Advanced Introduction to Machine Learning

10715, Fall 2014

Structured Sparsity, with application in Computational Genomics

Eric Xing

Lecture 3, September 15, 2014

Reading: © Eric Xing @ CMU, 2014 1

Structured Sparsity

- $\beta^* = \arg \min L(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}; \beta) + \mathbf{\Omega}(\beta)$
- **•** Sparsity
	- $\Omega(\beta) = \sum_i |\beta_i|$
- **Group sparsity**

$$
\Omega(\beta) = \sum_{c} |\beta_{G_c}|_2 = \sum_{c} \sqrt{\sum_{i \in G_c} \beta_i^2}
$$

Total variation sparsity

$$
\Omega(\beta) = \sum_{c} |\beta_{G_c}|_{TV} = \sum_{c} \sum_{i \in G_c} |\beta_i - \beta_{i-1}|
$$

 $\mathbf{X_{1}}$

 X_2

y

 β ¹

Genetic Basis of Diseases

Genetic Association Mapping

- **Atopic dermatitis: Esparza-Gordillo et al. 2009.**
- **Arthritis: Suzuki et al. 2008**

Genetic Basis of Complex Diseases

Causal SNPs

© Eric Xing @ CMU, 2014

Healthy

Cancer

Genetic Basis of Complex Diseases

Structured Association

Structured Sparse Association : a New Paradigm

 $\dot{j}=1$

Sparse Learning

 \bullet Linear Model:

$$
\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}, \quad \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times J}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_{N \times N})
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_j, \dots, \beta_J)^T \in \mathbb{R}^J
$$

 \bullet Lasso (Sparse Linear Regression) **[R.Tibshirani 96]**

$$
\underset{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^J}{\arg \min} f(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}||_2^2 + \Omega(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \quad \Omega(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \lambda ||\boldsymbol{\beta}||_1
$$

$$
||\boldsymbol{\beta}||_1 = \sum |\beta_j|
$$

Why sparse solution?

penalizing $\lambda\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_1$

$$
\prod_{\text{constraining}}^{\text{Constraining}} \|\beta\|_1 \leq \gamma
$$

Multi-Task Extension

0 Multi-Task Linear Model:

Input:
$$
\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_J) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times J}
$$

Output: $\mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{y}_1, ..., \mathbf{y}_K) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}$

$$
\mathbf{y}_k = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k + \epsilon_k, \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, K
$$

Coefficients for *k***-***th* **task:** $\mathbf{B} = (\boldsymbol{\beta}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\beta}_K) \in \mathbb{R}^{J \times K}$ **Coefficient Matrix:**

Outline

- Background: Sparse multivariate regression for disease association studies
- Structured association a new paradigm
	- Association to a **graph**-structured phenome
		- Graph-guided fused lasso (Kim & Xing, PLoS Genetics, 2009)
	- Association to a **tree**-structured phenome
		- Tree-guided group lasso (Kim & Xing, ICML 2010)

Multivariate Regression for Single-Trait Association Analysis

Multivariate Regression for Single-Trait Association Analysis

Multivariate Regression for Multiple-Trait Association Analysis

Multiple-trait Association: Graph-Constrained Fused Lasso

Fusion Penalty

- Fusion Penalty: | *βjk - βjm |*
- For two correlated traits (connected in the network), the association strengths may have similar values.

Graph-Constrained Fused Lasso

Overall effect

- Fusion effect propagates to the entire network
- Association between SNPs and subnetworks of traits

Multiple-trait Association: Graph-Weighted Fused Lasso

Overall effect

- Subnetwork structure is embedded as a densely connected nodes with large edge weights
- Edges with small weights are effectively ignored

Estimating Parameters

- Quadratic programming formulation
	- Graph-constrained fused lasso

$$
\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{\text{GC}} = \operatorname{argmin} \sum_{k} (\mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)^T \cdot (\mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)
$$
\ns. t.
$$
\sum_{k} \sum_{j} |\beta_{jk}| \leq s_1 \text{ and } \sum_{(m,l) \in E} \sum_{j} |\beta_{jm} - \operatorname{sign}(r_{ml})\beta_{jl}| \leq s_2
$$

Graph-weighted fused lasso

$$
\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{\text{GW}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{k} \sum_{k} (\mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)^T \cdot (\mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)
$$
\ns. t.
$$
\sum_{k} \sum_{j} |\beta_{jk}| \leq s_1 \text{ and } \sum_{(m,l) \in E} f(r_{ml}) \sum_{j} |\beta_{jm} - \operatorname{sign}(r_{ml})\beta_{jl}| \leq s_2.
$$

 Many publicly available software packages for solving convex optimization problems can be used

