) \nabla l_t + \alpha^2 GT,
\end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $|\nabla l_t|^2 \leq G$ . Thus we have

$$2\alpha R_T \leq 2\alpha \sum_t (w_t - w^*) \nabla l_t \leq D(w_0, w^*) - D(w_T, w^*) + \alpha^2 GT \quad (7)$$

Sine the distance between  $w_T$  and  $w^*$  is always non negative, we can throw away the  $D(w_T, w^*)$  term and still keep the inequality valid.

$$R_T \leq \sum_t (w_t - w^*) \nabla l_t \leq \frac{D(w_0, w^*)}{2\alpha} + \frac{\alpha GT}{2} \leq \frac{\alpha GT}{2} + \frac{F}{2\alpha}, \quad (8)$$

where  $F$  is the largest distance between any two experts in the set.

Suppose we set  $\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{F}{GT}}$ , then the upper bound for total regret is bounded by  $\sqrt{GTF}$ , growing sub linearly of  $T$ .

### 3 Portfolio optimization

We want to invest in  $n$  different stocks, and given a set of investment weights  $w_i$  s.t.  $w_i \geq 0$ , and  $\sum w_i = 1$ . We also know about market returns ratios  $r_i = \frac{value_{t+1}^i}{value_t^i}$ . So the daily increase in wealth is  $w_t^T r_t$ , and the total wealth over time is  $m \Pi w_t^T r_t$ , where  $m$  is the total value of initial investment. We want to maximize  $\log \Pi w_t^T r_t = \sum \log w_t^T r_t$ . We compare the policy to a constantly rebalancing portfolio that maintains a set constant investment ratios.

We can use the algorithm presented above with some modifications

- $w_0^i = \frac{1}{n}$
- $w_{t+1}^i = Proj[w_t^i + \alpha \frac{r_t^i}{\sum w_t^j r_t^j}]$