10-701: Introduction to Machine Learning Lecture 8 – Regularization

Henry Chai

2/12/24

Front Matter

- Announcements:
  - HW2 released 2/7, due **2/19** (previously 2/16) at 11:59 PM
  - HW3 released **2/19** (previously 2/16), due **2/28** (previously 2/26) at 11:59 PM
  - Lecture schedule has been updated, see the course website for full details
    - Lecture on 2/21 (Wednesday) and Recitation on 2/23 (Friday) have been swapped
- Recommended Readings:
  - Murphy, Sections 7.5 & 14.4

Linear Models



Linear Models



Linear Models



Linear Models?



Linear Models?



Nonlinear Models



Feature Transforms

- Given D-dimensional inputs $\boldsymbol{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_D]$, first compute some transformation of our input, e.g.,

$$\phi([x_1, x_2]) = [z_1 = (x_1 - 0.5)^2, z_2 = (x_2 - 0.5)^2]$$

Nonlinear Models



Nonlinear Models



Nonlinear Models



Nonlinear Models



General Q^{th}-order Transforms

- $\phi_{2,2}([x_1, x_2]) = [x_1, x_2, x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2]$
- $\phi_{2,3}([x_1, x_2]) = [x_1, x_2, x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2, x_1^3, x_1^2x_2, x_1x_2^2, x_2^3]$
- $\phi_{2,4}([x_1, x_2]) =$
  $[x_1, x_2, x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2, x_1^3, x_1^2x_2, x_1x_2^2, x_2^3, x_1^4, x_1^3x_2, x_1^2x_2^2, x_1x_2^3, x_2^4]$
- $\phi_{2,Q}$ maps a 2-D input to a $O(Q^2)$-D output
- Scales even worse for higher-dimensional inputs…

Linear Models



Nonlinear Models?



Feature Transforms: Tradeoffs

	Low-Dimensional Input Space	**High-Dimensional Input Space**
Training Error	High	Low
Generalization	Good	Bad

Feature Transforms: Experiment

- $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N = 20$
- Targets are generated by a 10^{th}-order polynomial in x with additive Gaussian noise:

$$y = \sum_{d=0}^{10} a_d x^d + \epsilon \text{ where } \epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

- $\mathcal{H}_2 = 2^{\text{nd}}$-order polynomials
  - $\phi_{1,2}(x) = [x, x^2]$
- $\mathcal{H}_{10} = 10^{\text{th}}$-order polynomials
  - $\phi_{1,10}(x) = [x, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^6, x^7, x^8, x^9, x^{10}]$

Noisy Targets

- 10-dimensional target function with additive Gaussian noise
- $\mathcal{H}_2 = 2^{\text{nd}}$-order polynomial
- $\mathcal{H}_{10} = 10^{\text{th}}$-order polynomial



Noisy Targets

- 10-dimensional target function with additive Gaussian noise
- $\mathcal{H}_2 = 2^{\text{nd}}$-order polynomial
- $\mathcal{H}_{10} = 10^{\text{th}}$-order polynomial



Noisy Targets

- 10-dimensional target function with additive Gaussian noise
- $\mathcal{H}_2 = 2^{\text{nd}}$-order polynomial
- $\mathcal{H}_{10} = 10^{\text{th}}$-order polynomial



Noisy Targets

- 10-dimensional target function with additive Gaussian noise
- $\mathcal{H}_2 = 2^{\text{nd}}$-order polynomial
- $\mathcal{H}_{10} = 10^{\text{th}}$-order polynomial



Noisy Targets

	$\mathcal{H}_2$	$\mathcal{H}_{10}$
Training Error	0.016	0.011
True Error	0.009	3797



Feature Transforms: Experiment

- $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N = 100$
- Targets are generated by a 10^{th}-order polynomial in x with additive Gaussian noise:

$$y = \sum_{d=0}^{10} a_d x^d + \epsilon \text{ where } \epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

- $\mathcal{H}_2 = 2^{\text{nd}}$-order polynomials
  - $\phi_{1,2}(x) = [x, x^2]$
- $\mathcal{H}_{10} = 10^{\text{th}}$-order polynomials
  - $\phi_{1,10}(x) = [x, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^6, x^7, x^8, x^9, x^{10}]$

Noisy Targets

	$\mathcal{H}_2$	$\mathcal{H}_{10}$
Training Error	0.018	0.010
True Error	0.009	0.003



Regularization

- Constrain models to prevent them from overfitting
- Learning algorithms are optimization problems and regularization imposes constraints on the optimization

