Bi-criteria Algorithms for ℓ_p -Low Rank Approximation

Problem

We study the problem of ℓ_p -low rank approximation. That is, given $k \in$ $\mathbb{N}, \alpha \geq 1$ and a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, the goal is to find a matrix B of small rank such that

$$\|A - B\|_p \le \alpha \cdot \min_{A_k \text{ rank } k} \|A - A_k\|_p$$

We allow our solution B to have rank greater than k, and a solution to the above problem is called an α -approximation.

Motivation

- Reduces space needed to store a matrix
- Reduces time needed to multiply a matrix by a vector
- Applications in machine learning, computer vision, information retrieval
- ℓ_p -norm loss is more robust than the more standard ℓ_2 -norm loss

Previous Results

- Column Subset Selection [5]: Gives an $O(k \log k)$ -approximation, with rank $O(k \log n)$, in polynomial time.
- Guessing a Sketch [1]: Gives a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation, with rank k, in $n^{\mathrm{poly}(k/\varepsilon)}$ time.

Column Subset Selection Lower Bound

We first show that the column subset selection approach of [5] cannot do too well.

Column Subset Selection Lower Bound

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and c > 0. Then, an algorithm for ℓ_p -low rank approximation that returns $\hat{A} = UV$, where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times d}$, and the columns of U are columns of A, cannot achieve better than an $O(k^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}-c})$ approximation factor, unless $r = \Omega(n)$.

This was shown in [4] for p = 1, and we extend it to $p \in [1, 2)$ using similar techniques.

Column Subset Selection Algorithm

We now give an algorithm for ℓ_p -low rank approximation that makes use of column subset selection.

Column Subset Selection Upper Bound

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there is an algorithm which runs in polynomial time and returns $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}, V \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times d}$ such that r = $O(k \operatorname{poly}(\log n))$ and with high probability,

 $||UV - A||_p \le O(k^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{poly}(\log k)) \min_{A_k \operatorname{rank} k} ||A - A_k||_p$

 $k^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}}$ poly(log d)-approximation algorithm, and a refined version of this analysis leads to the desired $k^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}}$ poly(log k) approximation factor. Let B_0 be a column sample of size t, and A_i be another uniformly random column of A. Consider the new matrix $B = [B_0, A_i]$. Then, there exists a column subset of size $\frac{t}{2}$. which spans a good rank- $\frac{t}{2}$ approximation to B, with approximation factor $O(k^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}})$ [4]. Such a subset S can be constructed by sampling the columns of B according to the column Lewis weights [2] of V^* , where U^*V^* is the best rank-k approximation to B. Note that V^* is difficult to directly compute efficiently, but we can still reason about this sampling procedure as follows. With constant probability, the probability on the column A_i (under the distribution given by the Lewis weights of V^*) is small, meaning it is not chosen with constant probability. In addition, with constant probability, the column subset of B obtained by sampling according to the Lewis weights of V^* achieves a small regression cost on A_i , even conditioned on A_i not being a member of the subset. Hence, by Markov's inequality, a small regression cost is achieves on $\Omega(d)$ columns of A. By repeating this sample procedure with replacement, $O(\log d)$ times, we can boost the success probability and finally union bound over the different samples.



In light of the lower bound, this is essentially optimal (up to log factors).

Arvind Mahankali, Prof. David Woodruff (Advisor)

Carnegie Mellon University

Column Subset Selection Algorithm - Continued

The algorithm is as follows:

• Sample $t = O(k \operatorname{poly}(\log k))$ columns uniformly at random, which will be included in U, and discard the $\Omega(d)$ remaining columns of A which have the lowest regression cost against these t columns. Repeat this step $O(\log d)$ times and take the sample for which the $\Omega(d)$ discarded columns have the smallest regression cost.

• Then, recurse — consider A' without the $\Omega(d)$ discarded columns or the sampled columns, and repeat the above process.

Analysis of Column Subset Selection Algorithm

We give a sketch of the analysis — using the reasoning given here gives a

Reduction to *k***-Median**

O(1)-Approximation Algorithm via k-Median

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there is an algorithm that runs in polynomial time and returns \hat{A} such that $r = (\log d)^{\operatorname{poly}(k)}$ and

 $\|\hat{A} - A\|_1 \le O(1) \min_{A_k \text{ rank } k} \|A_k - A\|_1$

with constant probability.

Our algorithm is as follows. First, we obtain a $poly(k) \log(d)$ -approximation B with rank k in polynomial time using an algorithm from [4]. Then, we apply an O(1)-approximation algorithm for k-median in the ℓ_1 distance, which was given in [3], to A - B, with the desired number of centers being $(\log d)^{\operatorname{poly}(k)}$. Let M_i be the center that is closest to the i^{th} column of A - B — then, we return B + M, where M is the matrix whose columns are the M_i .

A key point in the analysis is that there is an approximation for A-B with at most $(\log d)^{\operatorname{poly}(k)}$ distinct columns, and hence it suffices to solve the k-median problem with this number of centers. We can show this by multiplying the objective by a carefully chosen Lewis weight sampling matrix S with poly(k)rows. The new objective becomes



This algorithm makes use of a rounding procedure similar to the one used in the analysis of our O(1)-approximation algorithm. In order to force the rank to be O(k), we use polynomial optimization.

Arvind Mahankali is very grateful to his advisor, Prof. David Woodruff, for patiently introducing him to theoretical computer science research.

- 747-766, 2019.
- [3] Piotr Indyk.
- 2017.

Analysis of O(1)-Approximation Algorithm

 $\min_{UV} \|SUV - S(A - B)\|_1$

Rounding the columns of S(A-B) to a $\frac{1}{\operatorname{poly}(k)\log(d)}$ -net leads to our conclusion — the net has $(\log d)^{\operatorname{poly}(k)}$ distinct elements, up to scaling, and rounding leads to an additive error of $\frac{\|S(A-B)\|_1}{\operatorname{poly}(k)\log(d)} = O(1) \min_{A_k \operatorname{rank} k} \|A - A_k\|_1$.

$O(\log d)$ -Approximation Algorithm with rank O(k)

$O(\log d)$ -Approximation Algorithm

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there is an algorithm that runs in time $2^{2^{\text{poly}(k)}}$ poly(nd) and returns \hat{A} with rank O(k) such that

 $\|\hat{A} - A\|_1 \le O(\log d) \min_{A_k \text{ rank } k} \|A_k - A\|_1$

Acknowledgements

References

[1] Frank Ban, Vijay Bhattiprolu, Karl Bringmann, Pavel Kolev, Euiwoong Lee, and David P. Woodruff.

A PTAS for lp-low rank approximation. In Proceedings of the Thirtieth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2019, San Diego, California, USA, January 6-9, 2019, pages

[2] Michael B. Cohen and Richard Peng. $l_{\rm D}$ row sampling by lewis weights. \overline{CoRR} , abs/1412.0588, 2014.

Sublinear time algorithms for metric space problems. In Proceedings of the Thirty-First Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, May 1-4, 1999, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, pages 428–434, 1999.

[4] Zhao Song, David P. Woodruff, and Peilin Zhong. Low rank approximation with entrywise l₁-norm error. In Proceedings of the 49th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2017, Montreal, QC, Canada, June 19-23, 2017, pages 688-701,

[5] Zhao Song, David P. Woodruff, and Peilin Zhong. Towards a zero-one law for column subset selection. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, pages 6120–6131, 2019.