

10-423/10-623 Generative AI

Machine Learning Department School of Computer Science Carnegie Mellon University

Pretraining vs. finetuning + Modern Transformers (RoPE, GQA, Longformer)

Matt Gormley & Pat Virtue Lecture 4 Jan. 27, 2025

Reminders

- Homework 0: PyTorch + Weights & Biases
 - Out: Wed, Jan 17
 - Due: Mon, Jan 27 at 11:59pm
- Quiz 1: Wed, Jan 29
- Homework 1: Generative Models of Text
 - Out: Mon, Jan 27
 - Due: Mon, Feb 10 at 11:59pm

Recap So Far

Deep Learning

- AutoDiff
 - is a tool for computing gradients of a differentiable function, b = f(a)
 - the key building block is a module with a forward() and backward()
 - sometimes define f as code in forward() by chaining existing modules together
- Computation Graphs
 - are another way to define f (more conducive to slides)
 - so far, we saw two (deep) computation graphs
 - 1) RNN-LM
 - 2) Transformer-LM
 - (Transformer-LM was kind of complicated)

Language Modeling

- key idea: condition on previous words to sample the next word
- to define the probability of the next word...
 - ... n-gram LM uses collection of massive 50k-sided dice
 - ... RNN-LM or Transformer-LM use a neural network
- Learning an LM
 - n-gram LMs are easy to learn: just count co-occurrences!
 - a RNN-LM / Transformer-LM is trained by optimizing an objective function with SGD; compute gradients with AutoDiff



LEARNING A TRANSFORMER LM

Learning a Language Model

<u>Question</u>: How do we **learn** the probabilities for the n-Gram Model?

<u>Answer</u>: From data! Just **count** n-gram frequencies

... the cows eat grass...

- ... our cows eat hay daily...
- ... factory-farm **cows eat corn**...
- ... on an organic farm, **cows eat hay** and...
- ... do your **cows eat grass** or corn?...
- ... what do **cows eat if** they have...
- ... cows eat corn when there is no... ... which cows eat which foods depends... ... if cows eat grass...
- ... when **cows eat corn** their stomachs... ... should we let **cows eat corn**?...

$p(w_t w_{t-2} = cows, w_{t-1} = eat)$			
W _t	p(· ·, ·)		
corn	4/11		
grass	3/11		
hay	2/11		
if	1/11		
which	1/11		

MLE for n-gram LM

- This counting method gives us the maximum likelihood estimate of the n-gram LM parameters
- We can derive it in the usual way:
 - Write the likelihood of the sentences under the n-gram LM
 - Set the gradient to zero and impose the constraint that the probabilities sumto-one
 - **Solve** for the MLE

Learning a Language Model

MLE for Deep Neural LM

- We can also use maximum likelihood estimation to learn the parameters of an RNN-LM or Transformer-LM too!
- But not in closed form instead we follow a different recipe:
 - Write the **likelihood** of the sentences under the Deep Neural LM model
 - Compute the gradient of the (batch) likelihood w.r.t.
 the parameters by AutoDiff
 - Follow the negative gradient using Mini-batch SGD (or your favorite optimizer)

MLE for n-gram LM

- This counting method gives us the maximum likelihood estimate of the n-gram LM parameters
- We can derive it in the usual way:
 - Write the likelihood of the sentences under the n-gram LM
 - Set the gradient to zero and impose the constraint that the probabilities sumto-one
 - Solve for the MLE

SGD and Mini-batch SGD

Algorithm 1 SGD

1: Initialize $\theta^{(0)}$ 2: 3: 4: s = 05: for t = 1, 2, ..., T do for $i \in \mathsf{shuffle}(1, \ldots, N)$ do 6: Select the next training point (x_i, y_i) 7: Compute the gradient $g^{(s)} = \nabla J_i(\theta^{(s-1)})$ 8: Update parameters $\theta^{(s)} = \theta^{(s-1)} - \eta g^{(s)}$ 9: Increment time step s = s + 110: Evaluate average training loss $J(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} J_i(\theta)$ 11: 12: return $\theta^{(s)}$