Simulation Results

[©] Eric Xing @ CMU, 2014 28

 \circ Eric Xing \circ CMU, 2014 \circ 300 $\$

Balanced Shrinkage

Proposition 1 For each of the k-th output (gene), the sum of the weights w_v for all nodes $v \in V$ in T whose group G_v contains the k-th output (gene) as a member equals one. In other words, the following holds:

Estimating Parameters

Second-order cone program

$$
\hat{\mathbf{B}}^T \quad = \quad \operatornamewithlimits{argmin}\quad \sum_k (\mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)^T \cdot (\mathbf{y}_k - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k) + \lambda \sum_j \sum_{v \in V} w_v \lVert \boldsymbol{\beta}^j_{G_v} \rVert_2
$$

 Many publicly available software packages for solving convex optimization problems can be used

Illustration with Simulated Data

Yeast eQTL Analysis

Hierarchicalclustering tree

Single‐Marker Single‐Trait Test

Tree‐guided group lasso

Ultimately …

Structured Input/Output-Lasso

[Lee, Zhu and Xing, submitted 2010]

$$
\beta_{io-lasso} = \arg \min_{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(Y_i^k - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j^k X_{ij} - \sum_{(r,s)\in U} \beta_{rs}^k Z_{i,rs}\right) + \underbrace{\lambda_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} |\beta_j^k|}_{\text{with the first term, the first term, with } \beta_{rs} \text{ is the same as a function, with } \beta_{rs} \text{ with } \beta_{rs} \text{ is the same as a function, with } \beta_{rs} \text{ in } \beta_{rs} \text{ with } \beta_{rs} \text{
$$

This full model incorporates input/output structure of the dataset as well as epistatic effects guided by genetic interaction networks

38

Sensitivity and Specificity varying the number of SNPs

□ Marginal SNP: Methods taking advantage of output structures outperforms others.

 \square Epistatic SNP: Methods taking advantage of input structures outperforms others.

 \Box IO-Lasso outperforms other methods for detecting both marginal & epsitatic eQTLs

 \clubsuit For each number of SNPs, we show the average of the performance with 5 different simulated data $© Eric Xing @ CMU, 2014$ 39

9/15/2014

Computation Time

Proximal Gradient Descent

Original

\n
$$
\arg\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^J} f(\beta) \equiv \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta||_2^2 + \Omega(\beta)
$$
\n**Problem:**

\n
$$
\Omega(\beta) = \max_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}} \alpha^T C \beta
$$
\n**Approximation**

\n
$$
\arg\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^J} \tilde{f}(\beta) \equiv \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta||_2^2 + f_{\mu}(\beta)
$$
\n
$$
f_{\mu}(\beta) = \max_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}} \alpha^T C \beta - \mu d(\alpha)
$$
\n**Gradient of the**

\n
$$
\nabla \tilde{f}(\beta) = \mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{X}\beta - \mathbf{y}) + C^T \alpha^*
$$
\n**Approximation**

\n
$$
\alpha^* = \arg\max_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}} \alpha^T C \beta - \mu d(\alpha)
$$
\n
$$
\therefore \alpha \in \mathcal{Q}
$$

 $\nabla \widetilde{f}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is Lipschitz continuous with the Lipschitz constant L

$$
L = \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}) + L_{\mu}
$$

Geometric Interpretation

Convergence Rate

Theorem: If we require $f(\boldsymbol{\beta}^t) - f(\boldsymbol{\beta}^*) \leq \epsilon$ and set $\mu = \frac{\epsilon}{2D}$, the number of iterations is upper bounded by:

$$
t \leq \sqrt{\frac{4\|\boldsymbol{\beta}^*\|_2^2}{\epsilon}\bigg(\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}) + \frac{2D\|\Gamma\|^2}{\epsilon}\bigg)} = O(\frac{1}{\epsilon})
$$

Remarks: state of the art IPM method for for SOCP converges at a rate $O(\frac{1}{c^2})$

Multi-Task Time Complexity

Pre-compute:

 $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{Y}: O(J^2N+JKN)$

Per-iteration Complexity (computing gradient)

Proximal-Gradient: Independent of Sample Size

 \mathbf{C}

 \bullet

Experiments

Multi-task Graph Structured Sparse Learning (GFlasso)

 $\mu = 10^{-4}, \rho = 0.5$

 Multi-task Tree-Structured Sparse Learning (TreeLasso)

Conclusions

- Novel statistical methods for joint association analysis to correlated phenotypes
	- \blacksquare Graph-structured phenome : graph-guided fused lasso
	- Tree-structured phenome : tree-guided group lasso

Advantages

- Greater power to detect weak association signals
- Fewer false positives
- Joint association to multiple correlated phenotypes