

Assignment 3: Adding streams to MinML

Model Solution

15-312 Foundations of Programming Languages
Kevin Watkins <kw@cmu.edu>

April 28, 2005

Please refer to the assignment itself for the full description and statement of each problem.

§ 1. Static semantics A 1.1. [5 pts] The question didn't specify whether to write the grammar in natural style or in higher-order abstract syntax style.

In natural style:

Types $\tau ::= \mathbf{int} \mid \mathbf{bool} \mid \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \mid \mathbf{stream}$
 Exprs $e ::= x \mid k \mid \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false} \mid \mathbf{if} \ e \ \mathbf{then} \ e_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ e_2 \mid o(e_1, \dots, e_n)$
 $\mid \mathbf{fun} \ f(x : \tau_1) : \tau_2 \ \mathbf{is} \ e \ \mathbf{end} \mid e_1 \ e_2 \mid \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2 \ \mathbf{end}$
 $\mid \mathbf{nil} \mid \mathbf{cons} \ \mathbf{rec} \ x = e_1 \# e_2$
 $\mid (\mathbf{case} \ e \ \mathbf{of} \ \mathbf{nil} \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x \# y \Rightarrow e_2)$

In h.o.a.s. style:

Types $\tau ::= \mathbf{int}() \mid \mathbf{bool}() \mid \mathbf{arrow}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \mid \mathbf{stream}()$
 Exprs $e ::= x \mid k() \mid \mathbf{true}() \mid \mathbf{false}() \mid \mathbf{if}(e, e_1, e_2) \mid o(e_1, \dots, e_n)$
 $\mid \mathbf{fun}(\tau_1, \tau_2, f.x.e) \mid \mathbf{app}(e_1, e_2) \mid \mathbf{let}(e_1, x.e_2)$
 $\mid \mathbf{nil}() \mid \mathbf{consrec}(x.e_1, x.e_2) \mid \mathbf{case}(e, e_1, x.y.e_2)$

A 1.2. [5 pts]

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{nil} : \mathbf{stream}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, (x : \mathbf{stream}) \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{int} \quad \Gamma, (x : \mathbf{stream}) \vdash e_2 : \mathbf{stream}}{\Gamma \vdash (\mathbf{cons} \ \mathbf{rec} \ x = e_1 \# e_2) : \mathbf{stream}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \mathbf{stream} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma, (x : \mathbf{int}), (y : \mathbf{stream}) \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash (\mathbf{case} \ e \ \mathbf{of} \ \mathbf{nil} \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x \# y \Rightarrow e_2) : \tau}$$

§ 2. Dynamic semantics A 2.1. [5 pts] In natural style:

Values $v ::= \mathbf{nil} \mid \mathbf{cons} \ \mathbf{rec} \ x = e_1 \# e_2$

In h.o.a.s. style:

Values $v ::= \mathbf{nil}() \mid \mathbf{consrec}(x.e_1, x.e_2)$

A 2.2. [5 pts]

$$\frac{e \mapsto e'}{\text{(case } e \text{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2) \mapsto \text{(case } e' \text{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2)}} \\ \frac{\text{(case nil of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2) \mapsto e_1}{v = \text{(cons rec } z = hd\#tl)}}{\text{(case } v \text{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2) \mapsto \text{(let } x = \{v/z\}hd \text{ in let } y = \{v/z\}tl \text{ in } e_2)}$$

§ 3. Type safety A 3.1. [30 pts]

First, the preservation theorem:

If $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$ and $e \mapsto e'$, then $\cdot \vdash e' : \tau$.

Proof. By rule induction on the derivation of $e \mapsto e'$.

Case $\left[\frac{e_0 \mapsto e'_0}{\text{(case } e_0 \text{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2) \mapsto \text{(case } e'_0 \text{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2)}} \right]$:
where $e = \text{(case } e_0 \text{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2)$ and $e' = \text{(case } e'_0 \text{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2)$

wts $\cdot \vdash \text{(case } e'_0 \text{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2) : \tau$

1. $\cdot \vdash \text{(case } e_0 \text{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2) : \tau$ (given)

2a. $\cdot \vdash e_0 : \text{stream}$ (inversion on 1)

2b. $\cdot \vdash e_1 : \tau$ (inversion on 1)

2c. $(x : \text{int}), (y : \text{stream}) \vdash e_2 : \tau$ (inversion on 1)

3. $e_0 \mapsto e'_0$ (given)

4. $\cdot \vdash e'_0 : \text{stream}$ (i.h. on 2a and 3)

5. $\cdot \vdash \text{(case } e'_0 \text{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2) : \tau$ (inference rule on 4, 2b, and 2c)

Case $\left[\frac{\text{(case nil of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2) \mapsto e_1}{\text{(case nil of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2) \mapsto e_1} \right]$:

where $e = \text{(case nil of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2)$ and $e' = e_1$

wts $\cdot \vdash e_1 : \tau$

1. $\cdot \vdash \text{(case nil of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2) : \tau$ (given)

2. $\cdot \vdash e_1 : \tau$ (inversion on 1)

Case $\left[\frac{v = \text{(cons rec } z = hd\#tl)}{\text{(case } v \text{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2) \mapsto \text{(let } x = \{v/z\}hd \text{ in let } y = \{v/z\}tl \text{ in } e_2)}} \right]$:
where $e = \text{(case } v \text{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2)$ and $e' = \text{(let } x = \{v/z\}hd \text{ in let } y = \{v/z\}tl \text{ in } e_2)$

wts $\cdot \vdash \text{(let } x = \{v/z\}hd \text{ in let } y = \{v/z\}tl \text{ in } e_2) : \tau$

