10-701: Introduction to Machine Learning Lecture 27 – Generative Models for Vision

Henry Chai

4/24/24

Front Matter

- Announcements:
 - Exam 2 on 5/6 from 1 PM 3 PM in TEP 1403
 - You are allowed to bring one letter-/A4-size sheet of notes; you can put *whatever* you want on *both sides*
 - Pre-midterm material may be referenced but will not be the primary focus of any question
 - Project Final Reports due on 4/26 (Friday) at 11:59 PM
 - No late days can be used on project deliverables

- Image Classification
- Object Localization
- Object Detection
- Semantic Segmentation
- Instance Segmentation
- Image Captioning
- Image Generation

- Image Classification
- Object Localization
- Object Detection
- Semantic Segmentation
- Instance Segmentation
- Image Captioning
- Image Generation



- Image Classification
- Object Localization
- Object Detection
- Semantic Segmentation
- Instance Segmentation
- Image Captioning
- Image Generation



Given an image, predict a single
label and a bounding box,
represented as position (x, y)
and height/width (h, w).

- Image Classification
- Object Localization
- Object Detection

Given an image, for each
 object predict a bounding box
 and a label, *l*: (*x*, *y*, *w*, *h*, *l*)

R-CNN: Regions with CNN features



- Image Classification
- Object Localization
- Object Detection
- Semantic Segmentation
- Instance Segmentation
- Image Captioning
- Image Generation



Input image

• Given an image, predict a label for every pixel in the image

Ground-truth

- Image Classification
- Object Localization
- Object Detection
- Semantic Segmentation
- Instance Segmentation
- Image Captioning
- Image Generation



 Predict per-pixel labels as in semantic segmentation, but differentiate between different instances of the same label
 e.g., given two people, one should be labeled person-1 and one should be labeled person-2

- Image Classification
- Object Localization
- Object Detection
- Semantic Segmentation
- Instance Segmentation
- Image Captioning
- Image Generation

Generated Caption: A young boy is running on the beach.





Generated Caption: A woman in a black shirt and sunglasses smiles.

• Take an image as input, and

generate a sentence describing it as output

• Dense captioning

generates one description per bounding box

- Typical methods use both a CNN and some sort of
 - language model

9

- Image Classification
- Object Localization
- Object Detection
- Semantic Segmentation
- Instance Segmentation
- Image Captioning
- Image Generation

- Class-conditional generation
- Super resolution
- Image Editing
- Style transfer
- Text-to-image (TTI) generation

brain coral

slug



- Given a class label, sample a new image from that class
 - Image classification takes an image and predicts its label $p(y \mid \mathbf{x})$
 - **Class-conditional generation** is doing this in reverse p(x|y)

- Class-conditional generation
- Super resolution
- Image Editing
- Style transfer
- Text-to-image (TTI) generation



 Given a low-resolution image, generate a high-resolution reconstruction of the image

- Class-conditional generation
- Super resolution
- Image Editing
- Style transfer
- Text-to-image (TTI) generation



- Class-conditional generation
- Super resolution
- Image Editing
- **Inpainting** fills in the (pre-specified) missing pixels
- Colorization restores color to a greyscale image
- **Uncropping** creates a photo-realistic reconstruction of a missing side of an image







Given two images, present the semantic content of the source image in the style of the reference image

- Class-conditional generation
- Super resolution
- Image Editing
- Style transfer
- Text-to-image (TTI) generation

Prompt: A propaganda poster depicting a cat dressed as french emperor napoleon holding a piece of cheese.



• Given a text description, sample an image that depicts the prompt

- Class-conditional generation
- Super resolution
- Image Editing
- Style transfer
- Text-to-image (TTI) generation

Prompt: Epic long distance cityscape photo of New York City flooded by the ocean and overgrown buildings and jungle ruins in rainforest, at sunset, cinematic shot, highly detailed, 8k,

golden light



- Class-conditional generation
- Super resolution
- Image Editing
- Style transfer
- Text-to-image (TTI) generation

- Class-conditional generation
- Super resolution
- Image Editing
- Style transfer
- Text-to-image (TTI) generation



Slide Generation?

