

Dynamic programming

Dynamic programming is a technique for efficiently computing recurrences by storing partial results and re-using them when needed.

We trade space for time, avoiding to repeat the computation of a subproblem.

Dynamic programming is best understood by looking at a bunch of different examples.

Fibonacci numbers

Fibonacci recurrence: $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ with $F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1$

```
function Fibonacci(n)
  if n = 0
    then
      return (0)
    elseif
      n = 1
      then return (1)
      else return (Fibonacci(n - 1) + Fibonacci(n - 2))
    end if
end
```

As $F_{n+1}/F_n \sim (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 \sim 1.61803$ then $F_n > 1.6^n$, and to compute F_n we need 1.6^n recursive calls.

Fibonacci con tabla

```
function PD-Fibonacci( $n$ )  
  var  
     $F$  : array [0 ..  $n$ ] of integer  
     $i$  : integer  
  end var  
   $F[0] := 0; F[1] := 1$   
  for  $i := 1$  to  $n$  do  
     $F[i] := F[i - 1] + F[i - 2]$   
  end for  
end
```

To compute F_6 :

0 1 1 2 3 5 7 9 16

To get F_n need $O(n)$ iterations.

Guideline to implement Dynamic Programming

1. Characterize the recursive structure of an optimal solution,
2. define recursively the value of an optimal solution,
3. compute, bottom-up, the cost of a solution,
4. construct an optimal solution.

Multiplying a Sequence of Matrices

We wish to multiply a long sequence of matrices

$$A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n$$

with the minimum number of operations.

Give matrices A_1, A_2 with $\dim(A_1) = p_0 \times p_1$ and $\dim(A_2) = p_1 \times p_2$, the basic algorithm to $A_1 \times A_2$ takes time $p_0 \times p_1 \times p_2$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 13 & 18 & 23 \\ 18 & 25 & 32 \\ 23 & 32 & 41 \end{bmatrix}$$

Recall that matrix multiplication is NOT **commutative**, so we can not permute the order of the matrices without changing the result,

but it is associative, so we can parenthesise as we wish.

Consider $A_1 \times A_2 \times A_3$, where $\dim(A_1) = 10 \times 100$ $\dim(A_2) = 100 \times 5$ and $\dim(A_3) = 5 \times 50$.

$(A_1 A_2) A_3$ needs $(10 \times 100 \times 5) + (10 \times 5 \times 50) = 7500$ operations,

$A_1 (A_2 A_3)$ needs $(100 \times 5 \times 50) + (10 \times 100 \times 50) = 75000$ operations.

The order makes a big difference in real computation's time

The problem of given A_1, \dots, A_n with $\dim(A_i) = p_{i-1} \times p_i$,
decide how to multiply them to minimize the number of operations
is equivalent to
the problem of deciding how to put a correct set of parenthesis the sequence
 A_1, \dots, A_n .

How many ways to put parenthesis A_1, \dots, A_n ?

$A_1 \times A_2 \times A_3 \times A_4$:

$(A_1(A_2(A_3A_4))), ((A_1A_2)(A_3A_4)), (((A_1(A_2A_3))A_4), (A_1((A_2A_3)A_4))), (((A_1A_2)A_3)A_4))$

Let $P(m)$ be the number of ways to put parenthesis correctly in A_1, \dots, A_n . Then,

$$P(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P(k)P(n-k) & \text{si } n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

with solution

$$P(n) = \frac{1}{n-1} \binom{2n}{n} = \Omega(4^n/n^{3/2})$$

The Catalan numbers!

Therefore, brute force will take too long!

But we got a recursive definition. Let's try a recursive solution.

Characterize the structure of an optimal solution

Notation: $A_{i-j} = (A_i \times A_{i+1} \times \cdots \times A_j)$

Optimal substructure: The optimal way to put parenthesis on the subchain $(A_1 \cdots A_k)$ with the optimal way to put parenthesis on $A_{k+1} \cdots A_n$ must be an **optimal** way to put parenthesis on $A_1 \cdots A_n$ for some k .

Notice, that

$$\forall k, 1 \leq k \leq n, \text{ cost } (A_{1-k}) + \text{ cost } (A_{k+1-n}) + p_0 p_k p_n.$$

gives the cost associated to this decomposition.

We only have to take the minimum over all k to get a recursive solution.

Recursive solution

Let $m(i, j)$ be the minimum number of operations needed to compute $A_{i-j} = A_i \times \dots \times A_j$.

