15-411: First-Class Functions

Jan Hoffmann

C1 is a conservative extension of C0

- (A limited form of) function pointers
- Break and continue statements
- Generic pointers (void*)
- More details in the C0 language specification

Function Pointers

- In C we can use the address of operator & to get the address of a functions
- However, we cannot modify the content of a function's address
- Function types are defined using typedef

Example:

typedef int optype(int,int);

typedef int (*optype_pt)(int,int);

- In C we can use the address of operator & to get the address of a functions
- However, we cannot modify the content of a function's address
- Function types are defined using typedef

Example:

typedef int optype(int,int);

typedef int (*optype_pt)(int,int);

Not in C1!

```
int f (int x, int y) {
   return x+y;
}
int (*g)(int x, int y) = &f;
int main () {
   (*g)(1,2);
}
```

```
int f (int x, int y) {
    int g (int y) {return 0};
    return x+y;
}
```

	<pre>int f (int x, int y) { return x+y; }</pre>
Not in C1!	<pre>int (*g)(int x, int y) = &f int main () { (*g)(1,2); }</pre>

```
int f (int x, int y) {
    int g (int y) {return 0};
    return x+y;
}
```

	<pre>int f (int x, int y) { return x+y; }</pre>
Not in C1!	<pre>int (*g)(int x, int y) = &f int main () { (*g)(1,2); }</pre>

Cannot define local functions:



```
typedef int optype(int, int);
int add (int x, int y) {return x+y;}
int mult (int x, int y) {return x*y;}
optype* f1 (int x) {
 optype* g;
  if (x)
    g = \& add;
 else
    g = \&mult;
  return g;
}
int g1 (optype* f, int x, int y) {
  return (*f)(x,y);
}
```

```
typedef int optype(int,int);
int h () {
    optype f2;
    int x = f2(1,2);
    return x;
}
```





compile the program?



```
typedef int optype(int,int);
int h () {
    optype f2;
    int x = f2(1,2);
    return x;
}
int f2 (int x, int y) {return x+y;}
```

```
typedef int optype(int,int);
int h () {
    optype f2;
    int x = f2(1,2);
    return x;
}
int f2 (int x, int y) {return x+y;}
```

What happens if you compile the program?

gdef ::= ... | *typedef* type ft (type vid, ... , type vid)

type ::= ... | ft





exp ::= ... | (* exp) (exp, ... ,exp)









Static Semantics

$$\frac{ft = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \to \tau \quad \Gamma(f) = ft}{\Gamma \vdash \& f : ft *}$$

$$\frac{ft = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \to \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e : ft * \quad \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \dots \quad \Gamma \vdash e_n : \tau_n}{\Gamma \vdash *e(e_1, \dots, e_n) : \tau}$$

Dynamic Semantics

Expressions $e ::= c | e_1 \odot e_2 | \text{true} | \text{false} | e_1 \&\& e_2 | x | f(e_1, e_2) | f()$

Statements	S	::= 	$\begin{array}{l} nop \mid seq(s_1, s_2) \mid assign(x, e) \mid decl(x, \tau, s) \\ if(e, s_1, s_2) \mid while(e, s) \mid return(e) \mid assert(e) \end{array}$
Values	v	::=	$c \mid true \mid false \mid nothing$
Environments	η	::=	$\cdot \mid \eta, x \mapsto c$
Stacks	S	::=	$\cdot \mid S \;, \langle \eta, K angle$
Cont. frames	ϕ	::= 	$\begin{array}{c c} _ \odot e \mid c \odot _ \mid _ \&\& e \mid f(_, e) \mid f(c, _) \\ s \mid \operatorname{assign}(x, _) \mid \operatorname{if}(_, s_1, s_2) \mid \operatorname{return}(_) \mid \operatorname{assert}(_) \end{array}$
Continuations	K	::=	$\cdot \mid \phi \;, K$
Exceptions	E	::=	arith abort mem

Expressions	e	::=	$c \mid e_1 \odot e_2 \mid {\sf true} \mid {\sf false} \mid e_1$ && $e_2 \mid x \mid f(e_1, e_2) \mid f(\cdot)$
			$ \&f (*e)(e_1,e_2) (*e)()$