Prompt: powerpoint slide explaining
 variational autoencoders for an intro to
 ML course, easy to follow, with an
 explanation of the evidence lower bound

Powrepnt Slale rationencers= for varicoorder autondber Varilina Leaurs

Anatomis ചെയ്യിനും മണ്ണിപ്പിന്നെ നിന്നെല് ലിന്നനിനാണ് തെലോഗോലിന്നെല്ല് ദേവിന്നാർത്ത് ഉണ്ടാനുന്നത്താലാലിയെ പണ്ണിപ്പെണ്ടില്ലാന് this മാത്യത്ത ല് തല്മപ്പില്ലാം തില്ലിന്ന് മോദ്യം ഇന്ദ്രാന്ത്രനിന്നെ ഇമ്പ്ലിന്റെ പെന്നുന്നും പ്രവന്നും പ്രവന്നാന് ഇപ്പോണ് പ്രവന്നും പ്രവന്നെ മുത്യാനിന്നും ടീവിം സ്താരില് പ്രവന്നം പില്യാം ലോഗോസ്താനിന്ന് നില്ലാനിന്നെന്നും പ്രവന്നെന്നും പ്രവന്നെന്നും പ്രവ ടിലോളി വന്നെന്നും പ്രതേരം പ്രവന്നെന്നും



 Class-conditional generation

- Super resolution
- Image Editing
- Style transfer
- Text-to-image (TTI) generation

- Fundamental challenge: images are incredibly highdimensional objects with complex relationships between elements
- Idea: learn a low-dimensional representation of images, sample points in the low-dimensional space and project them up to the original image space

Recall: Autoencoders



- Issue: latent space is sparse...
 - Sampling from latent space of an autoencoder creates outputs that are effectively identical to images in the training dataset



Autoencoder Latent Space



Autoencoder Latent Space



Variational Autoencoder Latent Space





- Encoder learns a mean vector and a (diagonal) covariance matrix for each input
- These are used to *sample* a latent representation e.g., $\mathbf{z}^{(i)} \mid \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}), \sigma_{\theta}^{2}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})\right)$

Figure courtesy of Zack Lipton

25



• Decoder tries to minimize the reconstruction error *in expectation* between $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ and a sample from another (conditional) distribution e.g., $\hat{\mathbf{x}}^{(i)} \mid \mathbf{z}^{(i)} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_{\phi}(\mathbf{z}^{(i)}), \sigma_{\phi}^2(\mathbf{z}^{(i)})\right)$

Figure courtesy of Zack Lipton



• Objective: minimize the expected reconstruction error plus a *regularizer* that encourages a dense latent space $\mathcal{L}(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\mathbb{E}_{q_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})} [\log p_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}|\boldsymbol{z})] \right) + KL \left(q_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) \parallel p(\boldsymbol{z}) \right)$ Variational Autoencoder: Probabilistic Perspective

- Model: assume data is generated by
- 1. First, drawing a sample from some latent space p(z)
- 2. Then, drawing a sample from a conditional distribution $p_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{z})$
- Idea: maximize the evidence of our data

$$\mathcal{L}^{(i)}(\phi) = p(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = \int p_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}|\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})d\mathbf{z}$$

 Issue: for most interesting distributions, this integral is going to be intractable... Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO)

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^{(i)}(\phi) &= \log p(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = \mathbb{E}_{q_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}^{(i)})} [\log p(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q_{\theta}} \left[\log \frac{p_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}|\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})}{p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}^{(i)})} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q_{\theta}} \left[\log \left(\frac{p_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}|\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})}{p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}^{(i)})} \frac{q_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{q_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}^{(i)})} \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q_{\theta}} \left[\log p_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}|\mathbf{z}) - \log \left(\frac{q_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{p(\mathbf{z})} \right) + \log \left(\frac{q_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}^{(i)})} \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q_{\theta}} \left[\log p_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}|\mathbf{z}) \right] - KL \left(q_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \parallel p(\mathbf{z}) \right) \\ &+ KL \left(q_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \parallel p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

 $\geq \mathbb{E}_{q_{\theta}}\left[\log p_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}|\boldsymbol{z})\right] - KL\left(q_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) \parallel p(\boldsymbol{z})\right)$

Variational Autoencoder: Latent Space Visualization a D Б Б Ð q q q -5 q -5 --8 9

Variational Autoencoder: Generated Samples

2811365738 8387793538 55943951 - 6, 91833197 2736430283 5970583845 943628572 8490307366 36303601 2 7 2 0 4 7 1 9 5 0

Variational Autoencoder: Generated Samples? Can we encode the idea that samples should be

indistinguishable from real observations into the objective function?

