# Final Exam

### 15-816 Substructural Logics Frank Pfenning

December 12, 2016

Name:

Andrew ID:

## Instructions

- This exam is closed-book, closed-notes.
- You have 3 hours to complete the exam.
- There are 6 problems.

	Ordered Logic	Focusing	Call by Push Value	Cost Semantics	SSOS	True Concurrency	
	Prob 1	Prob 2	Prob 3	Prob 4	Prob 5	Prob 6	Total
Score							
Max	45	45	60	40	40	20	250

### Problem 1: Ordered Logic (45 pts)

There is a "quick check" whether a sequent in the fragment of ordered logic with  $A \setminus B$  and  $A \bullet B$  *may* be provable by translating the sequent into the free group over its propositional variables and checking whether the antecedents and succedent denote the same group element.

Recall that a group can be defined by a binary operator  $a \cdot b$ , a unit element e, and an inverse operator  $a^{-1}$  satisfying the laws on the left, with some additional useful properties on the right.

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c) \qquad (a^{-1})^{-1} = a a \cdot e = a = e \cdot a \qquad e^{-1} = e a \cdot a^{-1} = e = a^{-1} \cdot a \qquad (a \cdot b)^{-1} = b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1}$$

The interpretation of propositions and antecedents is defined by

 $\begin{bmatrix} p \end{bmatrix} = p & \text{for atoms or propositional variables } p \\ \begin{bmatrix} A \bullet B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} B \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} A \setminus B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} B \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = e \\ \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_1 & \Omega_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_2 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Then for any *A* such that  $\Omega \vdash A$  we have  $\llbracket \Omega \rrbracket = \llbracket A \rrbracket$ . For example,  $\vdash a \setminus (b \setminus (b \bullet a))$  and

$$\llbracket a \setminus (b \setminus (b \bullet a)) \rrbracket = a^{-1} \cdot \llbracket b \setminus (b \bullet a) \rrbracket = a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1} \cdot \llbracket b \bullet a \rrbracket = a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b \cdot a = a^{-1} \cdot a = e = \llbracket \rrbracket$$

Task 1 (5 pts). Apply this test to check whether

$$((a \setminus b) \setminus (a \setminus a)) \setminus c \vdash (a \setminus a) \setminus ((b \setminus a) \setminus c)$$

might be provable. Do not try to prove or refute this formula.

**Task 2** (5 pts). Find two propositions  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  consisting only of propositional variables and the connective  $\setminus$  such that  $A_0 \vdash B_0$  passes the test but is not provable.

$$A_0 =$$

$$B_0 =$$

**Task 3** (20 pts). Fill in some cases in the proof that  $\Omega \vdash A$  implies  $\llbracket \Omega \rrbracket = \llbracket A \rrbracket$ .

**Proof:** By induction of the deduction of  $\Omega \vdash A$ .

Case: Rule id

**Case:** Rule  $\backslash R$ 

Case: Rule  $\L$ 

**Task 4** (10 pts). Extend the translation to encompass A / B,  $A \circ B$  and **1** so that the test remains valid. You do not need to extend the proof.

$$\llbracket A / B \rrbracket = \\ \llbracket A \circ B \rrbracket = \\ \llbracket 1 \rrbracket =$$

**Task 5** (5 pts). Explain how to adapt this test to *multiplicative linear logic* with connectives  $A \multimap B$ ,  $A \otimes B$ , and **1**, and provide the interpretation of these connectives below.

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \multimap B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} B \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} A \otimes B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} B \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} = e$$

## Problem 2: Focusing (45 pts)

Consider the sentence *John never works for Jane* where we attached the following types to the sentence constituents:

John	never	works	for	Jane	
:	:	:	:	:	
n	$(n\setminus s) \ / \ (n\setminus s)$	$n \setminus s$	$(s\setminus s) \ / \ n$	n	$\vdash s$

**Task 1** (5 pts). Assume n is positive and s is negative. Polarize the following definitions by inserting the minimal number of shifts.

