10-701: Introduction to Machine Learning Lecture 26 – Gaussian Processes

Henry Chai

4/22/24

Front Matter

- Announcements:
	- Exam 2 on 5/6 **from 1 PM – 3 PM in TEP 1403**
		- You are allowed to bring one letter-/A4-size sheet of notes; you can put *whatever* you want on *both sides*
		- **Pre-midterm material may be referenced but will not be the primary focus of any question**
	- Project Final Reports due on 4/26 (Friday) at 11:59 PM
		- **No late days can be used on project deliverables**
- Recommended Readings:
	- Murphy, Chapters 15.1-15.2

Gaussians

(Univariate) Gaussians:

 $x \sim \mathcal{N}(x; \mu = 0, \sigma^2 = 1)$

$$
\mathbf{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_D]^T
$$

$$
\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{\mu} = \mathbf{0}_D, \Sigma = I_D)
$$

 $\mathbf{3}$

Some fun facts about **Gaussians**

Closure under linear transformations:

Closure under addition

Closure under conditioning:

Some old friends

Gaussian process =

Bayesian linear regression + Kernels

Recall: MAP for Linear Regression • If we assume a linear model with additive Gaussian noise $y = Xw + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}_N, \sigma^2 I_N) \rightarrow y \sim N(Xw, \sigma^2 I_N)$ and independent identical Gaussian priors on the weights…

$$
\mathbf{w} \sim N\left(\mathbf{w}_{D+1}, \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda}I_{D+1}\right) \to p(\mathbf{w}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\lambda \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w})\right)
$$

 \cdot ... then, the MAP of w is the ridge regression solution!

 $w_{MAP} = \text{argmin} (Xw - y)^T (Xw - y) + \lambda w^T w$ $\mathbf{\overline{w}}$ $=(X^T X + \lambda I_{D+1})^{-1} X^T y$

 Assume a linear model with additive Gaussian noise and a zero-mean Gaussian prior on the weights:

 $y = Xw + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}_N, \sigma^2 I_N)$ and $w \sim N(\mathbf{0}_{D+1}, \Sigma)$

then,

 $\mathbf{y} \sim N(X\mathbf{0}_{D+1} + \mathbf{0}_N = \mathbf{0}_N, X\Sigma X^T + \sigma^2 I_N)$

 Assume a linear model with additive Gaussian noise and a zero-mean Gaussian prior on the weights:

 $y = Xw + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}_N, \sigma^2 I_N)$ and $w \sim N(\mathbf{0}_{D+1}, \Sigma)$

then,

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{D+1} \\ \mathbf{0}_N \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma & ? \ ? \\ ? \ ? \ ? & X \Sigma X^T + \sigma^2 I_N \end{bmatrix} \right)
$$

 Assume a linear model with additive Gaussian noise and a zero-mean Gaussian prior on the weights:

 $y = Xw + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}_N, \sigma^2 I_N)$ and $w \sim N(\mathbf{0}_{D+1}, \Sigma)$

then,

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{D+1} \\ \mathbf{0}_N \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma & X\Sigma \\ X\Sigma & X\Sigma X^T + \sigma^2 I_N \end{bmatrix} \right)
$$

 Assume a linear model with additive Gaussian noise and a zero-mean Gaussian prior on the weights:

 $y = Xw + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}_N, \sigma^2 I_N)$ and $w \sim N(\mathbf{0}_{D+1}, \Sigma)$

then,

 $\mathbf{w} \mid \mathbf{y} \sim N(\mathbf{\mu}_{PQST}, \Sigma_{POST})$

where

 $\mu_{POST} = \Sigma X^T (X \Sigma X^T + \sigma^2 I_N)^{-1} \nu$, $\Sigma_{PQST} = \Sigma - \Sigma X^T (X \Sigma X^T + \sigma^2 I_N)^{-1} X \Sigma$

 Assume a linear model with additive Gaussian noise and a zero-mean Gaussian prior on the weights:

 $y = Xw + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}_N, \sigma^2 I_N)$ and $w \sim N(\mathbf{0}_{D+1}, \Sigma)$

then given a new test data point x' , the prediction is $\left\Vert y^{\prime}\ \right\Vert \boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime\,T}\boldsymbol{w}\left\Vert \boldsymbol{y}\sim N\big(\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime\,T}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{POST},\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime\,T}\Sigma_{POST}\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right\Vert$

where

 $\mu_{POST} = \Sigma X^T (X \Sigma X^T + \sigma^2 I_N)^{-1} \nu,$ $\Sigma_{PQST} = \Sigma - \Sigma X^T (X \Sigma X^T + \sigma^2 I_N)^{-1} X \Sigma$