Statements	s	::=	$nop \mid seq(s_1, s_2) \mid assign(x, e) \mid decl(x, \tau, s)$
			$if(e, s_1, s_2) \mid while(e, s) \mid return(e) \mid assert(e)$
Values	v	::=	$c \mid true \mid false \mid nothing$
Environments	η	::=	$\cdot \mid \eta, x \mapsto c$
Stacks	S	::=	$\cdot \mid S \;, \langle \eta, K angle$
Cont. frames	ϕ	::=	_ $\odot e \mid c \odot$ _ _ && $e \mid f(_, e) \mid f(c, _)$
			$s \mid \operatorname{assign}(x, _) \mid \operatorname{if}(_, s_1, s_2) \mid \operatorname{return}(_) \mid \operatorname{assert}(_)$
Continuations	K	::=	$\cdot \mid \phi \;, K$
Exceptions	E	::=	arith abort mem

Expressions	e	::=	$c \mid e_1 \odot e_2 \mid true \mid false \mid e_1 \And e_2 \mid x \mid f(e_1, e_2) \mid f(\cdot)$
			$ \&f (*e)(e_1,e_2) (*e)()$

Statements	S	::= 	$\begin{array}{l} nop \mid seq(s_1, s_2) \mid assign(x, e) \mid decl(x, \tau, s) \\ if(e, s_1, s_2) \mid while(e, s) \mid return(e) \mid assert(e) \end{array}$
Values	v	::=	$c \mid true \mid false \mid nothing \mid \& f$
Environments	η	::=	$\cdot \mid \eta, x \mapsto c$
Stacks	S	::=	$\cdot \mid S \;, \langle \eta, K angle$
Cont. frames	ϕ	::= 	$ _ \odot e \mid c \odot _ \mid _ \&\& e \mid f(_, e) \mid f(c, _) $ s assign(x, _) if(_, s_1, s_2) return(_) assert(_)
Continuations	K	::=	$\cdot \mid \phi \;, K$
Exceptions	E	::=	arith abort mem

Expressions	e	::=	$c \mid e_1 \odot e_2 \mid true \mid false \mid e_1 \And e_2 \mid x \mid f(e_1, e_2) \mid f(\cdot)$
			$ \& f (*e)(e_1, e_2) (*e)()$

Statements	S	::= 	$\begin{array}{l} nop \mid seq(s_1, s_2) \mid assign(x, e) \mid decl(x, \tau, s) \\ if(e, s_1, s_2) \mid while(e, s) \mid return(e) \mid assert(e) \end{array}$
Values	v	::=	$c \mid true \mid false \mid nothing \mid \& f$
Environments	η	::=	$\cdot \mid \eta, x \mapsto c$
Stacks	S	::=	$\cdot \mid S \;, \langle \eta, K angle$
Cont. frames	ϕ	::= 	
Continuations	K	::=	$\cdot \mid \phi \;, K$
Exceptions	E	::=	arith abort mem

- $S ; \eta \vdash e_1 \odot e_2 \triangleright K$ $S ; \eta \vdash c_1 \triangleright (_ \odot e_2 , K)$ $S ; \eta \vdash c_2 \triangleright (c_1 \odot _, K)$ $S ; \eta \vdash c_2 \triangleright (c_1 \odot _, K)$
- $S ; \eta \vdash e_1 \&\& e_2 \triangleright K$ $S ; \eta \vdash \mathsf{false} \triangleright (_\&\& e_2 , K)$ $S ; \eta \vdash \mathsf{true} \triangleright (_\&\& e_2 , K)$

 $S \ ; \eta \vdash x \rhd K$

- $\begin{array}{ll} \longrightarrow & S ; \eta \vdash e_1 \triangleright (_ \odot e_2 , K) \\ \longrightarrow & S ; \eta \vdash e_2 \triangleright (c_1 \odot _ , K) \\ \longrightarrow & S ; \eta \vdash c \triangleright K & (c = c_1 \odot c_2) \\ \longrightarrow & \text{exception(arith)} & (c_1 \odot c_2 \text{ undefined}) \end{array}$
- $\longrightarrow \quad S ; \eta \vdash e_1 \triangleright (_\&\& e_2, K)$
- $\longrightarrow \qquad S \ ; \ \eta \vdash \mathsf{false} \vartriangleright K$
- \longrightarrow $S; \eta \vdash e_2 \triangleright K$
- \longrightarrow $S; \eta \vdash \eta(x) \triangleright K$