Hard Constraints

- $\mathcal{H}_{10} = 10^{\text{th}}$-order polynomials
  - $\phi_{1,10}(x) = [x, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^6, x^7, x^8, x^9, x^{10}]$
- Given $\mathrm{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \phi_{1,10}(x^{(1)}) \\ 1 & \phi_{1,10}(x^{(2)}) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \phi_{1,10}(x^{(N)}) \end{bmatrix}$ and $\boldsymbol{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y^{(1)} \\ y^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ y^{(N)} \end{bmatrix}$ find

  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = [\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4, \omega_5, \omega_6, \omega_7, \omega_8, \omega_9, \omega_{10}]$ that minimizes

$$\frac{1}{N}(\mathrm{X}\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{y})^T(\mathrm{X}\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{y})$$

- Subject to

$$\omega_3 = \omega_4 = \omega_5 = \omega_6 = \omega_7 = \omega_8 = \omega_9 = \omega_{10} = 0$$

Hard Constraints

- $\mathcal{H}_{10} = 10^{\text{th}}$-order polynomials
  - $\phi_{1,10}(x) = [x, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^6, x^7, x^8, x^9, x^{10}]$
- Given $\mathrm{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \phi_{1,10}\left(x^{(1)}\right) \\ 1 & \phi_{1,10}\left(x^{(2)}\right) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \phi_{1,10}\left(x^{(N)}\right) \end{bmatrix}$ and $\boldsymbol{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y^{(1)} \\ y^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ y^{(N)} \end{bmatrix}$ find

  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = [\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4, \omega_5, \omega_6, \omega_7, \omega_8, \omega_9, \omega_{10}]$ that minimizes

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\left(\sum_{d=0}^{10} x_d^{(n)}\omega_d\right) - y^{(n)}\right)^2$$

- Subject to

$$\omega_3 = \omega_4 = \omega_5 = \omega_6 = \omega_7 = \omega_8 = \omega_9 = \omega_{10} = 0$$

Hard Constraints

- $\mathcal{H}_{10} = 10^{\text{th}}$-order polynomials
  - $\phi_{1,10}(x) = [x, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^6, x^7, x^8, x^9, x^{10}]$
- Given $\mathrm{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \phi_{1,10}\left(x^{(1)}\right) \\ 1 & \phi_{1,10}\left(x^{(2)}\right) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \phi_{1,10}\left(x^{(N)}\right) \end{bmatrix}$ and $\boldsymbol{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y^{(1)} \\ y^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ y^{(N)} \end{bmatrix}$ find

  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = [\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4, \omega_5, \omega_6, \omega_7, \omega_8, \omega_9, \omega_{10}]$ that minimizes

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\left(\sum_{d=0}^{2} x_d^{(n)}\omega_d\right) - y^{(n)}\right)^2$$

- Subject to nothing!

Hard Constraints

- $\mathcal{H}_2 = 2^{\text{nd}}$-order polynomials
  - $\phi_{1,2}(x) = [x, x^2\,]$
- Given $\mathrm{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \phi_{1,2}\left(x^{(1)}\right) \\ 1 & \phi_{1,2}\left(x^{(2)}\right) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \phi_{1,2}\left(x^{(N)}\right) \end{bmatrix}$ and $\boldsymbol{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y^{(1)} \\ y^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ y^{(N)} \end{bmatrix}$ find

  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = [\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2]$
  that minimizes

$$\frac{1}{N}(\mathrm{X}\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{y})^T(\mathrm{X}\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{y})$$

- Subject to nothing!

Soft Constraints

- More generally, ϕ can be any nonlinear transformation, e.g., exp, log, sin, sqrt, etc...
- Given $\mathrm{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \phi_1(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}) & \cdots & \phi_m(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \phi_1(\boldsymbol{x}^{(N)}) & \cdots & \phi_m(\boldsymbol{x}^{(N)}) \end{bmatrix}$ and $\boldsymbol{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y^{(1)} \\ y^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ y^{(N)} \end{bmatrix}$, find $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ that minimizes

$$\frac{1}{N}(\mathrm{X}\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{y})^T(\mathrm{X}\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{y})$$

- Subject to:

$$\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2 = \boldsymbol{\omega}^T\boldsymbol{\omega} = \sum_{d=0}^{D} \omega_d^2 \leq C$$

