# Large Graph Mining

# Christos Faloutsos CMU

# Thank you!



- Jian Pei
- Alexandra Fedorova



• Heather Anders

#### **Our goal:**

Open source system for mining huge graphs:

PEGASUS project (PEta GrAph mining System)

• www.cs.cmu.edu/~pegasus



• code and papers

#### Outline

- Introduction Motivation
  - Problem#1: Patterns in graphs
  - Problem#2: Tools
  - Problem#3: Scalability
  - Conclusions

#### **Graphs - why should we care?**





Friendship Network [Moody '01]



Food Web [Martinez '91]



Internet Map [lumeta.com]

**SFU'11** 

C. Faloutsos (CMU)

## **Graphs - why should we care?**

• IR: bi-partite graphs (doc-terms)



• web: hyper-text graph

• ... and more:

SFU'11

C. Faloutsos (CMU)

6

# **Graphs - why should we care?**

- 'viral' marketing
- web-log ('blog') news propagation
- computer network security: email/IP traffic and anomaly detection

•

#### Outline

- Introduction Motivation
- Problem#1: Patterns in graphs
  - Static graphs
  - Weighted graphs
  - Time evolving graphs
  - Problem#2: Tools
  - Problem#3: Scalability
  - Conclusions

# Problem #1 - network and graph mining



- What does the Internet look like?
- What does FaceBook look like?
- What is 'normal'/'abnormal'?
- which patterns/laws hold?

# Problem #1 - network and graph mining



• What does the Internet look like?

- What does FaceBook look like?
- What is 'normal'/'abnormal'?
- which patterns/laws hold?
  - To spot anomalies (rarities), we have to discover patterns

SFU'11

# Problem #1 - network and graph mining



**SFU'11** 

- What does the Internet look like?
- What does FaceBook look like?
- What is 'normal'/'abnormal'?
- which patterns/laws hold?
  - To spot anomalies (rarities), we have to discover patterns
  - Large datasets reveal patterns/anomalies that may be invisible otherwise...

# **Graph mining**

• Are real graphs random?

# Laws and patterns

- Are real graphs random?
- A: NO!!
  - Diameter
  - in- and out- degree distributions
  - other (surprising) patterns
- So, let's look at the data

#### **Solution# S.1**

• Power law in the degree distribution [SIGCOMM99]

internet domains



C. Faloutsos (CMU)

#### **Solution# S.1**

• Power law in the degree distribution [SIGCOMM99]

internet domains



C. Faloutsos (CMU)

## Solution# S.2: Eigen Exponent E



• A2: power law in the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix

SFU'11

C. Faloutsos (CMU)

16

## Solution# S.2: Eigen Exponent E



**SFU'11** 

C. Faloutsos (CMU)

#### **But:**

#### How about graphs from other domains?

#### More power laws:

• web hit counts [w/ A. Montgomery]



0

#### epinions.com



#### (out) degree

C. Faloutsos (CMU)

#### And numerous more

- # of sexual contacts
- Income [Pareto] –'80-20 distribution'
- Duration of downloads [Bestavros+]
- Duration of UNIX jobs ('mice and elephants')
- Size of files of a user
- . .
- 'Black swans'

#### Outline

- Introduction Motivation
- Problem#1: Patterns in graphs
  - Static graphs
    - degree, diameter, eigen,
    - triangles
    - cliques
  - Weighted graphs
  - Time evolving graphs
- Problem#2: Tools

# Solution# S.3: Triangle 'Laws'

• Real social networks have a lot of triangles

# Solution# S.3: Triangle 'Laws'

- Real social networks have a lot of triangles

   Friends of friends are friends
- Any patterns?

#### Triangle Law: #S.3 [Tsourakakis ICDM 2008]





#### Triangle Law: #S.3 [Tsourakakis ICDM 2008]





#### Triangle Law: #S.4 [Tsourakakis ICDM 2008]





X-axis: degree Y-axis: mean # triangles *n* friends ->  $\sim n^{1.6}$  triangles

27



#### **Triangle Law: Computations** [Tsourakakis ICDM 2008]

But: triangles are expensive to compute (3-way join; several approx. algos) Q: Can we do that quickly?