**Task 2** (20 pts). Provide all the synthetic rules of inference that arise from focusing on propositions in the sequent that represents parsing *John never works for Jane* as a sentence.

Task 3 (20 pts). Provide all the possible complete or partial failing proofs ending in

n adv itv prep n  $\vdash$  s

using only the synthetic rules of inference. We think of proof construction proceeding upwards, from the conclusion. Write out the (partial) proof below and fill in:

- There are \_\_\_\_\_ different complete proofs.
- There are \_\_\_\_\_\_ failing incomplete proofs.

#### Problem 3: Call-by-Push-Value (60 pts)

In this problem we explore call-by-push-value (CBPV)

Task 1 (5 pts). In CBPV,							
computations are (circle one)	(i) positive	or (ii) negative					
values are (circle one)	(i) positive	or (ii) negative					

**Task 2** (20 pts). Annotate the given rules with their terms in CBPV on the right and give the types A and B their correct polarity. Use M, N to stand for computations and V, W for values.

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \to B} \to I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \to B \quad \Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash B} \to E$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash \downarrow A} \downarrow I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \downarrow A}{\Gamma \vdash A} \downarrow E$$

**Task 3** (5 pts). Give the local reduction(s) for  $\rightarrow I$  followed by  $\rightarrow E$ . You only need to express it on the proof terms, not the deductions.

**Task 4** (5 pts). Give the local reduction(s) for  $\downarrow I$  followed  $\downarrow E$ . You only need to express it on the proof terms, not the deductions.

**Task 5** (5 pts). Polarize the following two types using only  $\downarrow$ , also assigning polarities to type variables *A*, *B*, and *C* in each case.

**Task 6** (5 pts). We write  $\overline{E}$  for the translation of a simply-typed term *E* into CBPV. Insert appropriate constructs so that the following simply-typed term is well-typed under CBPV and your polarization.

$$K : A \to (B \to A)$$
  
=  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$   
$$\overline{K} = \lambda x. \lambda y. \text{ force } x$$

**Task 7** (5 pts). Insert appropriate constructs so that the following simply-typed terms well-typed under CBPV and your polarization

$$S : (A \to (B \to C)) \to ((A \to B) \to (A \to C))$$
  
=  $\lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda z. (x z) (y z)$ 

$$\overline{S} = \lambda x. \ \lambda y. \ \lambda z. \ ((\text{force } x) \ z) \ (\text{thunk} \ ((\text{force } y) \ z))$$

**Task 8** (10 pts). Compute the terminal computation or value corresponding to the properly polarized form of (S K) K by applying local reductions anywhere in the term. Show the result of each reduction.

$$\overline{(S \ K) \ K} = \longrightarrow$$

$$\stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\vdots}$$

## Problem 4: Cost Semantics (40 pts)

In this problem with consider the ordered substructural operational semantics for the subsingleton fragment of ordered logic with  $\oplus$  and 1

**Task 1** (20 pts). Complete the following rules to describe *asynchronous* communication. The first two rules have been filled in for you.

$$\frac{\operatorname{proc}(P \mid Q)}{\operatorname{proc}(P) \quad \operatorname{proc}(Q)} \qquad \frac{\operatorname{proc}(\leftrightarrow)}{\cdot}$$

Computation rules for  $\oplus$  (process expressions  $R.l_k$ ; P and (caseL  $(l_i \Rightarrow Q_i)_{i \in I}$ ))

Rules for 1 (process expressions closeR and waitL; Q)

**Task 2** (20 pts). Instrument the operational semantics to count the total number of processes that are spawned. Assume we start with the configuration proc(1, P) for  $\cdot \vdash P : \mathbf{1}$ . If we terminate with the configuration msg(k, closeR) then k should be the total number of processes spawned during the computation. Do not count any messages. Feel free to substitute, add, or delete rules.

#### Problem 5: Substructural Operational Semantics (40 pts)

Consider the typing rules for the constructs in call-by-push-value associated with  $\uparrow A^+$ .