Recaller

SGD and Mini-batch SGD

Algorithm 1 Mini-Batch SGD

- 1: Initialize $heta^{(0)}$
- 2: Divide examples $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ randomly into batches $\{I_1, \ldots, I_B\}$ 3: where $\bigcup_{b=1}^{B} I_b = \{1, \ldots, N\}$ and $\bigcap_{b=1}^{B} I_b = \emptyset$
- 4: s = 0
- 5: for t = 1, 2, ..., T do
- 6: **for** b = 1, 2, ..., B **do**
- 7: Select the next batch I_b , where $m = |I_b|$
- 8: Compute the gradient $g^{(s)} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i \in I_b} \nabla J_i(\theta^{(s)})$
- 9: Update parameters $\theta^{(s)} = \theta^{(s-1)} \eta g^{(s)}$
- 10: Increment time step s = s + 1
- 11: Evaluate average training loss $J(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} J_i(\theta)$ 12: **return** $\theta^{(s)}$

Recaller

RNN

5:

6:

7:

8:

9:

Algorithm 1 Elman RNN

- 1: procedure FORWARD($x_{1:T}, W_{ah}, W_{ax}, b_a, W_{yh}, b_y$)
- 2: Initialize the hidden state h_0 to zeros
- 3: for t in 1 to T do
- 4: Receive input data at time step $t: x_t$
 - Compute the hidden state update:

$$a_t = W_{ah} \cdot h_{t-1} + W_{ax} \cdot x_t + b_a$$
$$h_t = \sigma(a_t)$$

$$y_t = W_{yh} \cdot h_t + b_y$$



RNN

5:

6:

7:

8:

9:

Algorithm 1 Elman RNN

- 1: procedure FORWARD($x_{1:T}, W_{ah}, W_{ax}, b_a, W_{yh}, b_y$)
- 2: Initialize the hidden state h_0 to zeros
- 3: **for** *t* in 1 to *T* **do**
- 4: Receive input data at time step t: x_t
 - Compute the hidden state update:

$$a_t = W_{ah} \cdot h_{t-1} + W_{ax} \cdot x_t + b_a$$
$$h_t = \sigma(a_t)$$

Compute the output at time step *t*:

$$y_t = \operatorname{softmax}(W_{yh} \cdot h_t + b_y)$$



RNN + Loss

4:

5:

6:

7:

8:

9:

10:

11:

13:

How can we use this to compute the loss for an RNN-LM?



- 1: procedure FORWARD($x_{1:T}, y_{1:T}^* W_{ah}, W_{ax}, b_a, W_{yh}, b_y$)
- 2: Initialize the hidden state h_0 to zeros
- 3: for $t ext{ in } 1 ext{ to } T ext{ do}$
 - Receive input data at time step t: x_t
 - Compute the hidden state update:

$$a_t = W_{ah} \cdot h_{t-1} + W_{ax} \cdot x_t + b_a$$
$$h_t = \sigma(a_t)$$

Compute the output at time step *t*:

$$y_t = \operatorname{softmax}(W_{yh} \cdot h_t + b_y)$$

Compute the cross-entropy loss at time step *t*:

$$\ell_t = -\sum_{k=1}^{K} (y_t^*)_k \log((y_t)_k)$$

12: Compute the total loss:

$$\ell = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \ell_t$$



RNN-LM + Loss

3:

4:

5:

6:

7:

8:

9:

10:

11:

13:

How can we use this to compute the loss for an RNN-LM?