Summary: Expressions

$$S : \eta \vdash \mathsf{nop} \blacktriangleright (s , K)$$

$$S : \eta \vdash \mathsf{assign}(x, e) \blacktriangleright K$$

$$S : \eta \vdash c \triangleright (\mathsf{assign}(x, _) , K)$$

$$S : \eta \vdash \mathsf{decl}(x, \tau, s) \blacktriangleright K$$

$$S : \eta \vdash \mathsf{decl}(x, \tau, s) \triangleright K$$

$$S : \eta \vdash \mathsf{true} \triangleright (\mathsf{assert}(_) , K)$$

$$S : \eta \vdash \mathsf{false} \triangleright (\mathsf{assert}(_) , K)$$

$$S : \eta \vdash \mathsf{if}(e, s_1, s_2) \triangleright K$$

$$S : \eta \vdash \mathsf{true} \triangleright (\mathsf{if}(_, s_1, s_2), K)$$

$$S : \eta \vdash \mathsf{false} \triangleright (\mathsf{if}(_, s_1, s_2), K)$$

 $S ; \eta \vdash \mathsf{while}(e, s) \blacktriangleright K$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \longrightarrow & S \ ; \eta \vdash s \blacktriangleright K \\ \longrightarrow & S \ ; \eta \vdash e \triangleright (\operatorname{assign}(x, _) \ , K) \\ \longrightarrow & S \ ; \eta [x \mapsto c] \vdash \operatorname{nop} \blacktriangleright K \end{array}$

$$S ; \eta[x \mapsto \mathsf{nothing}] \vdash s \blacktriangleright K$$

 \longrightarrow

 \longrightarrow

 \longrightarrow

 \longrightarrow

 \longrightarrow

 \longrightarrow

 \longrightarrow

 \longrightarrow

$$S ; \eta \vdash e \rhd (\mathsf{assert}(_), K)$$
$$S ; \eta \vdash \mathsf{nop} \blacktriangleright K$$
$$\mathsf{exception}(\mathsf{abort})$$

$$S ; \eta \vdash e \triangleright (if(_, s_1, s_2) , K)$$

$$S ; \eta \vdash s_1 \blacktriangleright K$$

$$S ; \eta \vdash s_2 \triangleright K$$

 $S ; \eta \vdash \mathsf{if}(e, \mathsf{seq}(s, \mathsf{while}(e, s)), \mathsf{nop}) \blacktriangleright K$

Summary: Statements

$$S ; \eta \vdash f(e_1, e_2) \triangleright K$$

$$S ; \eta \vdash c_1 \triangleright (f(_, e_2), K)$$

$$S ; \eta \vdash c_2 \triangleright (f(c_1, _), K)$$

 $S ; \eta \vdash f() \vartriangleright K$

$$\begin{array}{ll} S : \eta \vdash \mathsf{return}(e) \blacktriangleright K & \longrightarrow \\ (S , \langle \eta', K' \rangle) : \eta \vdash v \triangleright (\mathsf{return}(_), K) & \longrightarrow \\ \cdot : \eta \vdash c \triangleright (\mathsf{return}(_), K) & \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \longrightarrow & S ; \eta \vdash e_1 \triangleright (f(_, e_2) , K) \\ \longrightarrow & S ; \eta \vdash e_2 \triangleright (f(c_1, _) , K) \\ \longrightarrow & (S , \langle \eta, K \rangle) ; [x_1 \mapsto c_1, x_2 \mapsto c_2] \vdash s \blacktriangleright \cdot \\ & (given that f is defined as f(x_1, x_2)\{s\}) \\ \longrightarrow & (S , \langle \eta, K \rangle) ; \cdot \vdash s \blacktriangleright \cdot \\ & (given that f is defined as f()\{s\}) \end{array}$

$$S ; \eta \vdash e \triangleright (\mathsf{return}(_) , K)$$
$$S ; \eta' \vdash v \triangleright K'$$
$$\mathsf{value}(c)$$

Summary: Functions

Dynamic Semantics: Function Pointers

$$S; \eta \vdash (*e)(e_1, e_2) \triangleright K \longrightarrow S; \eta \vdash e \triangleright ((*_)(e_1, e_2), K)$$

 $S; \eta \vdash \& f \triangleright ((*_)(e_1, e_2), K) \longrightarrow S; \eta \vdash e_1 \triangleright (f(_, e_2), K)$

C1 treats function types nominally

```
typedef int binop_fn(int,int);
```

```
typedef int binop_fn2(int,int);
```

```
int add (int x, int y) {return x+y;}
int main {
   binop_fn* f = &add;
   binop_fn2* f2 = &add;
   return 0;
}
```

C1 treats function types nominally

```
typedef int binop_fn(int,int);
```

typedef int binop_fn2(int,int);

```
int add (int x, int y) {return x+y;}
int main {
    binop_fn* f = &add;
    binop_fn2* f2 = &add;
    return 0;
}
```

