# Logic and Mechanized Reasoning Unification

Marijn J.H. Heule

Carnegie Mellon University

Introduction

Generality of Unifiers

Unification Function

Termination

## Introduction

Generality of Unifiers

Unification Function

Termination

Letters early in the alphabet refer to constants, e.g. *a*, *b*, *c* ► Constants can be seen as 0-arity functions

Small letters starting with f refer to functions, e.g. f, g, h

Letters late in the alphabet refer to variables, e.g. x, y, z

Capital letters refer to relations, e.g. P, Q, R

### Motivation

Given a language with the following proven sentence:

$$\forall x, y, z. \ x < y \rightarrow x + z < y + z$$

and we try to prove

ab + 7 < c + 7

How to proceed? How can be combine them?

### Motivation

Given a language with the following proven sentence:

$$\forall x, y, z. \ x < y \rightarrow x + z < y + z$$

and we try to prove

$$ab + 7 < c + 7$$

How to proceed? How can be combine them?

Substitute ab for x, c for y, and 7 for z

### Motivation

Given a language with the following proven sentence:

$$\forall x, y, z. \ x < y \to x + z < y + z$$

and we try to prove

$$ab + 7 < c + 7$$

How to proceed? How can be combine them?

Substitute ab for x, c for y, and 7 for z

Note that Lean has to do this anytime you use rw or apply

Matching: Given *n* pairs of terms  $(s_1, t_1)$ ,  $(s_2, t_2)$ , ...,  $(s_n, t_n)$ , find a substitution  $\sigma$  such that for every *i*:  $\sigma s_i = t_i$ 

Matching: Given *n* pairs of terms  $(s_1, t_1)$ ,  $(s_2, t_2)$ , ...,  $(s_n, t_n)$ , find a substitution  $\sigma$  such that for every *i*:  $\sigma s_i = t_i$ 

Unification: Given *n* pairs of terms  $(s_1, t_1)$ ,  $(s_2, t_2)$ , ...,  $(s_n, t_n)$ , find a substitution  $\sigma$  such that for every *i*:  $\sigma s_i = \sigma t_i$ 

Matching: Given *n* pairs of terms  $(s_1, t_1)$ ,  $(s_2, t_2)$ , ...,  $(s_n, t_n)$ , find a substitution  $\sigma$  such that for every *i*:  $\sigma s_i = t_i$ 

Unification: Given *n* pairs of terms  $(s_1, t_1)$ ,  $(s_2, t_2)$ , ...,  $(s_n, t_n)$ , find a substitution  $\sigma$  such that for every *i*:  $\sigma s_i = \sigma t_i$ 

#### Example

Consider the following two expressions

$$f(x, f(x, a)) < z \quad f(b, y) < c$$

How to unify them?

Matching: Given *n* pairs of terms  $(s_1, t_1)$ ,  $(s_2, t_2)$ , ...,  $(s_n, t_n)$ , find a substitution  $\sigma$  such that for every *i*:  $\sigma s_i = t_i$ 

Unification: Given *n* pairs of terms  $(s_1, t_1)$ ,  $(s_2, t_2)$ , ...,  $(s_n, t_n)$ , find a substitution  $\sigma$  such that for every *i*:  $\sigma s_i = \sigma t_i$ 

#### Example

Consider the following two expressions

$$f(x, f(x, a)) < z \quad f(b, y) < c$$

How to unify them?  $x \mapsto b$ ,  $y \mapsto f(b,a)$  and  $z \mapsto c$ 

Matching: Given *n* pairs of terms  $(s_1, t_1)$ ,  $(s_2, t_2)$ , ...,  $(s_n, t_n)$ , find a substitution  $\sigma$  such that for every *i*:  $\sigma s_i = t_i$ 

Unification: Given *n* pairs of terms  $(s_1, t_1)$ ,  $(s_2, t_2)$ , ...,  $(s_n, t_n)$ , find a substitution  $\sigma$  such that for every *i*:  $\sigma s_i = \sigma t_i$ 

#### Example

Consider the following two expressions

$$f(x, f(x, a)) < z \quad f(b, y) < c$$

How to unify them?  $x \mapsto b$ ,  $y \mapsto f(b,a)$  and  $z \mapsto c$ 

$$f(b,f(b,a)) < c$$

## **Prove Contradiction**

#### Example

Consider the following formula

 $\forall x, z. \ R(f(x, f(x, a)), z) \land \forall y. \neg R(f(b, y), c)$ 

Is this sentence satisfiable?