#### **Triangle Law: Computations** [Tsourakakis ICDM 2008]

But: triangles are expensive to compute (3-way join; several approx. algos)Q: Can we do that quickly?A: Yes!

#triangles = 1/6 Sum ( $\lambda_i^3$ ) (and, because of skewness (S2), we only need the top few eigenvalues!





SFU'11

# **Triangle counting for large graphs?**

#### Anomalous nodes in Twitter(~ 3 billion edges) [U Kang, Brendan Meeder, +, PAKDD'11]

SFU'11

C. Faloutsos (CMU)

#### **Triangle counting for large graphs?**



Anomalous nodes in Twitter(~ 3 billion edges) [U Kang, Brendan Meeder, +, PAKDD'11]

SFU'11

C. Faloutsos (CMU)



B. Aditya Prakash, Mukund Seshadri, Ashwin Sridharan, Sridhar Machiraju and Christos
Faloutsos: *EigenSpokes: Surprising Patterns and Scalable Community Chipping in Large Graphs*, PAKDD 2010, Hyderabad, India, 21-24 June 2010.

- Eigenvectors of adjacency matrix
  - equivalent to singular vectors (symmetric, undirected graph)

$$A = U\Sigma U^T$$





- Eigenvectors of adjacency matrix
  - equivalent to singular vectors (symmetric, undirected graph)





- Eigenvectors of adjacency matrix
  - equivalent to singular vectors (symmetric, undirected graph)




- Eigenvectors of adjacency matrix
  - equivalent to singular vectors (symmetric, undirected graph)





- Eigenvectors of adjacency matrix
  - equivalent to singular vectors (symmetric, undirected graph)



2<sup>nd</sup> Principal

- EE plot:
- Scatter plot of scores of u1 vs u2
- One would expect
  - Many points @ origin
  - A few scattered
     ~randomly



u1 1<sup>st</sup> Principal component

- EE plot:
- Scatter plot of scores of u1 vs u2
- One would expect
  - Many points @ origin





**u1** 

## **EigenSpokes - pervasiveness**

- Present in mobile social graph
  - across time and space





C. Faloutsos (CMU)

Near-cliques, or nearbipartite-cores, loosely connected





Near-cliques, or nearbipartite-cores, loosely connected





Near-cliques, or nearbipartite-cores, loosely connected



Near-cliques, or nearbipartite-cores, loosely connected

So what?

- Extract nodes with high scores
- high connectivity
- Good "communities"



SFU'11

#### **Bipartite Communities!**





SFU'11

#### Outline

- Introduction Motivation
- Problem#1: Patterns in graphs
  - Static graphs
    - degree, diameter, eigen,
    - triangles
    - cliques
  - Weighted graphs
  - Time evolving graphs
- Problem#2: Tools

# Observations on weighted graphs?

• A: yes - even more 'laws'!



M. McGlohon, L. Akoglu, and C. Faloutsos Weighted Graphs and Disconnected Components: Patterns and a Generator. SIG-KDD 2008

SFU'11

#### **Observation W.1: Fortification**

Q: How do the weights of nodes relate to degree?

#### **Observation W.1: Fortification**

# More donors, more \$ ?



# **Observation W.1: fortification: Snapshot Power Law**

- Weight: super-linear on in-degree
- exponent 'iw': 1.01 < iw < 1.26



#### Outline

- Introduction Motivation
- Problem#1: Patterns in graphs
  - Static graphs
  - Weighted graphs
  - Time evolving graphs
- Problem#2: Tools

#### **Problem: Time evolution**

 with Jure Leskovec (CMU -> Stanford)



and Jon Kleinberg (Cornell – sabb. @ CMU)



#### **T.1 Evolution of the Diameter**

- Prior work on Power Law graphs hints at **slowly growing diameter**:
  - diameter  $\sim O(\log N)$
  - diameter  $\sim O(\log \log N)$



• What is happening in real data?