C1 treats function types nominally

```
typedef int binop_fn(int,int);
```

typedef int binop_fn2(int,int);

```
int add (int x, int y) {return x+y;}
int main {
   binop_fn* f = &add;
   binop_fn2* f2 = &add;
   return 0;
}
```

```
(*&add)(x,y)
```

C1 treats function types nominally

```
typedef int binop_fn(int,int);
```

typedef int binop_fn2(int,int);

```
int add (int x, int y) {return x+y;}
int main {
    binop_fn* f = &add;
    binop_fn2* f2 = &add;
    return 0;
}
Like null, add can
have both types.
(*&add)(x,y)
Not allowed in
C1.
```

Nominal Type and Contracts

typedef int binop_fn(int x, int y);
 //@requires x >= y; ensures \result > 0;
typedef int binop_fn_2(int x, int y);
 //@requires x != y;

- binop_fn and binop_fn_2 are treated as different types
- The call *f(3,3) can cause a precondition violation
- The call *f2(3,3) might be fine even if f and f2 point to the same function

First-Class Functions

Currying and Partial Application

In ML we can have functions that return functions

Currying and Partial Application

In ML we can have functions that return functions

In C (C0, C1, ...) we can support this by adding a new syntactic form for anonymous functions

```
fn (int i) { stm }
```

Currying and Partial Application

In ML we can have functions that return functions

In C (C0, C1, ...) we can support this by adding a new syntactic form for anonymous functions

```
fn (int i) { stm }
The type of this expression is
    (int -> t)*
where t is the synthesized return type.
```

Example

```
unop_fn* addn(int x) {
    int z = x + 1;
    return fn (int y) { return x + z + y; };
}
int main() {
    unop_fn* h1 = addn(7);
    unop_fn* h2 = addn(6);
    return (*h1)(3) + (*h1)(5) + (*h2)(3);
}
```

Dynamic Semantics of Anonymous Functions

Dynamic semantics is not immediately clear

In a functional language we could define the semantics using substitution

addn(7) would lead to

return fn (int y) { return 7 + 8 + y; }

Dynamic Semantics of Anonymous Functions

Dynamic semantics is not immediately clear

In a functional language we could define the semantics using substitution

addn(7) would lead to

```
return fn (int y) { return 7 + 8 + y; }
```

But in an imperative language that does not work: might be incremented inside a loop What would the effect of the substitution be?

Dynamic Semantics of Anonymous Functions

Dynamic semantics is not immediately clear

In a functional language we could define the semantics using substitution

addn(7) would lead to

```
return fn (int y) { return 7 + 8 + y; }
```

But in an imperative language that does not work: might be incremented inside a loop What would the effect of the substitution be?

What we called variables are in fact assignables; details in 15-312.

C1 Example: Dynamic Semantics

```
unop_fn* addn(int x) {
    int z = x + 1;
    return fn (int y) { return x + z + y; };
}
int main() {
    unop_fn* h1 = addn(7);
    unop_fn* h2 = addn(6);
    return (*h1)(3) + (*h1)(5) + (*h2)(3);
```

C1 Example: Dynamic Semantics

C1 Example: Dynamic Semantics

Idea: Store "variable" environment with function codefunction closure

Function Closures: Dynamic Semantics

For functions with two arguments (other functions are similar)

$$\begin{split} S; \eta \vdash \operatorname{fn}(x, y)\{s\} \triangleright K & \longrightarrow \quad S; \eta \vdash \langle\!\langle \operatorname{fn}(x, y)\{s\}, \eta \rangle\!\rangle \triangleright K \\ S; \eta \vdash \langle\!\langle \operatorname{fn}(x, y)\{s\}, \eta' \rangle\!\rangle \triangleright ((*_{-})(e_{1}, e_{2}), K) & \longrightarrow \quad S; \eta \vdash e_{1} \triangleright ((*\langle\!\langle \operatorname{fn}(x, y)\{s\}, \eta' \rangle\!\rangle)(_{-}, e_{2}), K) \\ S; \eta \vdash v_{1} \triangleright ((*\langle\!\langle \operatorname{fn}(x, y)\{s\}, \eta' \rangle\!\rangle)(_{-}, e_{2}), K) & \longrightarrow \quad S; \eta \vdash e_{2} \triangleright ((*\langle\!\langle \operatorname{fn}(x, y)\{s\}, \eta' \rangle\!\rangle)(v_{1}, _{-}), K) \\ S; \eta \vdash v_{2} \triangleright ((*\langle\!\langle \operatorname{fn}(x, y)\{s\}, \eta' \rangle\!\rangle)(v_{1}, _{-}), K) & \longrightarrow \quad S; \langle\eta, K\rangle; [\eta', x \mapsto v_{1}, y \mapsto v_{2}] \vdash s \blacktriangleright \cdot \end{split}$$