$m(i, j)$ is given by choosing the value k , $i \leq k \leq j$ that minimizes

$$m(i, k) + m(k + 1, j) + \text{cost } (A_{1-k} \times A_{k+1-n}).$$

That is,

$$m(i, j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ \min_{1 \leq k \leq j} \{m(i, k) + m(k + 1, j) + p_{i-1}p_kp_j\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Computing the optimal costs

Straightforward recursive implementation of the previous recurrence:

As $\dim(A_i) = p_{i-1}p_i$, the input is given by $P = \langle p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n \rangle$,

```
function MSMR( $P, i, j$ ) : integer
    if  $i = j$  then return (0) end if;
     $m := \infty$ ;
    for  $k := i$  to  $j - 1$  do
         $q := \text{MSMR}(P, i, k) + \text{MSMR}(P, k + 1, j) + p[i - 1]p[k]p[j]$ 
        if  $q < m$  then  $m := q$  end if
    end for
    return ( $m$ )
end
```

The time complexity of the previous recursive algorithm is given by

$$T(n) \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} T(i) + n \sim \Omega(2^n).$$

An exponential function.

How many subproblems?

there are only $O(n^2)$ A_{i-j} !

We are repeating the computation of too many identical subproblems

Use dynamic programming to compute the optimal cost by a **bottom-up approach**.

We will use an auxiliary table $m[1 \dots m, 1 \dots m]$ for storing $m[i, j]$,

$$m[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ \min_{1 \leq k \leq j} \{m[i, k] + m[k + 1, j] + p_{i-1}p_kp_j\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We can fill the array starting from the diagonal.

```

function algorithm MCP(P)
    var
        m : array [1 .. n] of integer
        i, j, l : integer
    end var
    for i := 1 to n do
        m[i, i] := 0;
    end for
    for l := 2 to n do
        for i := 1 to n − l + 1 do
            j := i + l − 1; m[i, j] := ∞;
            for k := i to j − 1 do
                q := m[i, k] + m[k + 1, j] + p[i − 1] * p[k] * p[j];
                if q < m[i, j] then m[i, j] := q end if
            end for
        end for
    end for
    return (m)
end

```

This algorithm has time complexity $T(n) = \Theta(n^3)$, and uses space $\Theta(n^2)$.

Constructing an optimal solution

We have the optimal number of scalar multiplications to multiply n matrices. Now we want to construct an optimal solution.

We record which k achieved the optimal cost in computing $m[i, j]$ in an auxiliary table $s[1 \dots m, 1 \dots m]$.

From the information in s we can recover the optimal way to multiply:

$$A_i \times \cdots \times A_j = (A_i \times \cdots \times A_k)(A_{k+1} \times \cdots \times A_j).$$

The value $s[i, s[i, j]]$ determines the k to get $A_{i-s[i, j]}$ and $s[s[i, j] + 1, j]$ determines the k to get $A_{s[i, j]+1-j}$.

The dynamic programming algorithm can be adapted easily to compute also s

```

function algorithm MCP(P)
    var
        m : array [1 .. n] of integer; s : array [1 .. n, 1 .. n] of integer
        i, j, l : integer
    end var
    for i := 1 to n do
        m[i, i] := 0;
    end for
    for l := 2 to n do
        for i := 1 to n − l + 1 do
            j := i + l − 1;
            m[i, j] := ∞;
            for k := i to j − 1 do
                q := m[i, k] + m[k + 1, j] + p[i − 1] * p[k] * p[j];
                if q < m[i, j] then m[i, j] := q; s[i, j] := k end if
            end for
        end for
    end for
    return (m)
end

```


Therefore after computing table s we can multiply the matrices in an optimal way:

$$A_{1-n} = A_{1-s[1,n]} A_{s[1,n]+1-n}.$$

```
function algorithm Multiplication( $A, s, i, j$ )  
    if  $j > 1$   
        then  
             $X :=$  algorithm Multiplication( $A, s, i, s[i, j]$ );  
             $Y :=$  algorithm Multiplication( $A, s, s[i, j] + 1, j$ );  
            return ( $X \times Y$ )  
        else  
            return ( $A_i$ )  
        end if  
    end
```

0-1 Knapsack

We have a set I of n items, item i has weight w_i and worth v_i . We can carry at most weight W in our knapsack. Considering that we can NOT take fractions of items, what items should we carry to maximize the profit?

Let $v[i, j]$ be the maximum value we can get from objects $\{1, 2, \dots, i\}$ and taking a maximum weight of $0 \leq j \leq W$.

We wish to compute $v[n, W]$.

To compute $v[i, j]$ we have two possibilities: The i -th element is or is not part of the solution.

This gives the **recurrence**,

$$v[i, j] = \begin{cases} v[i-1, j-w_i] + v_i & \text{if the } i\text{-th element is part of the solution} \\ v[i-1, j] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Define a table $v[1 \dots n, 0 \dots W]$,

Initial condition: $\forall j, v[0, j] = 0$

To compute $v[i, j]$ must look to $v[i - 1, j]$ and to $v[i - 1, j - w_i]$.

$v[n, W]$ will indicate the profit.

Example.