 Assume a linear model with additive Gaussian noise and a zero-mean Gaussian prior on the weights:

 $y = Xw + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}_N, \sigma^2 I_N)$ and $w \sim N(\mathbf{0}_{D+1}, \Sigma)$

then given a new test data point x' , the prediction is $\left\Vert y^{\prime}\ \right\Vert \boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime\,T}\boldsymbol{w}\left\Vert \boldsymbol{y}\sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{PRED},\Sigma_{PRED})\right\Vert$

where

$$
\mu_{PRED} = {\mathbf{x}'}^T \Sigma X^T (X \Sigma X^T + \sigma^2 I_N)^{-1} \mathbf{y},
$$

$$
\Sigma_{PRED} = {\mathbf{x}'}^T \Sigma \mathbf{x}' - {\mathbf{x}'}^T \Sigma X^T (X \Sigma X^T + \sigma^2 I_N)^{-1} X \Sigma \mathbf{x}'
$$

Some old friends

Gaussian process =

Bayesian linear regression + Kernels

• Assume a linear model with additive Gaussian noise and a zero-mean Gaussian prior on the weights:

 $y = Xw + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}_N, \sigma^2 I_N)$ and $w \sim N(\mathbf{0}_{D+1}, \Sigma)$

then given a new test data point x' , the prediction is $y' | y = x'^T w | y \sim N(\mu_{PRED}, \Sigma_{PRED})$

where

$$
\mu_{PRED} = {\mathbf{x}'}^T \Sigma X^T (X \Sigma X^T + \sigma^2 I_N)^{-1} \mathbf{y},
$$

$$
\Sigma_{PRED} = {\mathbf{x}'}^T \Sigma \mathbf{x}' - {\mathbf{x}'}^T \Sigma X^T (X \Sigma X^T + \sigma^2 I_N)^{-1} X \Sigma \mathbf{x}'
$$

Bayesian Linear **Regression can** be kernelized! $\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \phi\big(\pmb{x}^{(1)}\big)^T \ 1 & \phi\big(\pmb{x}^{(2)}\big)^T \ \vdots & \vdots \ 1 & \phi\big(\pmb{x}^{(N)}\big)^T \end{bmatrix}$. Assume a linear model with additive Gaussian noise and a zero-mean Gaussian prior on the weights:

 $\mathbf{v} = \Phi \boldsymbol{\omega} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N(\mathbf{0}_N, \sigma^2 I_N)$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega} \sim N(\mathbf{0}_{D'+1}, \Sigma)$

then given a new test data point x' , the prediction is $y' | y = \phi(x')^T \omega | y \sim N(\mu_{PRED}, \Sigma_{PRED})$

where

 $\mu_{PRED} = \phi(x')^T \Sigma \Phi^T (\Phi \Sigma \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I_N)^{-1} y,$

 Σ_{PRED}

= $\phi(x')^T \Sigma \phi(x') - \phi(x')^T \Sigma \Phi^T (\Phi \Sigma \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I_N)^{-1} \Phi \Sigma \phi(x')$

Bayesian Linear **Regression can** be kernelized! $\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \phi\big(\pmb{x}^{(1)}\big)^T \ 1 & \phi\big(\pmb{x}^{(2)}\big)^T \ \vdots & \vdots \ 1 & \phi\big(\pmb{x}^{(N)}\big)^T \end{bmatrix}$. Assume a linear model with additive Gaussian noise and a zero-mean Gaussian prior on the weights:

 $\mathbf{v} = \Phi \boldsymbol{\omega} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N(\mathbf{0}_N, \sigma^2 I_N)$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega} \sim N(\mathbf{0}_{D'+1}, \Sigma)$

then given a new test data point x' , the prediction is $y' | y = \phi(x')^T \omega | y \sim N(\mu_{PRED}, \Sigma_{PRED})$

where

 $\mu_{PRED} = \phi(\boldsymbol{x}')^T \Sigma \Phi^T (\Phi \Sigma \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I_N)^{-1} \boldsymbol{v}$

 Σ_{PRED}

= $\phi(x')^T \Sigma \phi(x') - \phi(x')^T \Sigma \Phi^T (\Phi \Sigma \Phi^T + \sigma^2 I_N)^{-1} \Phi \Sigma \phi(x')$

• Define the kernel function to be

 $K(x, x') = \phi(x)^{T} \Sigma \phi(x')$

Bayesian Linear Regression can be kernelized!

