# Chapter 2 Probability on Events

# Sample Space and Events

Probability is defined in terms of some experiment.

 $\Omega$  = Sample space of the experiment = Set of all possible outcomes

Defn: An event,  $E$ , is any subset of the sample space,  $\Omega$ .



### Sample Space and Events

Defn: If  $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are **mutually exclusive**.

Defn: If  $E_1, E_2, ..., E_n$  are events such that  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ ,  $\forall i \neq j$ , and such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i = F$  then we say that events  $E_1, E_2, ..., E_n$ **partition** set  $F$ .

**Q**: What is an example of events that partition  $\Omega$  for 2 rolls of a die?



# Sample Space and Events

Defn: A sample space is **discrete** if the number of outcomes is: en al countable sur les annuals de la countable de la countable de la countable

 A sample space is **continuous** if the number of outcomes is: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. uncountable

**Q**: Which of these experiments have a discrete/continuous sample space?

 Roll a die 2 times discrete Throw a dart at a unit interval. continuous  $\Box$  Flip a coin until we see the first head.  $\Box$  Mark the time when the 100<sup>th</sup> email arrives. discrete continuous

# Probability Defined on Events

 $P\{E\}$  = probability of event  $E$ 

 $=$  probability that the outcome of the experiment lies in set  $E$ 

The 3 Probability Axioms:

**Non-negativity:**  $P\{E\} \geq 0$  for any event E.

**Additivity**: If  $E_1, E_2, E_3, ...$  is a countable sequence of disjoint events, then  ${\bf P}\{E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \cdots\} = {\bf P}\{E_1\} + {\bf P}\{E_2\} + {\bf P}\{E_3\} + \cdots$ 

**Normalization:**  $P\{\Omega\} = 1$ 

# Consequences of the 3 Probability Axioms

Lemma 2.5:  $P{E \cup F} = P{E} + P{F} - P{E \cap F}$ 



Proof: (Hint: Think about Additivity Axiom)

 $\bullet$  = Sample point

# Consequences of the 3 Probability Axioms





Proof:

Express  $E \cup F$  as a union of mutually exclusive sets  $E \cup F = E \cup (F \setminus (E \cap F))$ 

Then, by the Additivity Axiom we have 2 observations:  $P{E \cup F} = P{E} + P{F \setminus (E \cap F)}$  ${} \ P\{F\} = {} \ P\{F \setminus (E \cap F)\} + {} \ P\{E \cap F\}$ 

Now substitute the  $2^{nd}$  equation into the  $1^{st}$ .

#### Lemma 2.6:  $P{E \cup F} \leq P{E} + P{F}$

Proof: WHY??

# Consequences of the 3 Probability Axioms

**Q**: You throw a dart, equally likely to land anywhere in [0,1]. What is  $P$ {Dart lands at 0.3}?

(Argue using the Probability Axioms.)







Two equivalent views:

$$
P{E | F} = \frac{2}{10}
$$
 (of the 10 outcomes in set *F*,  
only 2 of these are in set *E*)

$$
P\{E \mid F\} = \frac{P\{E \cap F\}}{P\{F\}} = \frac{\frac{2}{42}}{\frac{10}{42}} = \frac{2}{10}
$$

Defn: The conditional probability of event *E* given event *F* is  
\n
$$
P{E|F} = \frac{P{E \cap F}}{P{F}}
$$
\nassuming  $P{F} > 0$ .

#### Sandwich choices:



**Q:** What is  $P$ {Cheese | 2<sup>nd</sup> half of week}?



"Introduction to Probability for Computing", Harchol-Balter '24

Defn: The conditional probability of event *E* given event *F* is  
\n
$$
P{E|F} = \frac{P{E \cap F}}{P{F}}
$$
\nassuming  $P{F} > 0$ .