 $log p(\mathbf{w}) = log p(w_1, w_2, w_3, ..., w_T)$ $= log p(w_1 | h_1) + ... + log p(w_2 | h_T)$



Algorithm 1 Elman RNN + Loss

- 1: procedure FORWARD($x_{1:T}, y_{1:T}^* W_{ah}, W_{ax}, b_a, W_{yh}, b_y$)
- 2: Initialize the hidden state h_0 to zeros
 - for t in 1 to T do
 - Receive input data at time step t: x_t
 - Compute the hidden state update:

$$a_t = W_{ah} \cdot h_{t-1} + W_{ax} \cdot x_t + b_a$$
$$h_t = \sigma(a_t)$$

Compute the output at time step *t*:

 $y_t = \operatorname{softmax}(W_{yh} \cdot h_t + b_y)$

Compute the cross-entropy loss at time step t:

$$\ell_t = -\sum_{k=1}^{K} (y_t^*)_k \log((y_t)_k)$$

12: Compute the total loss:

$$\ell = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \ell_t$$

RNN-LM + Loss

3:

4:

5:

6:

7:

8:

9:

How can we use this to compute the loss for an RNN-LM?

 $\log p(\mathbf{w}) = \log p(w_1, w_2, w_3, ..., w_T)$ $= \log p(w_1 | h_1) + ... + \log p(w_2 | h_T)$



Algorithm 1 Elman RNN + Loss

- 1: **procedure** FORWARD($x_{1:T}, y_{1:T}^* W_{ah}, W_{ax}, b_a, W_{yh}, b_y$)
- Initialize the hidden state h_0 to zeros 2:
 - **for** t in 1 to T **do**
 - Receive input data at time step t: x_t
 - Compute the hidden state update:

$$a_t = W_{ah} \cdot h_{t-1} + W_{ax} \cdot x_t + b_a$$

$$h_t = \sigma(a_t)$$

Compute the output at time step *t*:

$$y_t = \operatorname{softmax}(W_{yh} \cdot h_t + b_y)$$

Compute the cross-entropy loss at time step t:

$$\ell_t = -\sum_{k=1}^{K} (y_t^*)_k \log((y_t)_k)$$

Compute the total loss:

$$\ell = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \ell_t$$

Learning an RNN-LM

- Each training example is a sequence (e.g. sentence), so we have training data D = {w⁽¹⁾, w⁽²⁾,...,w^(N)}
- The objective function for a Deep LM (e.g. RNN-LM or Tranformer-LM) is typically the loglikelihood of the training examples:

 $J(\mathbf{\theta}) = \Sigma_i \log p_{\mathbf{\theta}}(\mathbf{w}^{(i)})$

 We train by mini-batch SGD (or your favorite flavor of mini-batch SGD)



17

Learning a Transformer LM

- Each training example is a sequence (e.g. sentence), so we have training data D = {w⁽¹⁾, w⁽²⁾,...,w^(N)}
- The objective function for a Deep LM (e.g. RNN-LM or Tranformer-LM) is typically the loglikelihood of the training examples:

 $J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \Sigma_i \log p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{w}^{(i)})$

• We train by mini-batch SGD (or your favorite flavor of mini-batch SGD)

Training a Transformer-LM is the same, except we swap in a different deep language model.





Language Modeling

An aside:

- State-of-the-art language models currently tend to rely on **transformer networks** (e.g. GPT-2)
- RNN-LMs comprised most of the early neural LMs that **led to** current SOTA architectures



Figure from https://paperswithcode.com/sota/language-modelling-on-penn-treebank-word

PRE-TRAINING VS. FINE-TUNING

The Start of Deep Learning

- The architectures of modern deep learning have a long history:
 - 1960s: Rosenblatt's 3-layer multi-layer perceptron, ReLU)
 - 1970-80s: RNNs and CNNs
 - 1990s: linearized self-attention
- The spark for deep learning came in 2006 thanks to **pre-training** (e.g., Hinton & Salakhutdinov, 2006)



Pre-Training vs. Fine-Tuning

Definitions

Pre-training

- randomly initialize the parameters, then...
- option A: unsupervised training on very large set of unlabeled instances
- option B: supervised training on a very large set of labeled
 examples

Fine-tuning

- initialize parameters to values from pre-training
- (optionally), add a prediction head with a small number of randomly initialized parameters
- train on a specific task of interest by backprop

Example: Vision Models

Pre-training

- Example A: unsupervised autoencoder training on very large set of unlabeled images (e.g. MNIST digits)
- Example B: supervised training on a very large image classification dataset (e.g. ImageNet w/21k classes and 14M images)