28383857383681796691 83877933386757863485 35991395132179712845 19189334974819018894 27364302837618641560 59703838457592658197 69436285572222234480 84905070660238073857 74163036010146460243 21204718007128169861

Source: https://arxiv.org/pdf/1312.6114.pdf

Source: MNIST

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

- A GAN consists of two (deterministic) models:
 - a **generator** that takes a vector of random noise as input, and generates an image
 - a discriminator that takes in an image classifies
 whether it is real (label = 1) or fake (label = 0)
 - Both models are typically (but not necessarily) neural networks
- During training, the GAN plays a two-player minimax game: the generator tries to create realistic images to fool the discriminator and the discriminator tries to identify the real images from the fake ones

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

- A GAN consists of two (deterministic) models:
 - a generator that takes a vector of random noise as input, and generates an image
- Example generator: DCGAN
 - An inverted CNN with four *fractionally-strided* convolution layers that grow the size of the image from layer to layer; final layer has three channels to generate color images



Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

- A GAN consists of two (deterministic) models:
 - a **generator** that takes a vector of random noise as input, and generates an image
 - a discriminator that takes in an image classifies whether it is real (label = 1) or fake (label = 0)
- Example discriminator: PatchGAN
 - Traditional CNN that looks

 at each patch of the image
 and tries to predict whether
 it is real or fake; can help
 encourage to generator to
 avoid creating blurry images



Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs): Training

- A GAN consists of two (deterministic) models:
 - a **generator** that takes a vector of random noise as input, and generates an image
 - a discriminator that takes in an image classifies whether it is real (label = 1) or fake (label = 0)
 - Both models are typically (but not necessarily) neural networks
- During training, the GAN plays a two-player minimax game: the generator tries to create realistic images to fool the discriminator and the discriminator tries to identify the real images from the fake ones











The discriminator is trying to maximize the usual cross-entropy

loss for binary classification with labels {real = 1, fake = 0}

$$\min_{\phi} \log \left(D_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \right) + \log \left(1 - D_{\phi}(G_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}^{(i)})) \right)$$
$$\max_{\theta} \log \left(1 - D_{\phi}(G_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}^{(i)})) \right)$$

The generator is trying to maximize the likelihood of its generated

(fake) image being classified as real, according to a fixed discriminator





Both objectives (and hence, their sum) are differentiable

$$\min_{\phi} \log \left(D_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \right) + \log \left(1 - D_{\phi}(G_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}^{(i)})) \right)$$
$$\max_{\theta} \log \left(1 - D_{\phi}(G_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}^{(i)})) \right)$$

Training alternates between:

- 1. Keeping θ fixed and backpropagating through D_{ϕ}
- 2. Keeping ϕ fixed and backpropagating through G_{θ}



GANs: Training

Algorithm 1 Minibatch stochastic gradient descent training of generative adversarial nets. The number of steps to apply to the discriminator, k, is a hyperparameter. We used k = 1, the least expensive option, in our experiments.

for number of training iterations do

for k steps do

- Sample minibatch of m noise samples $\{z^{(1)}, \ldots, z^{(m)}\}$ from noise prior $p_g(z)$.
- Sample minibatch of m examples $\{x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\}$ from data generating distribution $p_{\text{data}}(x)$.
- Update the discriminator by ascending its stochastic gradient:

$$\nabla_{\theta_d} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\log D\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \right) + \log \left(1 - D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right) \right].$$

end for

- Sample minibatch of m noise samples $\{z^{(1)}, \ldots, z^{(m)}\}$ from noise prior $p_g(z)$.
- Update the generator by descending its stochastic gradient:

$$\nabla_{\theta_g} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \log\left(1 - D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)}\right)\right)\right).$$

end for

The gradient-based updates can use any standard gradient-based learning rule. We used momentum in our experiments.

• Optimization is like block coordinate descent but instead of exact optimization, we take a step of mini-batch SGD

GANs Everywhere!



Cumulative number of named GAN papers by month

The rise of vision transformers and diffusion models



Fig. 5. Timeline of TTI model development, where green dots are GAN TTI models, blue dots are autoregressive Transformers and orange dots are Diffusion TTI models. Models are separated by their parameter, which are in general counted for all their components. Models with asterisk are calculated without the involvement of their text encoders.

But wait, what the heck are "vision transformers" and "diffusion"?

Take 10-423/623 next semester to find out!



Fig. 5. Timeline of TTI model development, where green dots are GAN TTI models, blue dots are autoregressive Transformers and orange dots are Diffusion TTI models. Models are separated by their parameter, which are in general counted for all their components. Models with asterisk are calculated without the involvement of their text encoders.