1<sup>st</sup> half

of week

2nd half

of week

#### Sandwich choices:

Tues – Cheese Wed – Turkey Thur – Cheese Fri – Turkey Sat – Cheese Sun – None

**Q:** What is  $P$ {Cheese | 2<sup>nd</sup> half of week}? Mon  $-$  Jelly  $\bigcap$  Argue this from 2 views.

$P$ {\n        2 <sup>nd</sup> half } = \frac{2}{4} (of the 4 days in 2 <sup>nd</sup> half,\n
$P$ {\n        2 <sup>nd</sup> half } = \frac{P{\text{2 <sup>nd</sup> half}}{P{\text{2 <sup>nd</sup> half}}} = \frac{\frac{2}{7}}{\frac{4}{7}} = \frac{2}{4}\n\n

"Introduction to Probability for Computing", Harchol-Balter '24



**Q:** What is  $P$ {both are colts  $| \ge 1$  colt}?

The offspring of a horse is called a foal. Horse couples have one foal at a time. Each foal is equally likely to be a "colt" or a "filly."

We're told that a horse couple had 2 foals, and at least one of these is a colt.



The offspring of a horse is called a foal. Horse couples have one foal at a time. Each foal is equally likely to be a "colt" or a "filly."

We're told that a horse couple had 2 foals, and at least one of these is a colt.

=  $P\{\text{both are colts } \& \geq 1 \text{ colt}\}$  $P\{\geq 1 \text{ colt}\}$  $P\{\text{both are colts }|\geq 1 \text{ colt}\}\$ =  $P\{$  both are colts  $\}$  $\overline{P\{\geq 1 \text{ colt}\}}$ =  $\frac{1}{\overline{1}}$  $\frac{4}{2}$  $\frac{3}{5}$ 4 = 1  $\frac{3}{5}$ 



The offspring of a horse is called a foal. Horse couples have one foal at a time. Each foal is equally likely to be a "colt" or a "filly."

We're told that a horse couple had 2 foals, and at least one of these is a colt.

$$
P\{\text{both are colts} \mid \ge 1 \text{ colt}\}\
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{3}
$$



If 
$$
P\{E_1 \cap E_2\} > 0
$$
, then:  

$$
P\{E_2 | E_1\} = \frac{P\{E_1 \cap E_2\}}{P\{E_1\}}
$$

Equivalently, we can write:

If  ${P}$ { $E_1$  ∩  $E_2$ } > 0, then:



"Introduction to Probability for Computing", Harchol-Balter '24

# Chain Rule for Conditioning

If  ${P}$ { $E_1$  ∩  $E_2$ } > 0, then:

 ${\bf P}{E_1 \cap E_2} = {\bf P}{E_1} \cdot {\bf P}{E_2}|E_1$ 

This can be generalized!

Theorem 2.10: **[Chain Rule for Conditioning]** 

If  ${P}$ { $E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3 \cap \cdots \cap E_n$ } > 0, then

```
{\bf P}\{E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3 \cap \cdots \cap E_n\}
```
 $= {\bf P}{E_1} \cdot {\bf P}{E_2 | E_1} \cdot {\bf P}{E_3 | E_1 \cap E_2} \cdots {\bf P}{E_n | E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3 \cap \cdots \cap E_{n-1}}$ 

### Independent Events

Defn: Events E and F are **independent**, written  $E \perp F$ , if:

 $P{E \cap F} = P{E} \cdot P{F}$ 

Here's an equivalent and more intuitive definition:

Defn: Assuming  $P\{F\} > 0$ , Events E and F are **independent**, if:

 ${\bf P}{E \mid F} = {\bf P}{E}$ 

See the book for a proof of the equivalence.

# Practice with Independent Events

Defn: Events E and F are **independent**, written  $E \perp F$ , if:

 ${\bf P}{E} \cap F} = {\bf P}{E} \cdot {\bf P}{F}$ 

Defn: Assuming  $P\{F\} > 0$ , Events E and F are **independent**, if:

 ${\bf P}{E \mid F} = {\bf P}{E}$ 

**Q**: Can two mutually exclusive, non-null events be independent?

**Q**: Suppose we roll a die twice. Which of these pairs of events are independent:

- a. Let  $E = 1$ <sup>st</sup> roll is 6." Let  $F = 2^{nd}$  roll is 6"
- b. Let  $E =$ "Sum of rolls is 7." Let  $F =$ "2<sup>nd</sup> roll is 4"



No!