Soft Constraints

minimize $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = (\mathrm{X}\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{y})^T(\mathrm{X}\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{y})$

subject to $\boldsymbol{\omega}^T\boldsymbol{\omega} \leq C$



Soft Constraints

minimize $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = (\mathrm{X}\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{y})^T(\mathrm{X}\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{y})$

subject to $\boldsymbol{\omega}^T\boldsymbol{\omega} \leq C$



Soft Constraints

minimize $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = (\mathrm{X}\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{y})^T(\mathrm{X}\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{y})$

subject to $\boldsymbol{\omega}^T\boldsymbol{\omega} \leq C$

$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\ell_{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP}) \propto -2\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP}$

$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\ell_{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP}) = -2\lambda_C\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP}$

$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\ell_{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP}) + 2\lambda_C\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP} = 0$

$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\ell_{\mathcal{D}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP}) + \lambda_C(\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP})^T\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP}) = 0$



Soft Constraints: Solving for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP}$

minimize $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = (\mathrm{X}\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{y})^T(\mathrm{X}\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{y})$

subject to $\boldsymbol{\omega}^T\boldsymbol{\omega} \leq C$

$\Updownarrow$

minimize $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}^{AUG}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \ell_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) + \lambda_C\boldsymbol{\omega}^T\boldsymbol{\omega}$

Ridge Regression

minimize $\ell_{\mathcal{D}}^{AUG}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \ell_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) + \lambda_C \boldsymbol{\omega}^T \boldsymbol{\omega}$

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \ell_{\mathcal{D}}^{AUG}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = 2(X^T X \boldsymbol{\omega} - X^T \boldsymbol{y} + \lambda_C \boldsymbol{\omega})$$

$$2(X^T X \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP} - X^T \boldsymbol{y} + \lambda_C \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP}) = 0$$

$$(X^T X + \lambda_C I_{D+1}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP} = X^T \boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP} = (X^T X + \underbrace{\lambda_C I_{D+1}})^{-1} X^T \boldsymbol{y}$$

Adding this positive ($\lambda_C \geq 0$) diagonal matrix can help if $X^T X$ is not invertible!



Ridge Regression

- 10-dimensional target function with additive Gaussian noise
- $\mathcal{H}_{10} = 10^{\text{th}}$-order polynomial









Ridge Regression

$\boldsymbol{\lambda_C = 0}$

True Error	0.059

Overfit

Setting λ



Setting λ



Setting λ



Setting λ



Other Regularizers

$\ell_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) + \lambda r(\boldsymbol{\omega})$		
Ridge or $L2$	$r(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_2^2 = \sum_{d=0}^{D} \omega_d^2$	Encourages small weights
Lasso or $L1$	$r(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_1 = \sum_{d=0}^{D} \|\omega_d\|$	Encourages sparsity
$L0$	$r(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|_0 = \sum_{d=0}^{D} \mathbb{1}(\omega_d \neq 0)$	Encourages sparsity (intractable)



Ridge or $L2$



Lasso or $L1$



$L0$

Other Regularizers

M(C)LE for Linear Regression

- If we assume a linear model with additive Gaussian noise

$$y = \boldsymbol{\omega}^T \boldsymbol{x} + \epsilon \text{ where } \epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \rightarrow y \sim N(\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \boldsymbol{x}, \sigma^2)$$

- Then given $X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{x}^{(1)} \\ 1 & \boldsymbol{x}^{(2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \boldsymbol{x}^{(N)} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\boldsymbol{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y^{(1)} \\ y^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ y^{(N)} \end{bmatrix}$ the MLE of $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\omega}}{\text{argmax}} \log P(\boldsymbol{y}|X, \boldsymbol{\omega})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \boldsymbol{y}$$

MAP for Linear Regression

- If we assume a linear model with additive Gaussian noise

$$y = \boldsymbol{\omega}^T \boldsymbol{x} + \epsilon \text{ where } \epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \rightarrow y \sim N(\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \boldsymbol{x}, \sigma^2)$$

and independent Gaussian priors on all the weights…

$$\omega_d \sim N\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda}\right)$$

- … then, the MAP of $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is the ridge regression solution!