 Assume a linear model with additive Gaussian noise and a zero-mean Gaussian prior on the weights:

 $y = \Phi \omega + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}_N, \sigma^2 I_N)$ and $\omega \sim N(\mathbf{0}_{N'+1}, \Sigma)$

then given a new test data point x' , the prediction is $v' | v = \phi(x')^T \omega | v \sim N(\mu_{PRED}, \Sigma_{PRED})$

where

 $\mu_{PRED} = K(x', X)(K(X, X) + \sigma^2 I_N)^{-1} y,$

 $\Sigma_{PRED} = K(x', x') - K(x', X)(K(X, X) + \sigma^2 I_N)^{-1}K(X, x')$

Define the kernel function to be

 $K(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = \boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x})^T \Sigma \boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}')$

Some old friends

Gaussian process =

Bayesian linear regression + Kernels

A new perspective

Gaussian process =

The extension of a Gaussian

distribution to functions

Gaussians

· (Univariate) Gaussians:

 $x \sim \mathcal{N}(x; \mu = 0, \sigma^2 = 1)$

· Multivariate Gaussians:

$$
\boldsymbol{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_D]^T
$$

$$
\sim \mathcal{N}(x; \mu = \mathbf{0}_D, \Sigma = I_D)
$$

Gaussian Process (GP)

$$
f: \mathbb{R}^{p} \mapsto \mathbb{R} \sim \mathcal{GP}(f; \mu(x) \qquad, \Sigma(x, x'))
$$
\n
$$
-\text{Mean} \qquad \square \pm 2 \text{ Standard Deviations}
$$
\n
$$
x
$$

 $f \sim \mathcal{GP}(\mu, \Sigma) \rightarrow f(x) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu(x), \Sigma(x, x))$

Gaussians

· (Univariate) Gaussians:

 $x \sim \mathcal{N}(x; \mu = 0, \sigma^2 = 1)$

· Multivariate Gaussians:

$$
\boldsymbol{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_D]^T
$$

$$
\sim \mathcal{N}(x; \mu = \mathbf{0}_D, \Sigma = I_D)
$$

Gaussian Process (GP)

 $f \sim \mathcal{GP}(\mu, \Sigma) \rightarrow f(x) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu(x), \Sigma(x, x))$

Gaussian Process (GP)

 $f \sim \mathcal{GP}(\mu, \Sigma) \rightarrow f(x) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu(x), \Sigma(x, x))$

GP Prior

 $\mathcal{X}% _{M_{1},M_{2}}^{\alpha,\beta}(\varepsilon)$

GP Posterior

GP Posterior

GP Posterior

Active Learning

Sample	See Data	Map	See Data	See Data	See Data
Log-Likelihood of \mathcal{D} :	Log-Likelihood of \mathcal{D} :				
log $N(y; \mu(X), \Sigma(X, X)) = -6.82$	log $N(y; \mu(X), \Sigma(X, X)) = -8.26$				
$f \sim GP\left(f; 0, (1^2) \exp\left(-\frac{(x - x')^2}{1^2}\right)\right)$	$f \sim GP\left(f; 0, (2^2) \exp\left(-\frac{(x - x')^2}{2^2}\right)\right)$				
Can be set via MLE	As long as μ and Σ are differentiable, the log-likelihood is differentiable with respect to the kernel hyperparameters				

Noise

Assume a linear model with additive Gaussian noise and a zero-mean Gaussian prior on the weights:

 $y = \Phi \omega + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}_N, \sigma^2 I_N)$ and $\omega \sim N(\mathbf{0}_{N'+1}, \Sigma)$

then given a new test data point x' , the prediction is $v' | v = \phi(x')^T \omega | v \sim N(\mu_{\text{PRED}}, \Sigma_{\text{PRED}})$

where

 $\mu_{PRED} = K(x', X)(K(X, X) + \sigma^2 I_N)^{-1} y,$

 $\Sigma_{PRED} = K(\pmb{x}', \pmb{x}') - K(\pmb{x}', X)(K(X, X) + \pmb{\sigma^2 I_N})^{-1}K(X, \pmb{x}')$

 $\cdot \sigma^2$ is another hyperparameter we can tune

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Henry Chai-4/22/24}}$ the observations exactly; $\sigma^2 > 0$ allows for deviations \mathcal{L}_{37} $\cdot \sigma^2 = 0$ is a noiseless fit: the mean will always pass through

Noise