Let $I = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with $v(1) = 1; v(2) = 6; v(3) = 18; v(4) = 22; v(5) = 28$,
 $w(1) = 1; w(2) = 2; w(3) = 5; w(4) = 6; w(5) = 7$ and $W = 11$.

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	0	1	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
3	0	1	6	7	7	18	19	24	25	25	25	25
4	0	1	6	7	7	18	22	24	28	29	29	40
5	0	1	6	7	7	18	22	28	29	34	35	40

$$v[3, 5] = \max\{v[2, 5], v[2, 0] + v(3)\} = \max\{7, 0 + 18\} = 18$$

The time complexity is $O(nW)$.

Notice, that at each computation of $v[i, j]$ we just need to store two rows of the table, therefore the space complexity is $2W$

Question

As you already know **0-1 Knapsack** is NP-hard.

But the previous algorithm has time complexity $O(nW)$. Therefore $\mathsf{P}=\mathsf{NP}$!

Is something wrong?

Exercise

Modify the 0-1 Knapsack algorithm so that in addition to computing the optimal cost it computes an optimal solution.

Travelling Sales Person

Given n cities and the distances d_{ij} between any two of them, we wish to find the shortest tour going through all cities and back to the starting city. Usually the TSP is given as a $G = (V, D)$ where $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is the set of cities, and D is the adjacency distance matrix, with $\forall i, j \in V, i \neq j, d_{i,j} > 0$, the problem is to find the tour with minimal distance weight, that starting in 1 goes through all n cities and returns to 1.

The TSP is a well known and difficult problem, that can be solved in $O(n!) \sim O(n^n e^{-n})$ steps.

Characterization of the optimal solution

Given $S \subseteq V$ with $1 \in S$ and given $j \neq 1, j \in S$, let $C(S, j)$ be the shortest path that starting at 1, visits all nodes in S and ends at j .

Notice:

- If $|S| = 2$, then $C(S, k) = d_{1,k}$ for $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$
- If $|S| > 2$, then $C(S, k) = \text{the optimal tour from 1 to } m, + d_{m,k},$
 $\exists m \in S - \{k\}$

Recursive definition of the optimal solution

$$C(S, k) = \begin{cases} d_{1,k} & \text{if } S = \{1, k\} \\ \min_{m \neq k, m \in S} [C(S - \{k\}, m) + d(m, k)] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The optimal solution

```
function algorithm TSP(G, n)
    for k := 2 to n do
        C({i, k}, k) := d1,k
    end for
    for s = 3 to n do
        for all S ⊆ {1, 2, ..., n} || S|| = s do
            for all k ∈ S do
                {C(S, k) = minm ≠ k, m ∈ S [C(S − {k}, m) + dm,k]}
                opt := mink ≠ 1 [C({1, 2, 3, ..., n}, k) + d1,k]
            end for
        end for
    end for;
    return (opt)
end
```

Complexity:

Time: $(n-1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} \binom{n-2}{k} + 2(n-1) \sim O(n^2 2^n) \ll O(n!)$

Space: $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \binom{n-1}{k} = (n-1) 2^{n-2} \sim O(n 2^n)$

Dynamic Programming in Trees

Trees are nice structures to bound the number of subproblems.

Given $T = (N, A)$ with $|N| = n$, recall that there are n subtrees in T .

Therefore, when considering problems defined on trees, it is easy to bound the number of subproblems

Example: The Maximum Independent Set (MIS)

Given $G = (V, E)$ the **Maximum Independent Set** is a set $I \subseteq V$ such that no two vertices in I are connected in G , and I is as large as possible.

Difficult problem for general graphs

Characterization of the optimal solution

Given a tree $T = (N, A)$ as instance for the MIS, assume T is rooted. Then each node defines a subtree.

For $j \in N$, the MIS (j):

1. it is j plus the union of the MIS of its grandsons,
2. It does not include j , and it is the union of the MIS of its sons.

Recursive definition of the optimal solution

For any $j \in N$, let $I(j)$ be the **size** of the MIS in the subset rooted at j , then

$$I(j) = \max\left\{ \sum_{k \text{ child } j} I(k), 1 + \max\left\{ \sum_{k \text{ grandchild } j} I(k) \right\} \right\}$$

The optimal solution will be given by the following bottom-up procedure:

1. Root the tree,
2. for every leaf $j \in T$, $I(j) := 1$,
3. In a bottom-up fashion, for every node j , compute $I(j)$ according to the previous equation.

Complexity:

Obvious time and space complexity: $O(n^2)$.

But, at each vertex, the algorithm only looks at its children and grandchildren, therefore each $j \in N$ is looked only 3 times:

- 1.- when the algorithm computes $I(j)$,
- 2.- when the algorithm computes the MIS for the father of j ,
- 3.- when the algorithm computes the MIS for the grandfather of j .

Since each j is used a constant number of times, the total number of steps is $O(n)$