## **T.1 Evolution of the Diameter**

- Prior work on Power Law graphs hints at slowly growing diameter:

  - $\text{ diameter} \sim (\ln n)$  $\text{ diameter} \sim O(\log n)$
- What is happening in real data?
- Diameter shrinks over time

#### T.1 Diameter – "Patents"

- Patent citation network
- 25 years of data
- @1999
  - 2.9 M nodes
  - 16.5 M edges



SFU'11

C. Faloutsos (CMU)

# **T.2 Temporal Evolution of the Graphs**

- N(t) ... nodes at time t
- E(t) ... edges at time t
- Suppose that

N(t+1) = 2 \* N(t)

• Q: what is your guess for E(t+1) =? 2 \* E(t)

# **T.2 Temporal Evolution of the Graphs**

- N(t) ... nodes at time t
- E(t) ... edges at time t
- Suppose that

N(t+1) = 2 \* N(t)

- Q: what is your guess for E(t+1) \* E(t)
- A: over-doubled!

– But obeying the ``Densification Power Law''

SFU'11

# T.2 Densification – Patent Citations

- Citations among patents granted
- @1999
  - 2.9 M nodes
  - 16.5 M edges
- Each year is a datapoint



**SFU'11** 

C. Faloutsos (CMU)

#### Outline

- Introduction Motivation
- Problem#1: Patterns in graphs
  - Static graphs
  - Weighted graphs
  - Time evolving graphs
- Problem#2: Tools

## More on Time-evolving graphs

M. McGlohon, L. Akoglu, and C. Faloutsos Weighted Graphs and Disconnected Components: Patterns and a Generator. SIG-KDD 2008

- *Q: How do NLCC's emerge and join with the GCC?*
- (``NLCC'' = non-largest conn. components)
- -Do they continue to grow in size?
- or do they shrink?
- or stabilize?



- *Q: How do NLCC's emerge and join with the GCC?*
- (``NLCC'' = non-largest conn. components)
- -Do they continue to grow in size?
- or do they <u>shrink</u>?
- or stabilize?



*Q: How do NLCC's emerge and join with the GCC?* 

(``NLCC'' = non-largest conn. components)
YES – Do they continue to grow in size?
YES – or do they shrink?
YES – or stabilize?

• After the gelling point, the GCC takes off, but NLCC's remain ~constant (actually, **oscillate**).





#### **Timing for Blogs**

- with Mary McGlohon (CMU->Google)
- Jure Leskovec (CMU->Stanford)
- Natalie Glance (now at Google)
- Mat Hurst (now at MSR)
  [SDM'07]



C. Faloutsos (CMU)

67

**SFU'11** 

#### **T.4 : popularity over time**



#### **T.4 : popularity over time**



Post popularity drops-off – exporentially? POWER LAW! Exponent? -1.6

- close to -1.5: Barabasi's stack model
- and like the zero-crossings of a random walk SFU'11 C. Faloutsos (CMU)



69

#### -1.5 slope

J. G. Oliveira & A.-L. Barabási Human Dynamics: The Correspondence Patterns of Darwin and Einstein. *Nature* **437**, 1251 (2005). [PDF]



#### **T.5: duration of phonecalls**

Surprising Patterns for the Call Duration Distribution of Mobile Phone Users



Pedro O. S. Vaz de Melo, LemanAkoglu, Christos Faloutsos, AntonioA. F. LoureiroPKDD 2010

#### Probably, power law (?)


#### **No Power Law!**



# **'TLaC: Lazy Contractor'**

- The longer a task (phonecall) has taken,
- The even longer it will take



# **Data Description**

- Data from a private mobile operator of a large city
  - 4 months of data
  - 3.1 million users
  - more than 1 billion phone records
- Over 96% of 'talkative' users obeyed a TLAC distribution ('talkative': >30 calls)

#### **Outliers:**



SFU'11

C. Faloutsos (CMU)

76

#### Outline

- Introduction Motivation
- Problem#1: Patterns in graphs
- Problem#2: Tools
  - OddBall (anomaly detection)
    - Belief Propagation
    - Immunization
- Problem#3: Scalability
- Conclusions

