

#### **10-423/10-623 Generative AI**

Machine Learning Department School of Computer Science Carnegie Mellon University

## **Long Context in LLMs**

Matt Gormley & Henry Chai Lecture 19 Nov. 6, 2024

## **Reminders**

#### • **Exam**

- **Date: In-class, Monday, Nov 11**
- **Time: 75 minutes, taking up the whole class time**
- **Covered Material: Lectures 1 – 15 (same as Quiz 1 – Quiz 4)**
- **You may bring one sheet of notes (front and back)**
- **Format of questions: Unlike the Quiz questions, which were all multiple choice, Exam questions will include open-ended questions as well**
- **Check Piazza for seat assignment**

## **LONG-CONTEXT LLMS**

## Context Length of Transformer LMs

Sliding Window

Comparison of some recent **large language models** (LLMs) on their **context size**, i.e. how many tokens they can accept

 $5$ tandard



#### Pressure Testing Claude-2.1 200K via "Needle In A HayStack"

Asking Claude 2.1 To Do Fact Retrieval Across Context Lengths & Document Depth



Figure from https://github.com/gkamradt/LLMTest\_NeedleInAHaystack

#### Pressure Testing Claude-2.1 200K via "Needle In A HayStack"

Asking Claude 2.1 To Do Fact Retrieval Across Context Lengths & Document Depth



Goal: Test Claude 2.1 Ability To Retrieve Information From Large Context Windows A fact was placed within a document. Claude 2.1 (200K) was then asked to retrieve it. The output was evaluated (with GPT-4) for accuracy. This test was run at 35 different document depths (top > bottom) and 35 different context lengths (IK >200K tokens). Document Depths followed a sigmoid distribution

## Extending Short-Context Models

- There are two key ingredients for extending a short context model
	- 1. extensible positional embeddings
	- 2. Careful selection of long data
- General recipe
	- Pre-train a short context model (block size = 4k) on 1 trillion tokens of text
	- Adjust the hyperparameters of the positional embeddings
	- Continue pre-training but increase<br>the block size to support long $contexts$  (block size = 80k) on only 5 billion tokens of text

Together AI LLaMA-2 7B 32K, acc 27.9





16

Figure from http://arxiv.org/abs/2402.10171

# Fine-Tuning vs. In-Context Learning

- Why would we ever bother with fine-tuning if it's so inefficient?
- Because, even for very large LMs, fine-tuning often beats in-context learning
- In a fair comparison of fine-tuning (FT) and in-context learning (ICL), we find that FT outperforms ICL for most model sizes on RTE and MNLI



(See Lecture 19)

Figure from https://aclanthology.org/2023.findings-acl.779.pdf

ecture

## ICL with Long Sequences

- Modern wisdom reveals that ICL can sometimes outperform fine-tuning
- All we need is a long context model that can hold our in-context demonstrations
- Two multiclass classification datasets (Clinic- 150 with 151 labels, Trecfine with 50 labels)
- Base model: LLaMa2-7B  $-\beta_0$
- Approaches:
	- Finetuned: LoRA
	- Random ICL: randomly selects training examples for ICL
	- Retrieval ICL: selects training examples for ICL most similar to test example based on BM25



(b) Trecfine

### **APPROXIMATE ATTENTION**

## Approximate Attention

- Standard attention requires O(N2 ) memory and computation
- While the computation requirement may be acceptable, the memory requirement is usually not
- One solution is to instead approximate the attention computation
- Examples include:
	- Sparse Attention (2019), O(N  $\sqrt{N}$ )
- $\ast$  Sliding Window Attention (2020), O(N)
	- Dilated Attention (2023), O(N)

### Sparse Attention

#### 4.2. Factorized self-attention

A self-attention layer maps a matrix of input embeddings  $X$  to an output matrix and is parameterized by a connectivity pattern  $S = \{S_1, ..., S_n\}$  where  $S_i$  denotes the set of indices of the input vectors to which the *i*th output vector attends. The output vector is a weighted sum of transformations of the input vectors:

$$
Attend(X, S) = \left(a(\mathbf{x}_i, S_i)\right)_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}
$$
\n
$$
a(\mathbf{x}_i, S_i) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{(W_q \mathbf{x}_i) K_{S_i}^T}{\sqrt{d}}\right) V_{S_i} \qquad (3)
$$

$$
K_{S_i} = \left(W_k \mathbf{x}_j\right)_{j \in S_i} \quad V_{S_i} = \left(W_v \mathbf{x}_j\right)_{j \in S_i} \quad (4)
$$

Here  $W_q$ ,  $W_k$ , and  $W_v$  represent the weight matrices which transform a given  $x_i$  into a *query*, key, or *value*, and d is the inner dimension of the queries and keys. The output at each position is a sum of the values weighted by the scaled dot-product similarity of the keys and queries.



## Sliding Window Attention

#### *Sliding Window Attention*

- also called "local attention" and introduced for the Longformer model (2020)
- **The problem:** regular attention is computationally expensive and requires a lot of memory
- **The solution:** apply a causal mask that only looks at the include a window of  $(\frac{1}{2}w+1)$  tokens, with the rightmost window element being the current token (i.e. on the diagonal)

$$
\mathbf{X}' = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{K}^T}{\sqrt{d_k}} + \mathbf{M}\right)\mathbf{V}
$$



sliding window attention (w=6)



#### regular causal attention sliding window attention  $(w=4)$

Recall ..