## Prove Contradiction

#### Example

Consider the following formula

 $\forall x, z. \ R(f(x, f(x, a)), z) \land \forall y. \neg R(f(b, y), c)$ 

Is this sentence satisfiable?

Apply substitution  $x \mapsto b$ ,  $y \mapsto f(b, a)$  and  $z \mapsto c$ 

 $R(f(b,f(b,a)),c) \land \neg R(f(b,f(b,a)),c)$ 

The above is a contradiction

Hints:

- a and b can't be unified
- x and f(x) can't be unified

Unify the following terms (if possible): ightarrow f(x,a) and f(b,y)

Hints:

- a and b can't be unified
- x and f(x) can't be unified

Unify the following terms (if possible): f(x,a) and f(b,y)  $x \mapsto b$  and  $y \mapsto a$ f(x,y) and f(y,x)

Hints:

- a and b can't be unified
- x and f(x) can't be unified

Unify the following terms (if possible):  

$$f(x,a)$$
 and  $f(b,y)$   $x \mapsto b$  and  $y \mapsto a$   
 $f(x,y)$  and  $f(y,x)$   $y \mapsto x$   
 $f(x,x)$  and  $f(y,g(y))$ 

Hints:

- a and b can't be unified
- x and f(x) can't be unified

Unify the following terms (if possible):  
• 
$$f(x,a)$$
 and  $f(b,y)$   $x \mapsto b$  and  $y \mapsto a$   
•  $f(x,y)$  and  $f(y,x)$   $y \mapsto x$   
•  $f(x,x)$  and  $f(y,g(y))$   $y$  and  $g(y)$  can't be unified  
•  $f(a,x)$  and  $f(y,g(y))$ 

Hints:

- a and b can't be unified
- x and f(x) can't be unified

Unify the following terms (if possible): • f(x, a) and f(b, y)  $x \mapsto b$  and  $y \mapsto a$ • f(x, y) and f(y, x)  $y \mapsto x$ • f(x, x) and f(y, g(y)) y and g(y) can't be unified • f(a, x) and f(y, g(y))  $x \mapsto g(a)$  and  $y \mapsto a$ • f(a, x) and f(x, b)

Hints:

- a and b can't be unified
- x and f(x) can't be unified

Unify the following terms (if possible):

### Introduction

# Generality of Unifiers

# Unification Function

## Termination

# Many Unifiers

### Example Can f(x, y) and f(a, z) be unified?

# Many Unifiers

# Example Can f(x, y) and f(a, z) be unified? • $x \mapsto a, y \mapsto a$ , and $z \mapsto a$ • $x \mapsto a$ and $y \mapsto z$ • $x \mapsto a, y \mapsto g(a)$ , and $z \mapsto g(a)$

## Many Unifiers

# Example Can f(x, y) and f(a, z) be unified? • $x \mapsto a, y \mapsto a$ , and $z \mapsto a$ • $x \mapsto a$ and $y \mapsto z$ • $x \mapsto a, y \mapsto g(a)$ , and $z \mapsto g(a)$

Some unifiers are more useful that others  $\blacktriangleright f(a,z)$  is more general than f(a,a)

## **Composing Substitutions**

To explain what it means for one unifier to be better than another, we analyze the composition of substitutions

Composition of two substitutions  $\sigma$  and  $\delta$  is written  $\sigma\delta$ 

### Example

- To unify f(x, z) and f(g(y), z), consider the substitutions  $\blacktriangleright \sigma = \{x \mapsto g(y)\}$ 
  - $\blacktriangleright \ \delta = \{y \mapsto a, z \mapsto b\}$
  - $\blacktriangleright \sigma \delta = \{x \mapsto g(a), z \mapsto b\}$
  - $\sigma$  and  $\sigma\delta$  are unifiers