# OddBall: Spotting Anomalies in Weighted Graphs





Leman Akoglu, Mary McGlohon, Christos Faloutsos

> Carnegie Mellon University School of Computer Science

PAKDD 2010, Hyderabad, India

## Main idea

For each node,

- extract 'ego-net' (=1-step-away neighbors)
- Extract features (#edges, total weight, etc etc)
- Compare with the rest of the population

# What is an egonet?



SFU'11

**Carnegie Mellon** 

# **Selected Features**

- $N_i$ : number of neighbors (degree) of ego i
- $E_i$ : number of edges in egonet i
- $W_i$ : total weight of egonet *i*
- $\lambda_{w,i}$ : principal eigenvalue of the weighted adjacency matrix of egonet *I*



**Carnegie Mellon** 

# **Near-Clique/Star**



# **Near-Clique/Star**



C. Faloutsos (CMU)

# **Near-Clique/Star**



C. Faloutsos (CMU)

# **Near-Clique/Star**



C. Faloutsos (CMU)

#### Outline

- Introduction Motivation
- Problem#1: Patterns in graphs
- Problem#2: Tools
  - OddBall (anomaly detection)
  - Belief Propagation
    - Immunization
- Problem#3: Scalability
- Conclusions

## **E-bay Fraud detection**





#### w/ Polo Chau & Shashank Pandit, CMU [www'07]



## **E-bay Fraud detection**



## **E-bay Fraud detection**



SFU'11

C. Faloutsos (CMU)

89

#### **E-bay Fraud detection - NetProbe**





**SFU'11** 

## **Popular press**



The Washington Post Los Angeles Times

#### And less desirable attention:

• E-mail from 'Belgium police' ('copy of your code?')

**SFU'11** 

#### Outline

- Introduction Motivation
- Problem#1: Patterns in graphs
- Problem#2: Tools
  - OddBall (anomaly detection)
  - Belief propagation
  - Immunization
- Problem#3: Scalability -PEGASUS
- Conclusions

# Immunization and epidemic thresholds

- Q1: which nodes to immunize?
- Q2: will a virus vanish, or will it create an epidemic?

#### •Given

a network,
k vaccines, and
the virus details
Which nodes to immunize?



#### •Given

a network,
k vaccines, and
the virus details
Which nodes to immunize?



#### •Given

a network,
k vaccines, and
the virus details
Which nodes to immunize?



#### •Given

a network,
k vaccines, and
the virus details
Which nodes to immunize?

A: immunize the ones that maximally raise the `epidemic threshold' [Tong+, ICDM'10]



# Q2: will a virus take over?

- Flu-like virus (no immunity, 'SIS')
- Mumps (life-time immunity, 'SIR')
- Pertussis (finite-length immunity, 'SIRS')

β: attack prob δ: heal prob



# Q2: will a virus take over?

- Flu-like virus (no immunity, 'SIS')
- Mumps (life-time immunity, 'SIR')
- Pertussis (finite-length immunity, 'SIRS')

- β: attack prob δ: heal prob
- A: depends on connectivity (avg degree? Max degree? variance? Something else? SFU'11 C. Faloutsos (CMU)



# Epidemic threshold $\boldsymbol{\tau}$

What should  $\tau$  depend on?

- avg. degree? and/or highest degree?
- and/or variance of degree?
- and/or third moment of degree?
- and/or diameter?