Fine-tuning

- object detection, training on 200k labeled images from COCO
- semantic segmentation, training on 20k labeled images from ADE20k

Example: Language Models

Pre-training

- unsupervised pre-training by maximizing likelihood of a large set of unlabeled sentences such as...
- The Pile (800 Gb of text)
- Dolma (3 trillion tokens)

Fine-tuning

- MMLU benchmark: a few training examples from 57 different tasks ranging from elementary mathematics to genetics to law
- code generation, training on ~400 training examples from MBPP



- Percent error (lower is better)
- Some methods first do pre-training
- Every method includes fine-tuning on labeled data





- Percent error (lower is better)
- Some methods first do pre-training
- Every method includes fine-tuning on labeled data





- Percent error (lower is better)
- Some methods first do pre-training
- Every method includes fine-tuning on labeled data



Unsupervised Autoencoder Pre-Training for Vision

Unsupervised pretraining of the first layer:

- What should it predict?
- What else do we observe?
- The input!



Unsupervised Autoencoder Pre-Training for Vision

Unsupervised pretraining of the first layer:

- What should it predict?
- What else do we observe?
- The input!

This topology defines an Auto-encoder.



Unsupervised Autoencoder Pre-Training for Vision

Key idea: Encourage z to give small reconstruction error:

- x' is the reconstruction of x
- Loss = $|| x DECODER(ENCODER(x)) ||^2$
- Train with the same backpropagation algorithm for 2-layer Neural Networks with x_m as both input and output.





- Percent error (lower is better)
- Some methods first do pre-training
- Every method includes fine-tuning on labeled data





- Percent error (lower is better)
- Some methods first do pre-training
- Every method includes fine-tuning on labeled data



Supervised Pre-Training for Vision

- Nowadays, we tend to just do supervised pre-training on a massive labeled dataset
- Vision Transformer's success was largely due to using a much larger pre-training dataset



Figure from https://arxiv.org/pdf/2010.11929

Pre-Training vs. Fine-Tuning

Definitions

Pre-training

- randomly initialize the parameters, then...
- option A: unsupervised training on very large set of unlabeled instances
- option B: supervised training on a very large set of labeled examples

Fine-tuning

- initialize parameters to values
 from pre-training
- (optionally), add a prediction head with a small number of randomly initialized parameters
- train on a specific task of interest by backprop

Example: Vision Models

Pre-training

- Example A: unsupervised autoencoder training on very large set of unlabeled images (e.g. MNIST digits)
- Example B: supervised training on a very large image classification dataset (e.g. ImageNet w/21k classes and 14M images)

Fine-tuning

- object detection, training on 200k labeled images from COCO
- semantic segmentation, training on 20k labeled images from ADE20k

Example: Language Models

Pre-training

- unsupervised pre-training by maximizing likelihood of a large set of unlabeled sentences such as...
- The Pile (800 Gb of text)
- Dolma (3 trillion tokens)

Fine-tuning

- MMLU benchmark: a few training examples from 57 different tasks ranging from elementary mathematics to genetics to law
- code generation, training on ~400 training examples from MBPP

Unsupervised Pre-Training for an LLM



Generative pre-training for a deep language model:

- each training example is an (unlabeled) sentence
- the objective function is the likelihood of the observed sentence

Practically, we can **batch** together many such training examples to make training more efficient

Training Data for LLMs

GPT-3 Training Data:

Dataset	Quantity (tokens)	Weight in training mix	Epochs elapsed when training for 300B tokens
Common Crawl (filtered) WebText2	410 billion 19 billion	60% 7	0.44
Books1	12 billion	8% 7	1.9
Books2	55 billion	8%	0.43
Wikipedia	3 billion	3% 7	3.4

Training Data for LLMs

Composition of the Pile by Category

Academic Internet Prose Dialogue Misc



The Pile:

- An open source dataset for training language models
- Comprised of 22 smaller datasets
- Favors high quality text
- 825 Gb \approx 1.2 trillion tokens