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash V: A^+}{\Gamma \vdash \operatorname{return} V: \uparrow A^+} \uparrow I \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash M: \uparrow A^+ \quad \Gamma, x: A^+ \vdash N: C^-}{\Gamma \vdash \operatorname{let} \operatorname{val} x = M \operatorname{in} N: C^-} \uparrow E$$

We present the evaluation rules in the form of an ordered substructural operational semantics, which is based on three predicates eval(M), retn(T), and cont(K), where M is a *computation*, T is a *terminal computation*, and K is a continuation with a "hole" indicated by an underscore.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{ev\_letval} & : & \operatorname{eval}(\operatorname{let}\operatorname{val} x = M \operatorname{in} N) \setminus \uparrow (\operatorname{eval}(M) \bullet \operatorname{cont}(\operatorname{let}\operatorname{val} x = \_ \operatorname{in} N)) \\ \operatorname{ev\_return} & : & \operatorname{eval}(\operatorname{return} V) \setminus \uparrow \operatorname{retn}(\operatorname{return} V) \\ \operatorname{rt\_return} & : & \operatorname{retn}(\operatorname{return} V) \bullet \operatorname{cont}(\operatorname{let}\operatorname{val} x = \_ \operatorname{in} N) \setminus \uparrow \operatorname{eval}([V/x]N) \end{array}$ 

**Task 1** (20 pts). Re-express the ordered specification in a linear framework such as CLF by adding destinations.

ev\_letval :

ev\_return :

rt\_return :

**Task 2** (20 pts). Now we would like to introduce some parallelism into the evaluation of let val x = M in N. Informally, we evaluate M and N concurrently, with a new destination d for x acting as a form of channel connecting M and N.

In the specification, you may need a different form of continuation, and revise and possibly add some rules. Introduce a new *persistent* predicate  $\underline{bind}(V, d)$  which states that the value of the destination *d* is permanently the value *V*.

## Problem 6: True Concurrency (20 pts)

**Task 1** (10 pts). What is *true concurrency*?

Task 2 (10 pts). How is *true concurrency* manifest in the Concurrent Logical Framework (CLF)?

## **Appendix: Some Inference Rules**

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Propositions} & A,B,C & ::= & p \mid A \oplus B \mid A \otimes B \mid \mathbf{1} \\ & \mid & A \ / \ B \mid B \setminus A \mid A \bullet B \mid A \circ B \end{array}$$

#### Judgmental rules

$$\frac{1}{A \vdash A} \operatorname{id}_A \qquad \frac{\Omega \vdash A \quad \Omega_L A \ \Omega_R \vdash C}{\Omega_L \ \Omega \ \Omega_R \vdash C} \operatorname{cut}_A$$