## **Epidemic threshold**

• [Theorem] We have no epidemic, if

$$\beta/\delta < \tau = 1/\lambda_{l,A}$$

SFU'11

## **Epidemic threshold**



# A2: will a virus take over?

- For **all** typical virus propagation models (flu, mumps, pertussis, HIV, etc)
- The **only** connectivity measure that matters, is

 $1/\lambda_1$ 

the first eigenvalue of the
 adj. matrix
[Prakash+, '10, arxiv]





## Thresholds for some models

- *s* = *effective strength*
- s < 1 : below threshold



Models	Effective Strength (s)	Threshold (tipping point)
SIS, SIR, SIRS, SEIR	$s = \lambda \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{\delta}\right)$	_
SIV, SEIV	$s = \lambda \cdot \left( \frac{\beta \gamma}{\delta(\gamma + \theta)} \right)$	<i>s</i> = 1
$SI_{1}I_{2}V_{1}V_{2}$ (H.I.V.)	$s = \lambda \cdot \left( \frac{\beta_1 v_2 + \beta_2 \varepsilon}{v_2 (\varepsilon + v_1)} \right)$	

#### A2: will a virus take over?



#### •Given

a network,
k vaccines, and
the virus details
Which nodes to immunize?

A: immunize the ones that maximally raise the `epidemic threshold' [Tong+, ICDM'10]

106



#### •Given

a network,
k vaccines, and
the virus details
Which nodes to immunize?

#### A: immunize the ones that

# Max eigen-drop Δλ for any virus!

107



#### Outline

- Introduction Motivation
- Problem#1: Patterns in graphs
- Problem#2: Tools
  - OddBall (anomaly detection)
  - Belief propagation
  - Immunization
- Problem#3: Scalability -PEGASUS
  - Conclusions
# **Scalability**



- Google: > 450,000 processors in clusters of ~2000 processors each [Barroso, Dean, Hölzle, "Web Search for a Planet: The Google Cluster Architecture" IEEE Micro 2003]
- Yahoo: 5Pb of data [Fayyad, KDD'07]
- Problem: machine failures, on a daily basis
- How to parallelize data mining tasks, then?
- A: map/reduce hadoop (open-source clone) http://hadoop.apache.org/



### **Outline – Algorithms & results**

	Centralized	Hadoop/ PEGASUS
Degree Distr.	old	old
Pagerank	old	old
Diameter/ANF	old	HERE
Conn. Comp	old	HERE
Triangles	done	HERE
Visualization	started	

SFU'11



# HADI for diameter estimation

- Radius Plots for Mining Tera-byte Scale Graphs U Kang, Charalampos Tsourakakis, Ana Paula Appel, Christos Faloutsos, Jure Leskovec, SDM'10
- Naively: diameter needs O(N\*\*2) space and up to O(N\*\*3) time – prohibitive (N~1B)
- Our HADI: linear on E (~10B)
  - Near-linear scalability wrt # machines
  - Several optimizations -> 5x faster





SFU'11



• Largest publicly available graph ever studied.





Q: Shape?

SFU'11

#### **Carnegie Mellon**



YahooWeb graph (120Gb, 1.4B nodes, 6.6 B edges)

- effective diameter: surprisingly small.
- Multi-modality (?!)

### **Carnegie Mellon**



Radius Plot of GCC of YahooWeb.





119

- effective diameter: surprisingly small.
- Multi-modality: probably mixture of cores . SFU'11 C. Faloutsos (CMU)







- effective diameter: surprisingly small.
- Multi-modality: probably mixture of cores . SFU'11 C. Faloutsos (CMU)







- effective diameter: surprisingly small.
- Multi-modality: probably mixture of cores . SFU'11 C. Faloutsos (CMU)





Running time - Kronecker and Erdos-Renyi Graphs with billions edges.

### **Outline – Algorithms & results**

	Centralized	Hadoop/ PEGASUS
Degree Distr.	old	old
Pagerank	old	old
Diameter/ANF	old	HERE
Conn. Comp	old	HERE
Triangles		HERE
Visualization	started	

SFU'11

# **Generalized Iterated Matrix Vector Multiplication (GIMV)**

<u>PEGASUS: A Peta-Scale Graph Mining</u> <u>System - Implementation and Observations</u>. U Kang, Charalampos E. Tsourakakis, and Christos Faloutsos. (ICDM) 2009, Miami, Florida, USA. Best Application Paper (runner-up).



