

10-423/10-623 Generative Al

Machine Learning Department School of Computer Science Carnegie Mellon University

Diffusion Models

Matt Gormley Lecture 7 Feb. 7, 2024

Reminders

- Homework 1: Generative Models of Text
 - Out: Thu, Jan 25
 - Due: Wed, Feb 7 at 11:59pm
- Homework 2: Generative Models of Images
 - Out: Thu, Feb 8
 - Due: Mon, Feb 19 at 11:59pm

U-NET

Semantic Segmentation

- Given an image, predict a label for every pixel in the image
- Not merely a classification problem, because there are strong correlations between pixel-specific labels





Instance Segmentation

- Predict per-pixel labels as in semantic segmentation, but differentiate between different instances of the same label
- Example: if there are two people in the image, one person should be labeled **person-1** and one should be labeled **person-2**



Figure 1. The Mask R-CNN framework for instance segmentation.



Figure from https://openaccess.thecvf.com/content_ICCV_2017/papers/He_Mask_R-CNN_ICCV_2017_paper.pdf

U-Net

Contracting path

- block consists of:
 - 3x3 convolution
 - 3x3 convolution
 - ReLU
 - max-pooling with stride of 2 (downsample)
- repeat the block N times, doubling number of channels

Expanding path

- block consists of:
 - 2x2 convolution (upsampling)
 - concatenation with contracting path features
 - 3x3 convolution
 - 3x3 convolution
 - ReLU
- repeat the block N times, halving the number of channels



U-Net

- Originally designed for applications to biomedical segmentation
- Key observation is that the output layer has the same dimensions as the input image (possibly with different number of channels)



Fig. 4. Result on the ISBI cell tracking challenge. (a) part of an input image of the "PhC-U373" data set. (b) Segmentation result (cyan mask) with manual ground truth (yellow border) (c) input image of the "DIC-HeLa" data set. (d) Segmentation result (random colored masks) with manual ground truth (yellow border).

UNSUPERVISED LEARNING

Assumptions:

- 1. our data comes from some distribution $q(x_0)$
- 2. we choose a distribution $p_{\theta}(x_0)$ for which sampling $x_0 \sim p_{\theta}(x_0)$ is tractable

Goal: learn θ s.t. $p_{\theta}(x_o) \approx q(x_o)$

Assumptions:

- 1. our data comes from some distribution $q(x_0)$
- 2. we choose a distribution $p_{\theta}(x_0)$ for which sampling $x_0 \sim p_{\theta}(x_0)$ is tractable

Goal: learn θ s.t. $p_{\theta}(x_0) \approx q(x_0)$



Example: autoregressive LMs

- true q(x_o) is the (human) process that produced text on the web
- choose $p_{\theta}(x_0)$ to be an autoregressive language model
 - autoregressive structure means that $p(x_t | x_1, ..., x_{t-1}) \sim Categorical(.)$ and ancestral sampling is exact/efficient
- learn by finding θ ≈ argmax_θ log(p_θ(x₀))
 using gradient based updates on ∇_θ log(p_θ(x₀))

Assumptions:

- 1. our data comes from some distribution $q(x_0)$
- 2. we choose a distribution $p_{\theta}(x_0)$ for which sampling $x_0 \sim p_{\theta}(x_0)$ is tractable

Goal: learn θ s.t. $p_{\theta}(x_o) \approx q(x_o)$



so optimize a minimax loss instead

Example: GANs

- true $q(x_0)$ is distribution over photos taken and posted to Flikr
- choose $p_{\theta}(x_{o})$ to be an expressive model (e.g. noise fed into inverted CNN) that can generate images
 - sampling is typically easy: z ~ N(0, I) and $x_0 = f_{\theta}(z)$

learn by finding $\theta \approx \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \log(p_{\theta}(x_{o}))$?

- No! Because we can't even compute $log(p_{\theta}(x_{o}))$ or its gradient
- Why not? Because the integral is intractable even for a simple 1-hidden layer neural network with nonlinear activation

$$p(x_0) = \int_z p(x_0 \mid z) p(z) dz$$

Assumptions:

- 1. our data comes from some distribution $q(x_0)$
- 2. we choose a distribution $p_{\theta}(x_0)$ for which sampling $x_0 \sim p_{\theta}(x_0)$ is tractable

Goal: learn θ s.t. $p_{\theta}(x_0) \approx q(x_0)$



Example: Diffusion Models

- true $q(x_0)$ is distribution over photos taken and posted to Flikr
- choose $p_{\theta}(x_0)$ to be an expressive model (e.g. noise fed into inverted CNN) that can generate images
 - sampling is will be easy
- learn by finding $\theta \approx \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \log(p_{\theta}(x_{o}))$?
 - Sort of! We can't compute the gradient $\nabla_{\theta} \log(p_{\theta}(x_{o}))$
 - So we instead optimize a variational lower bound (more on that later)

$\begin{array}{c} \hline z \\ p(x|z) p(z) \end{array}$

- P(x|z) P(z)
 For GANs, we assume that there are (unknown) latent variables which give rise to our observations
- The **noise vector z** are those latent variables
- After learning a GAN, we can interpolate between images in latent z space

Latent Variable Models



Figure 4: Top rows: Interpolation between a series of 9 random points in Z show that the space learned has smooth transitions, with every image in the space plausibly looking like a bedroom. In the 6th row, you see a room without a window slowly transforming into a room with a giant window. In the 10th row, you see what appears to be a TV slowly being transformed into a window.

DIFFUSION MODELS

Diffusion Models

- Next we will consider (1) diffusion me variational autoencoders (VAEs)
 - Although VAEs came first, we're going to models since they will receive more of or
- The steps in defining these models is
 - Define a probability distribution involvin
 - Use a variational lower bound as an obje
 - Learn the parameters of the probability
 the objective function
- So what is a variational lower bound?

The standard presentation of diffusion models requires an understanding of variational inference. (we'll do that next time)

> Today, we'll do an alternate presentation without variational inference!

Diffusion Model

Define a very simple forward process for adding noise to data:

$$q_{\phi}(x_{1:T}) = q(x_0) \prod_{t=1}^{T} \underline{q_{\phi}(x_t \mid x_{t-1})}$$

where $q(x_0)$ is the data distribution and $q_{\phi}(x_t \mid x_{t-1})$ is some simple/tractable distribution (e.g. Gaussian).

And, even though $q_{\phi}(x_t \mid x_{t-1})$ is simple, computing $q_{\phi}(x_{t-1} \mid x_t)$ is intractable! Why? Because $q(x_0)$ might be not-so-simple.

Question:

Which are the latent variables in a diffusion model?

Answer:

16

 \sim

Diffusion Models

Whiteboard:

- probabilistic definition of diffusion model (forward process and reverse process)
- 2. Gaussian conditionals for forward/reverse diffusion
- 3. analogy for learning diffusion model
- 4. marginals of the forward process
- 5. learning by matching marginals with the reverse process
- 6. training algorithms
- 7. sampling algorithms