MODERN TRANSFORMER MODELS

Modern Tranformer Models

- PaLM (Oct 2022)
 - 540B parameters
 - closed source
 - Model:
 - SwiGLU instead of ReLU, GELU, or Swish
 - multi-query attention (MQA) instead of multi-headed attention
 - rotary position embeddings
 - shared input-output embeddings instead of separate parameter matrices
 - Training: Adafactor on 780 billion tokens
- Llama-1 (Feb 2023)
 - collection of models of varying parameter sizes: 7B, 13B, 32B, 65B
 - semi-open source
 - Llama-13B outperforms GPT-3 on average
 - Model compared to GPT-3:
 - RMSNorm on inputs instead of LayerNorm on outputs
 - SwiGLU activation function instead of ReLU
 - rotary position embeddings (RoPE) instead of absolute
 - Training: AdamW on 1.0 1.4 trillion tokens
- Falcon (June Nov 2023)
 - models of size 7B, 40B, 180B
 - first fully open source model, Apache 2.0
 - Model compared to Llama-1:
 - (GQA) instead of multi-headed attention (MHA) or grouped query attention multi-query attention (MQA)
 - rotary position embeddings (worked better than Alibi)
 - GeLU instead of SwiGLU
 - Training: AdamW on up to 3.5 trillion tokens for 180B model, using z-loss for stability and weight decay

- Llama-2 (Aug 2023)
 - collection of models of varying parameter sizes: 7B, 13B, 70B.
 - introduced Llama 2-Chat, fine-tuned as a dialogue agent
 - Model compared to Llama-1:
 - grouped query attention (GQA) instead of multi-headed attention (MHA)
 - context length of 4096 instead of 2048
 - Training: AdamW on 2.0 trillion tokens
- Mistral 7B (Oct 2023)
 - outperforms Llama-2 13B on average
 - introduced Mistral 7B Instruct, fine-tuned as a dialogue agent
 - truly open source: Apache 2.0 license
 - Model compared to Llama-2
 - **sliding window attention** (with W=4096) and grouped-query attention (GQA) instead of just GQA
 - context length of 8192 instead of 4096 (can generate sequences up to length 32K)
 - **rolling buffer cache** (grow the KV cache and the overwrite position i into position i mod W)
 - variant Mixtral offers a **mixture of experts** (roughly 8 Mistral models)

In this section we'll look at four techniques:

- 1. key-value cache (KV cache) 🕅
- 2. rotary position embeddings (RoPE)
- 3. grouped query attention (GQA)
- 4. sliding window attention

Key-Value Cache



Wa

 W_k

 W_{v}

- At each timestep, we reuse all previous keys and values (i.e. we need to cache them)
- But we can get rid of the queries, similarity scores, and attention weights (i.e. we can let them fall out of the cache)

timestep









ROTARY POSITION EMBEDDINGS (ROPE)

- **Q:** Why does this slide have so many typos?
- A: I'm really not sure. I very meticulously type up the latex for my slides myself and think carefully about all the things I put in them.



where $\mathbf{W}_k, \mathbf{W}_q \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{model} \times d_k}$, and the rotary matrix $\mathbf{R}_{\Theta,m} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k \times d_k}$ is given by:



- **Q:** Why does this slide have so many typos?
- A: I'm really not sure. I very meticulously type up the latex for my slides myself and think carefully about all the things I put in them.

- Rotary position embeddings are a kind of relative position embeddings
- Key idea:
 - break each ddimensional input vector into d/2 vectors of length 2
 - rotate each of the 3/2 vectors by an 4/2 amount scaled by m 5/2
 - m is the absolute position of the query or the key