## Generality of Unifiers

- We prefer unifiers that are as general as possible.
- A unifier  $\sigma$  is at least as general as unifier  $\tau$  if there exists another substitution  $\delta$  such that  $\sigma \delta = \tau$
- σ is more general than τ if σ is at least as general as τ but not the other way around
- lntuition:  $\sigma$  more general than  $\tau$  if  $\tau$  can be obtained from through another substitution

## Generality of Unifiers

- We prefer unifiers that are as general as possible.
- A unifier  $\sigma$  is at least as general as unifier  $\tau$  if there exists another substitution  $\delta$  such that  $\sigma \delta = \tau$
- σ is more general than τ if σ is at least as general as τ but not the other way around
- lntuition:  $\sigma$  more general than  $\tau$  if  $\tau$  can be obtained from through another substitution

#### Example

Recall that f(x, y) and f(a, z) have (infinitely) many unifiers.

$$\blacktriangleright \sigma = \{x \mapsto a, y \mapsto z\}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \ \tau = \{x \mapsto a, y \mapsto a, z \mapsto a\}$$

Which is more general?

## Generality of Unifiers

- We prefer unifiers that are as general as possible.
- A unifier  $\sigma$  is at least as general as unifier  $\tau$  if there exists another substitution  $\delta$  such that  $\sigma \delta = \tau$
- σ is more general than τ if σ is at least as general as τ but not the other way around
- lntuition:  $\sigma$  more general than  $\tau$  if  $\tau$  can be obtained from through another substitution

#### Example

Recall that f(x, y) and f(a, z) have (infinitely) many unifiers.

$$\blacktriangleright \sigma = \{x \mapsto a, y \mapsto z\}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \ \tau = \{x \mapsto a, y \mapsto a, z \mapsto a\}$$

- ► Which is more general?
- Let  $\delta = \{y \mapsto a, z \mapsto a\}$ , then  $\sigma \delta = \tau$

## Introduction: Most General Unifier

For every unification problem, there exists either

- a unique most general unifier (modulo renaming)
- no unifier

The most general unifier can be computed in linear time

## Introduction: Most General Unifier

For every unification problem, there exists either

- a unique most general unifier (modulo renaming)
- no unifier

The most general unifier can be computed in linear time

We present an algorithm with a Lean implementation from the Handbook of Practical Logic and Automated Reasoning

- env is the (partial) substitution
- eqs is a set of pairs to unify
- unify? env eqs with env initially emtpy

Introduction

Generality of Unifiers

Unification Function

Termination

## Extending a Cycle-Free Association List

Given a cycle-free association list env mapping variables to terms can we add the  $(x \mapsto t)$  without creating a cycle?

A cycle is:

$$x_0 \longrightarrow x_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_p \longrightarrow x_0$$

It is sufficient to ensure the following:

- 1. There is no assignment  $x \mapsto s$  in env
- 2. There is no  $y \in var(t)$  such that  $y \longrightarrow^* x$

## Extending a Cycle-Free Association List

Given a cycle-free association list env mapping variables to terms can we add the  $(x \mapsto t)$  without creating a cycle?

A cycle is:

$$x_0 \longrightarrow x_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_p \longrightarrow x_0$$

It is sufficient to ensure the following:

- 1. There is no assignment  $x \mapsto s$  in env
- 2. There is no  $y \in var(t)$  such that  $y \longrightarrow^* x$

Proof sketch: Assume  $(x \mapsto t)$  creates the cycle  $z \longrightarrow x_1 \longrightarrow x \longrightarrow' y \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_p \longrightarrow z$ , then there existed a path  $y \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_p \longrightarrow z \longrightarrow x_1 \longrightarrow x$ , which contradicts 2.