# Generalized Iterated Matrix details Vector Multiplication (GIMV)



- proximity (RWR)
- Diameter
- Connected components
- (eigenvectors,
- Belief Prop.
- ... )

Matrix – vector Multiplication (iterated)

• Connected Components – 4 observations:



126











## **GIM-V At Work**

- Connected Components over Time
- LinkedIn: 7.5M nodes and 58M edges



# Stable tail slope after the gelling point

SFU'11

### Outline

- Introduction Motivation
- Problem#1: Patterns in graphs
- Problem#2: Tools
- Problem#3: Scalability
- Conclusions

# OVERALL CONCLUSIONS – low level:

- Several new **patterns** (fortification, triangle-laws, conn. components, etc)
- New tools:
  - anomaly detection (OddBall), belief propagation, immunization
- Scalability: PEGASUS / hadoop

# OVERALL CONCLUSIONS – high level

• **BIG DATA: Large** datasets reveal patterns/ outliers that are invisible otherwise



- Leman Akoglu, Christos Faloutsos: *RTG: A Recursive Realistic Graph Generator Using Random Typing*. ECML/PKDD (1) 2009: 13-28
- Deepayan Chakrabarti, Christos Faloutsos: *Graph mining: Laws, generators, and algorithms*. ACM Comput. Surv. 38(1): (2006)

- Deepayan Chakrabarti, Yang Wang, Chenxi Wang, Jure Leskovec, Christos Faloutsos: *Epidemic thresholds in real networks*. ACM Trans. Inf. Syst. Secur. 10(4): (2008)
- Deepayan Chakrabarti, Jure Leskovec, Christos Faloutsos, Samuel Madden, Carlos Guestrin, Michalis Faloutsos: *Information Survival Threshold in Sensor and P2P Networks*. INFOCOM 2007: 1316-1324

 Christos Faloutsos, Tamara G. Kolda, Jimeng Sun: Mining large graphs and streams using matrix and tensor tools. Tutorial, SIGMOD Conference 2007: 1174

 T. G. Kolda and J. Sun. Scalable Tensor Decompositions for Multi-aspect Data Mining. In: ICDM 2008, pp. 363-372, December 2008.

- Jure Leskovec, Jon Kleinberg and Christos Faloutsos *Graphs over Time: Densification Laws, Shrinking Diameters and Possible Explanations*, KDD 2005 (Best Research paper award).
- Jure Leskovec, Deepayan Chakrabarti, Jon M. Kleinberg, Christos Faloutsos: *Realistic, Mathematically Tractable Graph Generation and Evolution, Using Kronecker Multiplication.* PKDD 2005: 133-145

- Jimeng Sun, Yinglian Xie, Hui Zhang, Christos Faloutsos. Less is More: Compact Matrix Decomposition for Large Sparse Graphs, SDM, Minneapolis, Minnesota, Apr 2007.
- Jimeng Sun, Spiros Papadimitriou, Philip S. Yu, and Christos Faloutsos, *GraphScope: Parameterfree Mining of Large Time-evolving Graphs* ACM SIGKDD Conference, San Jose, CA, August 2007

 Jimeng Sun, Dacheng Tao, Christos Faloutsos: *Beyond streams and graphs: dynamic tensor analysis*. KDD 2006: 374-383

- Hanghang Tong, Christos Faloutsos, and Jia-Yu Pan, *Fast Random Walk with Restart and Its Applications*, ICDM 2006, Hong Kong.
- Hanghang Tong, Christos Faloutsos, *Center-Piece Subgraphs: Problem Definition and Fast Solutions*, KDD 2006, Philadelphia, PA

 Hanghang Tong, Christos Faloutsos, Brian Gallagher, Tina Eliassi-Rad: Fast best-effort pattern matching in large attributed graphs. KDD 2007: 737-746
## **Project info**

www.cs.cmu.edu/~pegasus









Koutra,

Danae





Prakash,

Aditya



Akoglu,	Kang, U	McGlohon,	Tong,
Leman		Mary	Hanghang
\star Out, next year			0 0

Thanks to: NSF IIS-0705359, IIS-0534205, CTA-INARC; Yahoo (M45), LLNL, IBM, SPRINT, Google, INTEL, HP, iLab