# Sliding Window Attention

*Sliding Window Attention*

- also called "local attention" and introduced for the Longformer model (2020)
- **The problem:** regular attention is computationally expensive and requires a lot of memory
- **The solution:** apply a causal mask that only looks at the include a window of  $(\frac{1}{2}w+1)$  tokens, with the rightmost window element being the current token (i.e. on the diagonal)

$$
\mathbf{X}' = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{K}^T}{\sqrt{d_k}} + \mathbf{M}\right)\mathbf{V}
$$



#### **3 ways you could implement**

- *1. naïve implementation:* just do the matrix multiplication, but this is still slow
- *2. for-loop implementation:*  asymptotically faster / less memory, but unusable in practice b/c for-loops in PyTorch are too slow
- *3. sliding chunks implementation:* break into Q and K into chunks of size w x w, with overlap of ½w; then compute full attention within each chunk and mask out chunk (very fast/low memory in practice)

Recall…

## Dilated Attention



- Dilated attention mixes together multiple dilation rates
- Each dilation rate decides the sparsity pattern of Q,K,V
- Computation is easily parallelizable
- Runtime is great, but it's now a different model altogether



## **EFFICIENT FULL ATTENTION**

## Scaling Up Computation with Context Length

- In TransformerLMs,  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ . the FLOPS do not scale up as quickly as  $_0$ you might expect  $\int$ , $\bigcirc$ with context size
- There are lots of  $\overline{10}$ computationally intensive  $\overline{O}$ components to the  $\overline{O}$ Transformer besides<sup>1.6</sup><br>the  $\Omega^{(N/2)}$  attention  $\frac{41}{3}$ the  $O(N^2)$  attention

Figure from  $R \sim$  Atte



Figure 5: The per dataset trainig FLOPs cost ratio relative to a 4k context size, considering different model dimensions. On the x-axis, you'll find the context length, where, for example,  $32x(128k)$ denotes a context length of 128k, 32x the size of the same model's 4k context length.

### Sequence Parallelism

- **Sequence parallelism** breaks apart a long sequence into chunks
- Each chunk is given to a separate device (GPU or TPU) and the computation of earlier devices must be sent to later devices (for decoder -only Transformers)
- **Problem**: this does not scale up very efficiently because the later queries still must attend to O(N) other key/value tokens



(a) Pipeline parallelism





(c) Sequence parallelism (Ours)

### Blockwise Attention Computation

**Recall**: softmax is shift invariant! So we can compute attention in blocks and rescale the prior outputs appropriately based on the sufficient statistics from the other blocks

This is achieved by keeping track of normalization statistics and combining them from all blocks to scale each block accordingly. For a specific query block  $Q_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le B_q$ , the corresponding attention output can be computed by scaling each blockwise attention as follows:

$$
Attention(Q_i, K, V) = Scaling(\{\exp(Q_i K_j^T) V_j\}_{j=1}^{B_{kv}}).
$$
\n(3)

The scaling operation scales each blockwise attention based on the difference between the blockwise maximum and the global maximum:

$$
\text{Attention}(Q_i, K_j, V_j) = \exp\left(Q_i K_j^T - \max(Q_i K_j^T)\right) / \sum \exp\left(Q_i K_j^T - \max(Q_i K_j^T)\right)
$$
\n
$$
\max_i = \max\left(\max(Q_i K_1^T), \dots, \max(Q_i K_B^T)\right)
$$
\n
$$
\text{Attention}(Q_i, K, V) = \left[\exp(Q_i K_j^T - \max_i) \text{Attention}(Q_i, K_j, V_j)\right]_{j=1}^{B_{kv}}.
$$

This blockwise self-attention computation eliminates the need to materialize the full attention matrix of size  $O(n^2)$ , resulting in significant memory savings.

Figure from http://arxiv.org/abs/2305.19370



Figure from http://arxiv.org/abs/2310.01889

## Ring Attention



Key and Value Inner Loop



## Ring Attention

**Algorithm 1** Reducing Transformers Memory Cost with Ring Attention.

```
Required: Input sequence x. Number of hosts N_h.
Initialize
Split input sequence into N<sub>h</sub> blocks that each host has one input block.
Compute query, key, and value for its input block on each host.
for Each transformer layer do
  for count = 1 to N_h - 1 do
    for For each host concurrently. do
       Compute memory efficient attention incrementally using local query, key, value blocks.
       Send key and value blocks to next host and receive key and value blocks from previous
       host.
    end for
  end for
  for For each host concurrently. do
    Compute memory efficient feedforward using local attention output.
  end for
end for
```
## Ring Attention

- Results:
	- 13B model can be increased to handle a 128k token context length on 8 A100s
	- 7B model can be increased to handle a 4 million token context length on 32 A100s



### Large World Model



Figure 1 LWM can answer questions over a 1 hour YouTube video. Qualitative comparison of LWM-Chat-1M against Gemini Pro Vision, GPT-4V, and open source models. Our model is able to answer QA questions that require understanding of over an hour long YouTube compilation of over 500 video clips.