#### **Propositional rules**

$$\begin{split} \frac{A}{\Omega \vdash A} \frac{\Omega \vdash B}{\Omega \vdash A \setminus B} \setminus R & \frac{\Omega' \vdash A}{\Omega_L} \frac{\Omega}{\Omega_L} \frac{B}{\Omega_R} \frac{\Omega}{\Omega_R} \frac{C}{\Omega_L} \nabla L \\ \frac{\Omega}{\Omega \vdash A \setminus B} \frac{\Omega}{\Lambda \vdash B} R & \frac{\Omega' \vdash A}{\Omega_L (B \setminus A)} \frac{\Omega}{\Omega_R} \frac{\Omega}{\Omega_R} \frac{C}{\Gamma} L \\ \frac{\Omega \vdash A}{\Omega \cap H} \frac{\Omega' \vdash B}{\Omega \cap H} \bullet R & \frac{\Omega_L A B}{\Omega_L (A \bullet B)} \frac{\Omega}{\Omega_R} \frac{C}{\Omega_L} \bullet L \\ \frac{\Omega \vdash B}{\Omega \cap H} \frac{\Omega' \vdash A}{\delta \cap B} \bullet R & \frac{\Omega_L B A \Omega_R}{\Omega_L (A \bullet B)} \frac{\Omega}{\Omega_R} \frac{C}{\Omega_L} \bullet L \\ \frac{\Omega \vdash B}{\Omega \cap H} \frac{\Omega' \vdash A}{\delta \cap B} \circ R & \frac{\Omega_L B A \Omega_R}{\Omega_L (A \circ B)} \frac{\Omega}{\Omega_R} \frac{C}{\Omega_L} \bullet L \\ \frac{\Omega \vdash A}{\Omega \vdash A \circ B} \bullet R & \frac{\Omega_L \Omega_R}{\Omega_L (A \circ B)} \frac{\Omega_R}{\Omega_R} \frac{C}{\Omega_L (A \circ B)} \frac{\Omega_R}{\Omega_R} \frac{C}{\Omega_L (A \circ B)} \frac{\Omega_R}{\Omega_R} \frac{C}{\Omega_L (A \circ B)} \oplus L \\ \frac{\Omega \vdash A}{\Omega \vdash A \otimes B} \oplus R_1 & \frac{\Omega \vdash B}{\Omega \vdash A \oplus B} \oplus R_2 & \frac{\Omega_L A \Omega_R}{\Omega_L (A \oplus B)} \frac{\Omega_R}{\Omega_R} \frac{C}{\Omega_L (A \otimes B)} \frac{C}{\Omega_R} \frac{C}{\Omega_L (A \otimes B)} \frac{C}{\Omega_R} \frac{C}{\Omega_L (A \otimes B)} \frac{C}{\Omega_R} \frac{C}{\Omega_R} \frac{C}{\Omega_R} \frac{C}{\Omega_$$

Types 
$$A, B, C$$
 ::=  $\bigoplus \{l_i : A_i\}_{i \in I} \mid \& \{l_i : A_i\}_{i \in I} \mid \mathbf{1}$   
 $\mid A / B \mid B \setminus A \mid A \bullet B \mid A \circ B$ 

**Judgmental Rules** 

$$\frac{\Omega \vdash P_x :: (x:A) \quad \Omega_L \ (x:A) \ \Omega_R \vdash Q_x :: (z:C)}{\Omega_L \ \Omega \ \Omega_R \vdash (x \leftarrow P_x \ ; \ Q_x) :: (z:C)} \ \text{ cut } \qquad \frac{y:A \vdash x \leftarrow y :: (x:A)}{y:A \vdash x \leftarrow y :: (x:A)} \ \text{ id }$$

**Propositional Rules** 

#### **Computation Rules**

$$\frac{\frac{\operatorname{proc}(z, x \leftarrow P_x ; Q_x)}{\operatorname{proc}(w, P_w) - \operatorname{proc}(z, Q_w)} \operatorname{cmp}^w \quad \frac{\operatorname{proc}(x, x \leftarrow y)}{x = y} \text{ fwd } \frac{\operatorname{proc}(x, \operatorname{close} x) - \operatorname{proc}(z, \operatorname{wait} x ; Q)}{\operatorname{proc}(z, Q)} \mathbf{1}C$$

$$\frac{\operatorname{proc}(x, x.l_k ; P) - \operatorname{proc}(z, \operatorname{case} x (l_i \Rightarrow Q_i)_{i \in I})}{\operatorname{proc}(x, P) - \operatorname{proc}(z, Q_k)} \oplus C \quad \frac{\operatorname{proc}(x, \operatorname{case} x (l_i \Rightarrow P_i)_{i \in I}) - \operatorname{proc}(z, x.l_k ; Q)}{\operatorname{proc}(x, Q) - \operatorname{proc}(z, P_k)} \otimes C$$

$$\frac{\operatorname{proc}(x, y \leftarrow \operatorname{recv} x ; P_y) - \operatorname{proc}(z, \operatorname{send} x w ; Q)}{\operatorname{proc}(z, Q_k)} / C, \setminus C \quad \frac{\operatorname{proc}(x, \operatorname{send} x w ; P) - \operatorname{proc}(z, y \leftarrow \operatorname{recv} x ; Q_y)}{\operatorname{proc}(P) - \operatorname{proc}(Q_w)} \bullet C, \circ C$$