1. $\cdot \vdash \text{(case } v \text{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x\#y \Rightarrow e_2) : \tau$ (given)

2a. $\cdot \vdash v : \text{stream}$ (inversion on 1)

2b. $(x : \text{int}), (y : \text{stream}) \vdash e_2 : \tau$ (inversion on 1)

3a. $(z : \text{stream}) \vdash hd : \text{int}$ (inversion on 2a)

3b. $(z : \text{stream}) \vdash tl : \text{stream}$ (inversion on 2a)

4. $\cdot \vdash \{v/z\}hd : \text{int}$ (Value Substitution on 2a and 3a)

5. $\cdot \vdash \{v/z\}tl : \mathbf{stream}$ (Value Substitution on 2a and 3b)
6. $(x : \mathbf{int}) \vdash (\mathbf{let } y = \{v/z\}tl \mathbf{ in } e_2) : \tau$ (inference rule on 5 and 2b)
7. $\cdot \vdash (\mathbf{let } x = \{v/z\}hd \mathbf{ in let } y = \{v/z\}tl \mathbf{ in } e_2) : \tau$ (inference rule on 4 and 6)

And all the rest of the cases are the same as for the original MinML.

Next, the progress theorem:

If $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$ then e is a value or $e \mapsto e'$ for some e' .

Proof. By rule induction on the derivation of $\cdot \vdash e : \tau$.

Case [$\overline{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{nil} : \mathbf{stream}}$]:

where $\Gamma = \cdot$, $e = \mathbf{nil}$, and $\tau = \mathbf{stream}$

wts \mathbf{nil} is a value or $\mathbf{nil} \mapsto e'$ for some e'

1. \mathbf{nil} is a value (syntax of values)

Case [$\overline{\Gamma, (x : \mathbf{stream}) \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{int} \quad \Gamma, (x : \mathbf{stream}) \vdash e_2 : \mathbf{stream}} \quad \Gamma \vdash (\mathbf{cons rec } x = e_1 \# e_2) : \mathbf{stream}}$]:

where $\Gamma = \cdot$, $e = (\mathbf{cons rec } x = e_1 \# e_2)$, and $\tau = \mathbf{stream}$

wts $\mathbf{cons rec } x = e_1 \# e_2$ is a value or $(\mathbf{cons rec } x = e_1 \# e_2) \mapsto e'$ for some e'

1. $\mathbf{cons rec } x = e_1 \# e_2$ is a value (syntax of values)

Case [$\overline{\Gamma \vdash e_0 : \mathbf{stream} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma, (x : \mathbf{int}), (y : \mathbf{stream}) \vdash e_2 : \tau} \quad \Gamma \vdash (\mathbf{case } e_0 \mathbf{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x \# y \Rightarrow e_2) : \tau$]:

where $\Gamma = \cdot$ and $e = (\mathbf{case } e_0 \mathbf{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x \# y \Rightarrow e_2)$

wts $\mathbf{case } e_0 \mathbf{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x \# y \Rightarrow e_2$ is a value or $(\mathbf{case } e_0 \mathbf{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x \# y \Rightarrow e_2) \mapsto e'$ for some e'

1. $\cdot \vdash e_0 : \mathbf{stream}$ (premise)

Subcase [e_0 is not a value]:

- 1.2. $e_0 \mapsto e'_0$ for some e'_0 (i.h. on 1)
- 1.3. $(\mathbf{case } e_0 \mathbf{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x \# y \Rightarrow e_2) \mapsto (\mathbf{case } e'_0 \mathbf{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x \# y \Rightarrow e_2)$ (inference rule on 1.2)

Subcase [e_0 is a value]:

Now split cases based on the last rule in the derivation of $\cdot \vdash e_0 : \mathbf{stream}$. (Officially this is the *canonical forms lemma*, but it's so simple in this case I'm not bothering to state it as a separate lemma.)

Subsubcase [$\overline{\Gamma_0 \vdash \mathbf{nil} : \mathbf{stream}}$]:

where $\Gamma_0 = \cdot$ and $e_0 = \mathbf{nil}$

- 2.2. $(\mathbf{case nil of nil } \Rightarrow e_1 \mid x \# y \Rightarrow e_2) \mapsto e_1$ (inference rule)

Subsubcase [$\overline{\Gamma_0, (z : \mathbf{stream}) \vdash hd : \mathbf{int} \quad \Gamma_0, (z : \mathbf{stream}) \vdash tl : \mathbf{stream}} \quad \Gamma_0 \vdash (\mathbf{cons rec } z = hd \# tl) : \mathbf{stream}}$]:

where $\Gamma_0 = \cdot$ and $e_0 = (\mathbf{cons rec } z = hd \# tl)$

- 2.2. $(\mathbf{case } (\mathbf{cons rec } z = hd \# tl) \mathbf{ of nil } \Rightarrow e_0 \mid x \# y \Rightarrow e_2) \mapsto (\mathbf{let } x = \{e_0/z\}hd \mathbf{ in let } y = \{e_0/z\}tl \mathbf{ in } e_2)$

And the rest of the cases are the same as for the original MinML.