### **Trivial Check**

We can add the  $(x \mapsto t)$  without creating a cycle by ensuring:

- 1. There is no assignment  $x \mapsto s$  in env
- 2. There is no  $y \in var(t)$  such that  $y \longrightarrow^* x$

Helper procedure to determine whether to add  $(x \mapsto t)$ 

- Return true: t = x in env (trivial)
- Return false: no cycle will be created
- Return none: unification not possible

### **Trivial Check**

We can add the  $(x \mapsto t)$  without creating a cycle by ensuring:

- 1. There is no assignment  $x \mapsto s$  in env
- 2. There is no  $y \in var(t)$  such that  $y \longrightarrow^* x$

Helper procedure to determine whether to add  $(x \mapsto t)$ 

- Return true: t = x in env (trivial)
- Return false: no cycle will be created
- Return none: unification not possible

Lean: isTriv?

## Main Unification Function

Given eqs, a list of pairs to unify, determine if unification succeeds and produce an association list env if possible

- Tail-recursive algorithm
- Front pair (x, t)
  - ▶ If  $x \mapsto s$  in env replace (x, t) by (s, t)
  - If (x, t) is trivial, skip it and continue
  - If (x, t) creates a cycle, return failed
  - Otherwise add  $(x \mapsto t)$

## Main Unification Function

Given eqs, a list of pairs to unify, determine if unification succeeds and produce an association list env if possible

- Tail-recursive algorithm
- Front pair (x, t)
  - ▶ If  $x \mapsto s$  in env replace (x, t) by (s, t)
  - If (x, t) is trivial, skip it and continue
  - If (x, t) creates a cycle, return failed
  - Otherwise add  $(x \mapsto t)$

Front pair (t, x), replace by (x, t)

## Main Unification Function

Given eqs, a list of pairs to unify, determine if unification succeeds and produce an association list env if possible

- Tail-recursive algorithm
- Front pair (x, t)
  - ▶ If  $x \mapsto s$  in env replace (x, t) by (s, t)
  - If (x, t) is trivial, skip it and continue
  - lf (x, t) creates a cycle, return failed
  - Otherwise add  $(x \mapsto t)$
- Front pair (t, x), replace by (x, t)
- Front pair (s,t), if both s and t are the same functions with the same number of arguments, add for each argument a pairs (s<sub>i</sub>, t<sub>i</sub>) to eqs

## Main Unification Function

Given eqs, a list of pairs to unify, determine if unification succeeds and produce an association list env if possible

- Tail-recursive algorithm
- Front pair (x, t)
  - ▶ If  $x \mapsto s$  in env replace (x, t) by (s, t)
  - If (x, t) is trivial, skip it and continue
  - lf (x, t) creates a cycle, return failed
  - Otherwise add  $(x \mapsto t)$
- Front pair (t, x), replace by (x, t)
- Front pair (s,t), if both s and t are the same functions with the same number of arguments, add for each argument a pairs (s<sub>i</sub>, t<sub>i</sub>) to eqs

```
Lean: unify?
```

## Example Unify f(g(x), g(x)) and f(y, g(a))• env = {}, eqs = {(f(g(x), g(x)), f(y, g(a)))}

# Example Unify f(g(x), g(x)) and f(y, g(a))• env = {}, eqs = {(f(g(x), g(x)), f(y, g(a)))} • env = {}, eqs = {(g(x), y), (g(x), g(a))}

# Example Unify f(g(x), g(x)) and f(y, g(a))• env = {}, eqs = {(f(g(x), g(x)), f(y, g(a)))} • env = {}, eqs = {(g(x), y), (g(x), g(a))} • env = {}, eqs = {(y, g(x)), (g(x), g(a))}

# Example Unify f(g(x), g(x)) and f(y, g(a))• env = {}, eqs = {(f(g(x), g(x)), f(y, g(a)))} • env = {}, eqs = {(g(x), y), (g(x), g(a))} • env = {}, eqs = {(y, g(x)), (g(x), g(a))} • env = { $y \mapsto g(x)$ }, eqs = {(g(x), g(a))}

Example  
Unify 
$$f(g(x), g(x))$$
 and  $f(y, g(a))$   
• env = {}, eqs = { $(f(g(x), g(x)), f(y, g(a)))$ }  
• env = {}, eqs = { $(g(x), y), (g(x), g(a))$ }  
• env = {}, eqs = { $(y, g(x)), (g(x), g(a))$ }  
• env = { $y \mapsto g(x)$ }, eqs = { $(g(x), g(a))$ }  
• env = { $y \mapsto g(x)$ }, eqs = { $(x, a)$ }