# Practice with Independent Events

You are routing a packet from the source to the destination. But each of the 16 edges in the network only works with probability  $p$ .



**Q**: What is the probability that you can get the packet from the source to the destination?

# Practice with Independent Events

Each edge works with probability  $p$ . There are 8 paths. Source Let  $E_i$  denote the event that the  $i^{th}$  path is usable (not broken).  $\overline{5}$  $\mathsf{\Omega}$ **Q**: What is  $P{E_i}$ ? **Q**: What is  $P{E_1}$ ? **Q**: What is  $P$ {Can get from source to destination}? Destination  $P$ {Can get from source to destination} =  $P$ {At least one path works}  $= P\{E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \cdots \cup E_8\}$ 

 $= 1 - P\{\text{All paths are broken}\}\$ 

$$
= 1 - P\{\overline{E_1}\} \cdot P\{\overline{E_2}\} \cdots P\{\overline{E_8}\} = 1 - (1 - p^2)^8
$$

# More Independence Definitions

Defn 2.15: Events  $A_1, A_2, ..., A_n$  are **independent** if, for every subset S of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ :

$$
\mathbf{P}\left\{\bigcap_{i\in S} A_i\right\} = \prod_{i\in S} \mathbf{P}\{A_i\}
$$

Defn 2.16: Events  $A_1, A_2, ..., A_n$  are **pairwise independent** if every pair of events is independent.

Defn 2.17: Two events  $E$  and  $F$  are said to be **conditionally independent given**  $G$ , where  ${P}$ {G} > 0, if  ${\bf P}{E \cap F \mid G} = {\bf P}{E \mid G} \cdot {\bf P}{F \mid G}$ 

# Law of Total Probability

For any sets E and F:  $E = (E \cap F) \cup (E \cap \overline{F})$ 

 $P{E} = P{E \cap F} + P{E \cap F}$ 

 $= P{E | F} \cdot P{F} + P{E | \overline{F}} \cdot P{ \overline{F}}$ 

Generalizing, we have:

Theorem 2.18**: [Law of Total Probability]**  Let  $F_1, F_2, ..., F_n$  partition the state space  $\Omega$ . Then:  $=$   $\sum$  $l=1$  $\frac{n}{2}$  $P\{E\} = \sum_{i} P\{E \cap F_i\} = \sum_{i} P\{E|F_i\} \cdot P\{F_i\}$  $l=1$  $\frac{n}{2}$  ${P}$ { $E \cap F_i$ }

# Law of Total Probability

The Law of Total Probability applies to conditional probability as well:

Theorem 2.19**: [Law of Total Probability for Conditional Probability]**  Let  $F_1, F_2, ..., F_n$  partition the state space  $\Omega$ . Then:

$$
P\{A \mid B\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P\{A \mid B \cap F_i\} \cdot P\{F_i \mid B\}
$$

#### Bayes' Law

Sometimes we want to know  $P\{F \mid E\}$  but all we know is the reverse direction,  $P\{E \mid F\}$ .

Theorem 2.20: [Bayes' Law] Assuming  $P\{E\} > 0$ ,  ${P} {F} | E$ } =  ${P}$ { $E \cap F$ }  ${P}{E}$ =  ${P}$ { $E \mid$  F }  $\cdot$   ${P}$ { $F$ }  ${P}$ { $E$ }

Theorem 2.21: [Extended Bayes' Law] Let  $F_1, F_2, ..., F_n$  partition  $\Omega$ . Assuming  $P\{E\} > 0$ ,

$$
P\{F \mid E\} = \frac{P\{E \mid F\} \cdot P\{F\}}{P\{E\}} = \frac{P\{E \mid F\} \cdot P\{F\}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} P\{E \mid F_j\} \cdot P\{F_j\}}
$$

# Bayes' Law Example

There's a rare child cancer that occurs in one out of a million kids. There's a test for this cancer that is 99.9% effective:



**Q**: Suppose my child's test result is positive. How worried should I be?

# Bayes' Law Example

Rare cancer occurs in 1 out of  $10^6$  kids. Test for this cancer is 99.9% effective:

**Q**: My child's test result is positive. How worried should I be?