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\omega}}{\text{argmax}} \log P(\boldsymbol{\omega}|X, \boldsymbol{y}) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\omega}}{\text{argmax}} \log P(\boldsymbol{y}|X, \boldsymbol{\omega}) P(\boldsymbol{\omega})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= (X^T X + \lambda_C I_{D+1})^{-1} X^T \boldsymbol{y}$$

MAP for Linear Regression

- If we assume a linear model with additive Gaussian noise

$$y = \boldsymbol{\omega}^T \boldsymbol{x} + \epsilon \text{ where } \epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \rightarrow y \sim N(\boldsymbol{\omega}^T \boldsymbol{x}, \sigma^2)$$

and independent *Laplace* priors on all the weights…

$$\omega_d \sim \text{Laplace}\left(0, \frac{2\sigma^2}{\lambda}\right)$$

- … then, the MAP of $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is the Lasso regression solution!
- No closed form solution exists but we can solve via (sub−)gradient descent

Nonlinear Transforms

- Decide on some transformation $\Phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$
- Given $\mathcal{D} = \left\{\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}, y^{(n)}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{N}$, learn a hypothesis, $\tilde{h}(\boldsymbol{z})$, using $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}} = \left\{\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(n)} = \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}\right), y^{(n)}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{N}$
- Return the corresponding predictor in the original space: $h(\boldsymbol{x}) = \tilde{h}\left(\Phi(\boldsymbol{x})\right)$

Efficiency

- Depending on the transformation Φ and the dimensionality of the original input space $\mathcal{X}$, computing $\Phi(\boldsymbol{x})$ can be prohibitively computationally expensive
  - Computing $\Phi_2(\boldsymbol{x}) = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_D, x_1^2, x_1x_2, \dots, x_D^2]$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^D$ requires $D + \binom{D}{2} + D = \frac{D^2+3D}{2} = O(D^2)$ time
  - Computing $\Phi_{10}(\boldsymbol{x})$ requires $O(D^{10})$ time
- Tradeoff:
  - High-dimensional transformations can result in good hypotheses (as long as they don't overfit) but…
  - High-dimensional transformations are expensive

Inner Product Methods

- Insight: the predictions of many machine learning models can be expressed as a function of inner products between feature vectors i.e., given $\mathcal{D} = \left\{\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}, y^{(n)}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{N}$

$$h_{\mathcal{D}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = g\left(\left\{\boldsymbol{x}^T\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}\right\}_{n=1}^{N}, \left\{\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)^T}\boldsymbol{x}^{(m)}\right\}_{n,m=1}^{N}\right)$$

- Crucially, feature vectors ***only*** appear in inner products with other feature vectors
- Applying a feature transformation Φ gives

$$h_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\Phi(\boldsymbol{x})\right) = g\left(\left\{\Phi(\boldsymbol{x})^T\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{N}, \left\{\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}\right)^T\Phi\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(m)}\right)\right\}_{n,m=1}^{N}\right)$$

The Kernel Trick

- Idea: for inner product methods, instead of computing $\Phi(\boldsymbol{x})$, use some function K_Φ s.t. $K_\Phi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = \Phi(\boldsymbol{x})^T \Phi(\boldsymbol{x}') \; \forall \; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}' \in \mathcal{X}$
  - $K_\Phi(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}')$ should be cheaper to compute than $\Phi(\boldsymbol{x})$
- Example: $\Phi_2'(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left[x_1, \ldots, x_D, x_1^2, \sqrt{2}x_1x_2, \ldots, \sqrt{2}x_{D-1}x_D, x_D^2\right]$

$$\Phi_2'(\boldsymbol{x})^T \Phi_2'(\boldsymbol{x}') = \sum_{i=1}^{D} x_i x_i' + \sum_{i=1}^{D} x_i^2 x_i'^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{D} \sum_{j \neq i} 2 x_i x_i' x_j x_j'$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{D} x_i x_i' + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{D} x_i x_i' \right)^2 = \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x}' + (\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x}')^2$$

$$K_{\Phi_2'}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x}' + (\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x}')^2$$

- Computing $\Phi_2'(\boldsymbol{x})^T \Phi_2'(\boldsymbol{x}')$ requires $O(D^2)$ time whereas computing $K_{\Phi_2'}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}')$ only takes $O(D)$!

Common Kernels

- $K_{\Phi_2'}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = \boldsymbol{x}^T\boldsymbol{x}' + (\boldsymbol{x}^T\boldsymbol{x}')^2$
  - Implied feature transformation:

$$\Phi_2'(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left[x_1, \dots, x_D, x_1^2, \sqrt{2}x_1x_2, \dots, \sqrt{2}x_{D-1}x_D, x_D^2\right]$$

  - Implied dimensionality: $\frac{D^2+3D}{2}$

- $K_{\Phi_2^{(\gamma)}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = (1 + \gamma\boldsymbol{x}^T\boldsymbol{x}')^2 - 1$
  - Implied feature transformation:

$$\Phi_2^{(\gamma)}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left[\sqrt{2\gamma}x_1, \dots, \sqrt{2\gamma}x_D, \gamma x_1^2, \gamma x_1x_2, \dots, \gamma x_D^2\right]$$