Example  
Unify 
$$f(g(x), g(x))$$
 and  $f(y, g(a))$   
• env = {}, eqs = { $(f(g(x), g(x)), f(y, g(a)))$ }  
• env = {}, eqs = { $(g(x), y), (g(x), g(a))$ }  
• env = {}, eqs = { $(y, g(x)), (g(x), g(a))$ }  
• env = { $y \mapsto g(x)$ }, eqs = { $(g(x), g(a))$ }  
• env = { $y \mapsto g(x)$ }, eqs = { $(x, a)$ }  
• env = { $y \mapsto g(x), x \mapsto a$ }, eqs = {}

# Example Unify f(x, x) and f(y, g(y))• env = {}, eqs = {(f(x, x), f(y, g(y))}

# Example Unify f(x, x) and f(y, g(y))• env = {}, eqs = {(f(x, x), f(y, g(y))} • env = {}, eqs = {(x, y), (x, g(y))}

Example  
Unify 
$$f(x, x)$$
 and  $f(y, g(y))$   
• env = {}, eqs = { $(f(x, x), f(y, g(y))$ }  
• env = {}, eqs = { $(x, y), (x, g(y))$ }  
• env = { $x \mapsto y$ }, eqs = { $(x, g(y))$ }

Example  
Unify 
$$f(x, x)$$
 and  $f(y, g(y))$   
• env = {}, eqs = { $(f(x, x), f(y, g(y))$ }  
• env = {}, eqs = { $(x, y), (x, g(y))$ }  
• env = { $x \mapsto y$ }, eqs = { $(x, g(y))$ }  
• env = { $x \mapsto y$ }, eqs = { $(y, g(y))$ }

Example  
Unify 
$$f(x, x)$$
 and  $f(y, g(y))$   
• env = {}, eqs = { $(f(x, x), f(y, g(y))$ }  
• env = {}, eqs = { $(x, y), (x, g(y))$ }  
• env = { $x \mapsto y$ }, eqs = { $(x, g(y))$ }  
• env = { $x \mapsto y$ }, eqs = { $(y, g(y))$ }  
• isTriv? env eqs returns none, indicating failure

Successful termination shows that there exists a unifier

For example, the algorithm may return:

• env = {
$$x \mapsto y, y \mapsto z, z \mapsto w$$
}

How to turn this in the most general unifier?

Successful termination shows that there exists a unifier

For example, the algorithm may return:

• env = {
$$x \mapsto y, y \mapsto z, z \mapsto w$$
}

How to turn this in the most general unifier?

Apply the map until fixpoint

• env = {
$$x \mapsto w, y \mapsto w, z \mapsto w$$
}

### What is the complexity of computing the fixpoint?

Successful termination shows that there exists a unifier

For example, the algorithm may return:

• env = {
$$x \mapsto y, y \mapsto z, z \mapsto w$$
}

How to turn this in the most general unifier?

Apply the map until fixpoint

• env = {
$$x \mapsto w, y \mapsto w, z \mapsto w$$
}

What is the complexity of computing the fixpoint?

• env = {
$$x_0 \mapsto f(x_1, x_1), x_1 \mapsto f(x_2, x_2), x_2 \mapsto f(x_3, x_3)$$
}

Successful termination shows that there exists a unifier

For example, the algorithm may return:

• env = {
$$x \mapsto y, y \mapsto z, z \mapsto w$$
}

How to turn this in the most general unifier?

• env = {
$$x \mapsto w, y \mapsto w, z \mapsto w$$
}

What is the complexity of computing the fixpoint?

Successful termination shows that there exists a unifier

For example, the algorithm may return:

• env = {
$$x \mapsto y, y \mapsto z, z \mapsto w$$
}

How to turn this in the most general unifier?

$$\blacktriangleright \texttt{env} = \{x \mapsto w, y \mapsto w, z \mapsto w\}$$

What is the complexity of computing the fixpoint?

Lean: usolve

### Introduction

Generality of Unifiers

Unification Function

## Termination

Why does the unification algorithm terminate?