RoPE attention:
$$\mathbf{k}_{j} = \mathbf{W}_{q}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{j}, \forall j$$
 $\mathbf{q}_{j} = \mathbf{W}_{q}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{j}, \forall j$ $\mathbf{k}_{j} = \mathbf{W}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{j}, \forall j$ $\mathbf{q}_{j} = \mathbf{R}_{\Theta,j} \mathbf{q}_{j}$ $\mathbf{k}_{j} = \mathbf{R}_{\Theta,j} \mathbf{k}_{j}$ $\mathbf{k}_{i,j} = \mathbf{k}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{q}_{i} / \sqrt{d_{k}}, \forall j, t$ $\mathbf{k}_{j} = \mathbf{R}_{\Theta,j} \mathbf{k}_{j}$ $\mathbf{k}_{i,j} = \mathbf{k}_{i,j} \mathbf{k}_{j} \mathbf{k}_{j}, \forall j$ $\mathbf{k}_{i,j} = \mathbf{k}_{i,j} \mathbf{k}_{j}, \forall j$ $\mathbf{k}_{i,j} = \mathbf{k}_{i,j} \mathbf{k}_{j}, \forall j$

where $\mathbf{W}_k, \mathbf{W}_q \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{model} \times d_k}$. Herein we use $d = d_k$ for brevity.

For some fixed absolute position m, the rotary matrix $\mathbf{R}_{\Theta,m} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k imes d_k}$ is given by:



 $\Theta = \{\theta_i = 10000^{-2(i-1)/d}, i \in [1, 2, \dots, d/2]\}$





RoPE attention:
 $\mathbf{q}_j = \mathbf{W}_q^T \mathbf{x}_j, \forall j$
 $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_j = \mathbf{R}_{\Theta,j} \mathbf{q}_j$
 $s_{t,j} = \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_j^T \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_t / \sqrt{d_k}, \forall j, t$
 $\mathbf{a}_t = \operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{s}_t), \forall t$ $\mathbf{k}_j = \mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{x}_j, \forall j$
 $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_j = \mathbf{R}_{\Theta,j} \mathbf{k}_j$

Because of the block sparse pattern in $\mathbf{R}_{\theta,m}$, we can efficiently compute the matrix-vector product of $\mathbf{R}_{\theta,m}$ with some arbitrary vector \mathbf{y} in a more efficient manner:

$$\mathbf{R}_{\Theta,m}\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \\ \vdots \\ y_{d-1} \\ y_d \end{pmatrix} \odot \begin{pmatrix} \cos m\theta_1 \\ \cos m\theta_1 \\ \cos m\theta_2 \\ \cos m\theta_2 \\ \cos m\theta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \cos m\theta_{d/2} \\ \cos m\theta_{d/2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -y_2 \\ y_1 \\ -y_4 \\ y_3 \\ \vdots \\ -y_d \\ y_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} \odot \begin{pmatrix} \sin m\theta_1 \\ \sin m\theta_2 \\ \sin m\theta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \sin m\theta_{d/2} \\ \sin m\theta_{d/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Matrix Version of RoPE

RoPE attention: $\mathbf{q}_j = \mathbf{W}_q^T \mathbf{x}_j, \forall j$ $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_j = \mathbf{R}_{\Theta,j} \mathbf{q}_j$ $s_{t,j} = \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_j^T \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_t / \sqrt{d_k}, \forall j, t$ $\mathbf{a}_t = \operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{s}_t), \forall t$	$egin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_j &= \mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{x}_j, orall j \ \widetilde{\mathbf{k}}_j &= \mathbf{R}_{\Theta,j} \mathbf{k}_j \end{aligned}$	Matrix Version: $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{W}_q$ $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = g(\mathbf{Q}; \Theta)$ $\mathbf{S} = \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^T/\sqrt{d_k}$ $\mathbf{A} = \operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{S})$	$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{W}_k$ $ ilde{\mathbf{K}} = g(\mathbf{K}; \Theta)$
Goal: to co	$\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\theta_1 & \cdots \\ \vdots \\ N\theta_1 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$ $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = g(\mathbf{Y}; \Theta)$ $= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y}_{\cdot,1:d/2} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{\cdot,d/2+1:d/2} \end{bmatrix}$	$ \tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = g(\mathbf{Y}; \Theta) \text{ such that } \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{m, \cdot} $ $ \begin{array}{c c} 1\theta_{\frac{d}{2}} & 1\theta_1 & \cdots & 1\theta_{\frac{d}{2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ N\theta_{\frac{d}{2}} & N\theta_1 & \cdots & N\theta_{\frac{d}{2}} \end{array} $ $ d/2+1:d] \odot \cos(\mathbf{C}) $ $ d/2+1:d] \odot \sin(\mathbf{C}) $	$= \mathbf{R}_{\Theta,m} \mathbf{y}_m$