Function Closures: Dynamic Semantics

For functions with two arguments (other functions are similar)

Function Closures: Dynamic Semantics

For functions with two arguments (other functions are similar)

Another Example

```
unop_fn* addn(int x) {
    unop_fn* f = fn (int y) { x++; return x + y; };
    x++;
    return f;
}
int main() {
    unop_fn* h1 = addn(7);
    unop_fn* h2 = addn(6);
    return (*h1)(3) + (*h1)(5) + (*h2)(3);
}
```

Function Closures in Python

```
def makeInc(x):
    def inc(y):
        # x = x + 1
        return y + x
        x = x + 1
        return inc
inc5 = makeInc(5)
inc10 = makeInc(10)
inc5(4)
```

Function Closures in Python

Function Closures in Python

What happens when we add this line?

Is it be possible to translate programs with function closures to CO?

- Idea: turn local funs. into top-level funs. with additional closure argument
- But: the closure argument is different for each instance
- A closure for unop_fn* may need
 - no extra data, as in fn (int y) { return y + 3; }
 - only one piece of extra data, as in fn (int y) { return x + y; }
 - multiple pieces of extra data, as in fn (int y) { return (*f)(x,z); }

Is it be possible to translate programs with function closures to CO?

- Idea: turn local funs. into top-level funs. with additional closure argument
- But: the closure argument is different for each instance
- A closure for unop_fn* may need
 - no extra data, as in fn (int y) { return y + 3; }
 - only one piece of extra data, as in fn (int y) { return x + y; }
 - multiple pieces of extra data, as in fn (int y) { return (*f)(x,z); }

Need union types.

```
typedef int unop(int y);
union unop_data {
     struct {} clo1;
     struct { int x; } clo2;
     struct { struct binop_closure* f; int x; int z; } clo3;
};
typedef int unop_cl_fn(union unop_data* data, int y);
struct unop_closure {
   unop_cl_fn* f;
   union unop_data* data;
};
typedef int unop_fn(struct unop_closure* clo, int y);
```

```
typedef int unop(int y);
union unop_data {
     struct {} clo1;
     struct { int x; } clo2;
     struct { struct binop_closure* f; int x; int z; } clo3;
};
typedef int unop_cl_fn(union unop_data* data, int y);
struct unop_closure {
                                A closure is a pair of a function pointer
   unop_cl_fn* f;
                                    and the environment variables.
   union unop_data* data;
};
typedef int unop_fn(struct unop_closure* clo, int y);
```

```
typedef int unop(int y);
                                           There are three possibilities
                                                 in our example.
union unop_data {
     struct {} clo1;
     struct { int x; } clo2;
     struct { struct binop_closure* f; int x; int z; } clo3;
};
typedef int unop_cl_fn(union unop_data* data, int y);
struct unop_closure {
                                A closure is a pair of a function pointer
   unop_cl_fn* f;
                                    and the environment variables.
   union unop_data* data;
};
typedef int unop_fn(struct unop_closure* clo, int y);
```

```
int run_unop_closure (struct unop_closure* clo, int y) {
   unop_cl_fn* f = clo->f;
   return (*f) (clo->data, y);
}
int fn1 (union unop_data* data, int y) {
   return y + 3;
}
int fn2 (union unop_data* data, int y) {
   int x = data->clo2.x;
   return x + y;
}
```

```
int main () {
  int x = 10;
     unop* g = fn (int y) { return y + 3; }; */
  /*
  struct unop_closure* g = malloc(sizeof(struct unop_closure));
  g \rightarrow f = \& fn1;
  g->data = malloc(sizeof(struct {}));
  /* unop* h = fn (int y) { return x + y; }; */
  struct unop_closure* h = malloc(sizeof(struct unop_closure));
 h \rightarrow f = \& fn2;
 h->data = malloc(sizeof(struct {int x;}));
 h \rightarrow data \rightarrow clo2.x = x;
 /* result = g(4) */
  int result = run_unop_closure (g,4);
 printf ("%i\n",result);
  /* result = h(1) */
 result = run_unop_closure (h,1);
 printf ("%i\n",result);
  return 0;
}
```

- Need to store variable environment and function body
- Difficulty: We cannot determine statically what the shape of the environment is
- Similar to adding a struct to the function body
- Store all variables that are captured by the function closure on the heap
- Every function needs to be treated like a closure