Why does the unification algorithm terminate? Key observation: The number of additions to env is at most the number of variables in eqs that are not in env

Size of eqs:

► Total number of variables and functions in  $(\sigma s_i, \sigma t_i)$  with  $(s_i, t_i) \in \text{eqs}$  with  $\sigma$  being the fixpoint of env

Why does the unification algorithm terminate? Key observation: The number of additions to env is at most the number of variables in eqs that are not in env

Size of eqs:

- ► Total number of variables and functions in  $(\sigma s_i, \sigma t_i)$  with  $(s_i, t_i) \in eqs$  with  $\sigma$  being the fixpoint of env
- Each step in the algorithm either decreases the size of eqs or can be applied finitely many times

Why does the unification algorithm terminate? Key observation: The number of additions to env is at most the number of variables in eqs that are not in env

Size of eqs:

- ► Total number of variables and functions in  $(\sigma s_i, \sigma t_i)$  with  $(s_i, t_i) \in eqs$  with  $\sigma$  being the fixpoint of env
- Each step in the algorithm either decreases the size of eqs or can be applied finitely many times
- The only exception is an addition step to env

Size: Total number of variables and functions in  $(\sigma s_i, \sigma t_i)$ with  $(s_i, t_i) \in eqs$  with  $\sigma$  being the fixpoint of env

Steps in the function:

▶ If  $x \mapsto s$  in env replace (x, t) by (s, t)

Size: Total number of variables and functions in  $(\sigma s_i, \sigma t_i)$ with  $(s_i, t_i) \in eqs$  with  $\sigma$  being the fixpoint of env

Steps in the function:

▶ If  $x \mapsto s$  in env replace (x, t) by (s, t)

Same size, but limited as env is cycle-free

• If (x, t) is trivial, skip it and continue

Size: Total number of variables and functions in  $(\sigma s_i, \sigma t_i)$ with  $(s_i, t_i) \in$  eqs with  $\sigma$  being the fixpoint of env

Steps in the function:

▶ If  $x \mapsto s$  in env replace (x, t) by (s, t)

Same size, but limited as env is cycle-free

- If (x, t) is trivial, skip it and continue
  - Reduces eqs
- ▶ Otherwise add  $(x \mapsto t)$

Size: Total number of variables and functions in  $(\sigma s_i, \sigma t_i)$ with  $(s_i, t_i) \in$  eqs with  $\sigma$  being the fixpoint of env

Steps in the function:

▶ If  $x \mapsto s$  in env replace (x, t) by (s, t)

Same size, but limited as env is cycle-free

- If (x, t) is trivial, skip it and continue
  - Reduces eqs
- Otherwise add  $(x \mapsto t)$ 
  - Only increasing step, but limited
- Front pair (t, x), replace by (x, t)

Size: Total number of variables and functions in  $(\sigma s_i, \sigma t_i)$ with  $(s_i, t_i) \in$  eqs with  $\sigma$  being the fixpoint of env

Steps in the function:

▶ If  $x \mapsto s$  in env replace (x, t) by (s, t)

Same size, but limited as env is cycle-free

- If (x, t) is trivial, skip it and continue
  - Reduces eqs
- Otherwise add  $(x \mapsto t)$ 
  - Only increasing step, but limited
- Front pair (t, x), replace by (x, t)

Cannot be repeated twice

Front pair (s, t), add the pairs  $(s_i, t_i)$  to eqs

Size: Total number of variables and functions in  $(\sigma s_i, \sigma t_i)$ with  $(s_i, t_i) \in \text{eqs}$  with  $\sigma$  being the fixpoint of env

Steps in the function:

▶ If  $x \mapsto s$  in env replace (x, t) by (s, t)

Same size, but limited as env is cycle-free

- If (x, t) is trivial, skip it and continue
  - Reduces eqs
- Otherwise add  $(x \mapsto t)$ 
  - Only increasing step, but limited
- Front pair (t, x), replace by (x, t)
  - Cannot be repeated twice
- Front pair (s, t), add the pairs  $(s_i, t_i)$  to eqs
  - Reduce size (removes two functions)