Matrix Version of RoPE



52

RoPE

Pat's RoPE Demo:

https://www.desmos.com/calculator/z1fuchfpej

- Two word embeddings represented as 2D vectors:
 - 1) cat
 - 2) ate
- We consider each one residing in a different position
- Each one is rotated by an amount given by theta

GROUPED QUERY ATTENTION (GQA)

Recalle Matrix Version of Multi-Headed (Causal) Attention



Grouped Query Attention (GQA)



Figure 2: Overview of grouped-query method. Multi-head attention has H query, key, and value heads. Multi-query attention shares single key and value heads across all query heads. Grouped-query attention instead shares single key and value heads for each *group* of query heads, interpolating between multi-head and multi-query attention.

Grouped Query Attention (GQA)

- Key idea: reuse the same key-value heads for multiple different query heads
- Parameters: The parameter matrices are all the same size, but we now have fewer key/value parameter matrices (heads) than query parameter matrices (heads)

$$\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T]^T$$

$$\mathbf{V}^{(i)} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{W}_v^{(i)}, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, h_{kv}\}$$

$$\mathbf{K}^{(i)} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{W}_k^{(i)}, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, h_{kv}\}$$

$$\mathbf{Q}^{(i,j)} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{W}_q^{(i,j)}, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, h_{kv}\}, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, g\}$$

- h_q = the number of query heads $\int h_{g} = 8$
- h_{kv} = the number of key/value heads $h_{kv} = 4$
- Assume h_q is divisible by h_{kv}
- $g = h_q / h_{kv}$ is the size of each group $g = \frac{8}{4} 2$ (i.e. the number of query vectors per key/value vector).

Grouped-query

Grouped Query Attention (GQA)

- Key idea: reuse the same key-value heads for multiple different query heads
- Parameters: The parameter matrices are all the same size, but we now have fewer key/value parameter matrices (heads) than query parameter matrices (heads)

$$\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_T]^T$$
$$\mathbf{V}^{(i)} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{W}^{(i)}_v, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, h_{kv}\}$$
$$\mathbf{K}^{(i)} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{W}^{(i)}_k, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, h_{kv}\}$$
$$\mathbf{Q}^{(i,j)} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{W}^{(i,j)}_q, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, h_{kv}\}, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, g\}$$

Grouped-query

SLIDING WINDOW ATTENTION

Sliding Window Attention

Sliding Window Attention

- also called "local attention" M = and introduced for the Longformer model (2020)
- **The problem:** regular attention is computationally expensive and requires a lot of memory
- The solution: apply a causal mask that only looks at the include a window of (1/2w+1) tokens, with the rightmost window element being the current token (i.e. on the diagonal)

$$\mathbf{X}' = \mathsf{softmax}\left(rac{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{K}^T}{\sqrt{d_k}} + \mathbf{M}
ight)\mathbf{V}$$



sliding window attention (w=6)





Sliding Window Attention

Sliding Window Attention

- also called "local attention" and introduced for the Longformer model (2020)
- The problem: regular attention is computationally expensive and requires a lot of memory
- The solution: apply a causal mask that only looks at the include a window of (1/2w+1) tokens, with the rightmost window element being the current token (i.e. on the diagonal)

$$\mathbf{X}' = \operatorname{softmax} \left(\frac{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{K}^T}{\sqrt{d_k}} + \mathbf{M} \right) \mathbf{V}$$





3 ways you could implement

- 1. naïve implementation: just do the matrix multiplication, but this is still slow
- 2. for-loop implementation: asymptotically faster / less memory, but unusable in practice b/c for-loops in PyTorch are too slow
- 3. sliding chunks implementation: break into Q and K into chunks of size w x w, with overlap of ½w; then compute full attention within each chunk and mask out chunk (very fast/low memory in practice)