RECITATION 9 Learning Theory

10-701: Introduction to Machine Learning 04/05/2024

1 Learning Theory

1.1 PAC Learning

- 1. Basic notation:
	- Probability distribution (unknown): $X \sim p^*$
	- True function (unknown): $c^* : X \to Y$
	- Hypothesis space $\mathcal H$ and hypothesis $h \in \mathcal H : X \to Y$
	- Training dataset $\mathcal{D} = \{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(N)}\}$
- 2. True Error (expected risk)

$$
R(h) = P_{x \sim p^*(x)}(c^*(x) \neq h(x))
$$

3. Train Error (empirical risk)

$$
\hat{R}(h) = P_{x \sim \mathcal{D}}(c^*(x) \neq h(x))
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}(c^*(x^{(i)}) \neq h(x^{(i)}))
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}(y^{(i)} \neq h(x^{(i)}))
$$

The **PAC** criterion is that we produce a high accuracy hypothesis with high probability. More formally,

$$
P(\forall h \in \mathcal{H}, \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \leq \underline{\hspace{2cm}}) \geq \underline{\hspace{2cm}} \underline{\hspace{2cm}}
$$

$$
P(\forall h \in \mathcal{H}, |R(h) - \hat{R}(h)| \le \epsilon) \ge 1 - \delta
$$

Sample Complexity is the minimum number of training examples N such that the PAC criterion is satisfied for a given ϵ and δ

Sample Complexity for 4 Cases: See Figure [1.](#page-2-0) Note that

- Realizable means $c^* \in \mathcal{H}$
- Agnostic means c^* may or may not be in $\mathcal H$

Figure 1: Sample Complexity for 4 Cases

The VC dimension of a hypothesis space H , denoted VC(H) or $d_{VC}(H)$, is the maximum number of points such that there exists at least one arrangement of these points and a hypothesis $h \in \mathcal{H}$ that is consistent with any labelling of this arrangement of points.

To show that $VC(\mathcal{H}) = n$:

- Show there exists a set of points of size n that $\mathcal H$ can shatter
- Show H cannot shatter any set of points of size $n+1$

Questions

- 1. For the following examples, write whether or not there exists a dataset with the given properties that can be shattered by a linear classifier.
	- 2 points in 1D
	- 3 points in 1D
	- 3 points in 2D
	- 4 points in 2D

How many points can a linear boundary (with bias) classify exactly for d-Dimensions?

• Yes

- No
- Yes
- No
- 2. Consider a rectangle classifier (i.e. the classifier is uniquely defined 3 points $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in$ \mathbb{R}^2 that specify 3 out of the four corners), where all points within the rectangle must equal 1 and all points outside must equal -1
	- (a) Which of the configurations of 4 points in figure 2 can a rectangle shatter?

(a), (b), since the rectangle can be scaled and rotated it can always perfectly classify the points. (c) is not perfectly classifiable in the case that all the exterior points are positive and the interior point is negative.

(b) What about the configurations of 5 points in figure 3?

Figure 3

None of the above. For (d), consider (from left to right) the labeling $1, 1, -1, -1, 1$. For (e), same issue as (c).

3. Let $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ be n random variables that represent binary literals $(x \in \{0,1\}^n)$. Let the hypothesis class \mathcal{H}_n denote the conjunctions of no more than n literals in which each variable occurs at most once. Assume that $c^* \in \mathcal{H}_n$.

Example: For $n = 4$, $(x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge x_4)$, $(x_1 \wedge \neg x_3) \in \mathcal{H}_4$

Find the minimum number of examples required to learn $h \in \mathcal{H}_{10}$ which guarantees at least 99% accuracy with at least 98% confidence.

$$
|H_n| = 3^n
$$

\n
$$
|H_{10}| = 3^{10}, \epsilon = 0.01, \delta = 0.02
$$

\n
$$
N(H_{10}, \epsilon, \delta) \ge \lceil \frac{1}{\epsilon} [\ln |H_{10}| + \ln \frac{1}{\delta}] \rceil = \lceil 1489.81 \rceil = 1490
$$