    - γ affects the geometry of the transform

  - Implied dimensionality: $\frac{D^2+3D}{2}$

Common Kernels

- Polynomial Kernel: $K_{\Phi_Q^{(\gamma)}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = (1 + \gamma \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x}')^Q - 1$
  - Implied dimensionality: $O(D^Q)$
  - γ affects the geometry of the transform
- Gaussian-RBF Kernel: $K_{\Phi_r}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = e^{-\frac{\|x - x'\|^2}{2r}}$
  - Implied feature transformation: $\Phi_r(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left[e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{2r}}, \dots, e^{-\frac{x_D^2}{2r}}, \right.$

$$\left. e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{2r}} \sqrt{\frac{(x_1)^2}{1! r^1}}, \dots, e^{-\frac{x_D^2}{2r}} \sqrt{\frac{(x_D)^2}{1! r^1}}, e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{2r}} \sqrt{\frac{\left(x_1^2\right)^2}{2! r^2}}, \dots, e^{-\frac{x_D^2}{2r}} \sqrt{\frac{\left(x_D^2\right)^2}{2! r^2}}, \dots \right]$$

Common Kernels

- Polynomial Kernel: $K_{\Phi_Q^{(\gamma)}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = (1 + \gamma \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x}')^Q - 1$
  - Implied dimensionality: $O(D^Q)$
  - γ affects the geometry of the transform
- Gaussian-RBF Kernel: $K_{\Phi_r}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = e^{-\frac{\|x-x'\|^2}{2r}}$
  - Implied feature transformation: $\Phi_r(x) =$

$$\left[\left[e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{2r}}\sqrt{\frac{\left(x_1^d\right)^2}{d!r^d}}, \ldots, e^{-\frac{x_D^2}{2r}}\sqrt{\frac{\left(x_1^d\right)^2}{d!r^d}}\right] : d \in \mathbb{N}\right]$$

  - Implied dimensionality: ∞!

Kernels Everywhere!

- Any method that only depends on the Euclidean distance between data points is an inner product method:

$$\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'\|_2 = \sqrt{(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}')^T(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}')} = \sqrt{\boldsymbol{x}^T\boldsymbol{x} - 2\boldsymbol{x}^T\boldsymbol{x}' + \boldsymbol{x}'^T\boldsymbol{x}'}$$

  - We can kernelize kNN!

Kernels Everywhere!

- We can also kernelize linear/ridge regression!

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP} = (X^T X + \lambda_C I_{D+1})^{-1} X^T \boldsymbol{y}$$
$$= X^T (X X^T + \lambda_C I_N)^{-1} \boldsymbol{y}$$

$$X X^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{x}^{(1)T} \\ 1 & \boldsymbol{x}^{(2)T} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \boldsymbol{x}^{(N)T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \boldsymbol{x}^{(1)} & \boldsymbol{x}^{(2)} & \cdots & \boldsymbol{x}^{(N)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (X X^T + \lambda_C I_{D+1})^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} \rightarrow \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP} = X^T \boldsymbol{\alpha}$

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{MAP} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow h(\boldsymbol{x}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\boldsymbol{MAP}}^T \boldsymbol{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \boldsymbol{x} \end{bmatrix}$$

Valid Kernels

- Any function K is a valid kernel if and only if:
  - $\exists$ a transformation Φ s.t.

$$K(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = \Phi(\boldsymbol{x})^T \Phi(\boldsymbol{x}') \; \forall \; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}'$$

$$\Updownarrow$$

  - the Gram matrix

$$\mathrm{K} = \begin{bmatrix} K(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}) & K(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}) & \cdots & K(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(N)}) \\ K(\boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}) & K(\boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}) & \cdots & K(\boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(N)}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K(\boldsymbol{x}^{(N)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}) & K(\boldsymbol{x}^{(N)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}) & \cdots & K(\boldsymbol{x}^{(N)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(N)}) \end{bmatrix}$$

    is symmetric and positive semi-definite $\forall$ sets

    $\{\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}^{(N)}\}$

Key Takeaways

- Polynomial/non-linear feature transformations allow for learning non-linear functions/decision boundaries
  - Can lead to overfitting…
    - Address with regularization!
    - Analogous to constrained optimization, solve via method of Lagrange multipliers
    - Regularization level is a hyperparameter
  - Can be computationally expensive…
    - Address with kernels!
    - Alternative to explicitly computing feature transformations for inner product methods